Selective Abolition of the NMDA Component of Long-Term Potentiation in Mice Lacking mGluR5
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Abstract

The mechanisms underlying the differential expression of long-term potentiation (LTP) by AMPA and NMDA receptors, are unknown, but could involve G-protein-linked metabotropic glutamate receptors. To investigate this hypothesis we created mutant mice that expressed no metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGluR5), but showed normal development. In an earlier study of these mice we analyzed field-excitatory postsynaptic potential (fEPSPs) in CA1 region of the hippocampus and found a small decrease; possibly arising from changes in the NMDAR-mediated component of synaptic transmission. In the present study we used whole-cell patch clamp recordings of evoked excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) in CA1 pyramidal neurons to identify the AMPAR- and NMDAR-mediated components of LTP. Recordings from control mice following tetanus, or agonist application (IS, 3R-1-amino-cyclopentane 1,3-dicarboxylic acid) (ACPD), revealed equal enhancement of the AMPA and NMDA receptor-mediated components. In contrast, CA1 neurons from mGluR5-deficient mice showed a complete loss of the NMDA-receptor-mediated component of LTP (LTPNMDA), but normal LTP of the AMPA-receptor-mediated component (LTPAMPA). This selective loss of LTPNMDA was seen in three different genotypic backgrounds and was apparent at all holding potentials (−70 mV to +20 mV). Furthermore, the LTPNMDA deficit in mGluR5 mutant mice could be rescued by stimulating protein kinase C (PKC) with 4β-phorbol-12,13-dibutyrate (PDBu). These results suggest that PKC may couple the postsynaptic mGluR5 to the NMDA-receptor potentiation during LTP, and that this signaling mechanism is distinct from LTPAMPA. Differential enhancement of AMPAR and NMDA receptors by mGluR5 also supports a postsynaptic locus for LTP.

Introduction

Long-term potentiation (LTP) of glutaminergic synapses may share partly the mechanisms in-
involved in the development of neural circuits, information storage, and neurodegeneration (Bliss and Collingridge 1993). The ionotropic glutamate receptors, of the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) class, are known to induce LTP via a Ca\(^{2+}\) signal (Nicoll and Malenka 1995). The expression of LTP requires another class of ionotropic glutamate receptor, the \(\alpha\)-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionate (AMPA) class, but the contribution of the NMDA receptors in LTP expression has been controversial (for review, see Kullmann and Siegelbaum 1995). In this report we find that both components of NMDA- and AMPA-receptor-mediated synaptic currents (EPSC\(_{\text{NMDA}}\) and EPSC\(_{\text{AMPA}}\)) are potentiated equally during LTP and that one of the metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR), mGluR5, is required only for the NMDA-receptor-metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR), potentiated equally during LTP and that one of the metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR), mGluR5, is required only for the NMDA-receptor-mediated component of LTP (LTP\(_{\text{NMDA}}\)), with no effect on the AMPA-receptor-mediated component of LTP (LTP\(_{\text{AMPA}}\)), in the CA1 region of the hippocampus. Our data supports the contributions of both NMDA receptors (NMDAR) and AMPA receptors (AMPAR) to the expression phase of LTP, and shows that the mechanisms underlying these two components are distinct.

Several other lines of evidence invoke mGluRs in LTP. The application of aminocyclopentate-3,3\(R\)-dicarboxylic acid (ACPD), an agonist of broad specificity, or administration of ACPD, combined with subthreshold tetanic stimulation, or coapplication of NMDA and ACPD, induced LTP in the hippocampus (McGuiness et al. 1991; Otani and Ben-Ari 1991; Aniksztejn et al. 1993; Bortolotto and Collingridge 1993; Musgrave et al. 1993; Riedel and Reymann 1993). Consistent with these findings, (+)\(\alpha\)-methyl-4-carboxyphenylglycine (MCPG), a broad-spectrum antagonist for mGluRs, has been shown to inhibit tetanus- or ACPD-induced LTP, but not short-term potentiation in perforant pathway of the dentate gyrus (Richter-Levin et al. 1994; Riedel et al. 1994) and CA1 (Bashir et al. 1993). In contrast, other studies showed a lack of effect of MCPG on LTP (Chinesta et al. 1993; Manzoni et al. 1994). Apparently, MCPG only blocks the initial LTP, but not LTP that arises from later stimulations (Bortolotto et al. 1994).

The class I mGluR, mGluR5, is the main postsynaptic receptor of this type in the CA1 region of the hippocampus (Minakami et al. 1993; Romano et al. 1995), whereas mGluR1a is absent (Shigemoto et al. 1993). mGluR5 is found predominantly in the postsynaptic spines and spines of CA1 cells upon EM analysis (Romano et al. 1995). Immunogold staining for mGluR5 shows localization in a perisynaptic annulus on dendritic spines of CA1 pyramidal cells, whereas AMPAR and NMDAR proteins were concentrated in the center of the postsynaptic density (Lujan et al. 1996). Presumably, mGluR5 can only respond to high levels of glutamate, such as may be released during high-frequency tetanic stimulation, or coincident firing at lower frequencies (i.e., theta rhythms). One study using gene-targeting techniques has demonstrated that mGluR1 is not required for LTP in CA1 (Conquet et al. 1994), although a second study did report impaired LTP in this region (Aiba et al. 1994). In fact, LTP could be produced normally in all pathways except in the mossy fiber/CA3 synapses, where activation of the NMDA receptor is not required for LTP induction. These studies indicate that mGluR1 may not be involved in NMDA-dependent LTP, which is not surprising since mGluR1 is not expressed in CA1 pyramidal neurons (Lujan et al. 1996). The involvement of mGluR5 is unknown because there are no agonists or antagonists specific for mGluR5 currently available (Hayashi et al. 1994; Kingston et al. 1995; Pin and Duvoisin 1995). In the absence of selective agonists or antagonists, we removed mGluR5 by gene targeting and examined its linkage to the induction and expression of LTP. We find that mGluR5 is selectively required to generate LTP\(_{\text{NMDA}}\), but not LTP\(_{\text{AMPA}}\).

**Materials and Methods**

**VECTOR CONSTRUCTION**

A genomic clone containing exon 1 of the mGluR5 gene was isolated from a genomic 129 phage DNA library prepared from embryonic stem (ES) cells of the R1 line after screening with rat mGluR5 cDNA as a probe. The exon 1 region was sequenced and its DNA sequence was 98% identical to the published rat mGluR5 sequence in the published rat mGluR5 sequence in the corresponding region (Abe et al. 1992). The target vector was prepared by inserting a 2.2-kb BamHI–XhoI fragment and a 4.3-kb HindIII fragment 3’ to exon 1 into the pPNT plasmid vector. The 2.2-kb BamHI–XhoI was first subcloned into pBluescript vector (Stratagene) to obtain a NotI restriction site. The 4.3-kb HindIII fragment was cloned into pPNT by BamHI and blunt-end ligation. The construct was designed to delete a 0.4-kb fragment containing part of exon 1 and a part of intron 1, which was replaced by a 1.8-kb fragment containing the PGK-
neo cassette. A PGK−tk cassette was inserted downstream of the 4.3-kb HindIII fragment as a negative selection marker.

GENERATION OF MUTANT MICE

The vector DNA was linearized with NotI restriction digestion and transfected into R1 ES cells (Nagy et al. 1993) by electroporation (Bio-Rad, Gene Pulser, set at 240 V and 500 µF). G418 (150–250 µg/ml) and gancyclovir (2 µM) were applied 24 hr after transfection, and double-resistant colonies were isolated during days 7–10 of selection. Genomic DNA of resistant clones was digested with PstI and hybridized with a 1.2-kb HindIII–XhoI DNA fragment 3′ to the 4.3-kb HindIII fragment as an external probe (Fig. 1A). Four clones (from a total of 550 analyzed) were shown to contain desired targeted events, and all of them were used to produce chimeric mice via aggregation with CD1 morulae (Wood et al. 1993). The contribution of ES cells to the germ line of chimeric mice was determined by mating with CD1 mice and screening for agouti offspring. Germ-line transmission was confirmed by Southern blotting of tail DNA. Three chimeric mice from two independent ES clones transmitted the mutation through the germ line. Mice heterozygous for the targeted mutation were intercrossed to homozygosity. Homozygous females and males were fertile, but poor breeders. All experimental mice, including wild-type controls, heterozygotes, and homozygotes were generated by heterozygous breeding. Littermate controls were used throughout. Results on mice derived from two separate ES clones were similar.

WESTERN ANALYSIS OF mGluR5 MUTANT MICE

Three adult brains from each of +/+ , +/−, and −/− mGluR5 mutant mice were pooled and a crude synaptic plasma membrane fraction was prepared. The brains were homogenized in 0.32 M sucrose and centrifuged for 20 min at 2000g. The superna-
tative comparisons, with a 1% level of detection.

Jia et al. scanned for optical density (ECL (Amersham) method and the films were detected. Some of the blots were developed with the visualized with the appropriate substrates for dephatase or horseradish peroxidase and the proteins visualized by the numbers of arrows. When CNX was used to isolate NMDAEPSC, a tetanus (two trains, 100 Hz, 500-msec duration, 10 sec apart) was presented at a holding potential 0 mV. Recordings were performed with Axopatch-1D and Axopatch-2B patch-clamp amplifiers (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA) and data collected with pclamp 6. The change in EPSCs magnitude was calculated by averaging over a 10-min epoch taken 20–30 min after the LTP induction and comparing this value to the 10 min baseline period. No washout was observed up to 1 hr. Each trace shown is the average of six. In Figures 2, a and b, and 5, a-d, below, the NMDAEPSC was measured at a latency of 100 msec, whereas AMPAEPSC was taken 9 msec after stimulation. In figures 1,3,4, and 6 the NMDAEPSC was measured with a latency of 25 msec in the presence of 5 µm CNX (Fig. 3) or 2 µm CNX (Figs. 4 and 6, below), as stated. The purity of NMDA current was verified by the addition of 50 µm AP5, which abolished the remaining CNQX-resistant current. To reduce the failure rate of LTP induction the following indices were used: Our data indicated that washout was not a major problem for LTP induction. The reason could lie in the following selection criteria. (1) pre-identification of slice quality. Every slice was tested before neuronal patching. The slices were used only if the amplitude of fEPSP recorded in those slices were >1 mV while the stimulating intensity was set to 20% of that inducing the maximum responses. (2) Washout often happened in the young mice (<20

IMMUNOCYTOCHEMISTRY

Mice were perfusion fixed, as described using 4% paraformaldehyde/0.2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer containing 0.002% CaCl₂ at pH 7.35 (Romano et al. 1995). Coronal and parasagittal vibratome sections (50 µm) were incubated in primary antibody in PBS and 0.1% BSA and sodium azide for 24 hr followed by the ABC reagent (Elite, Vector Laboratories) to visualize mGluR5. The antibodies were affinity-purified anti-peptide antibodies against the carboxy-terminal mGluR5 (Ab m5.18) (Romano et al. 1995), or affinity-purified anti-mGluR1a (Ab m1a loop.8) against residues 1116–1130 of mGluR1a. Serial sections through the entire central nervous system (CNS) (n = 3) revealed normal-appearing cell layers, nuclei, and tracts compared to control littermates (n = 3).

ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY

Hippocampal slices (400 µm) were prepared from 31- to 42-day-old wild-type or mutant mice and kept for 1 hr in a moist, oxygenated chamber and then transferred to a recording chamber where they were superfused continuously with artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) (2 ml/min) that had been saturated with 95% O₂/5% CO₂ at 30 ± 2°C. The composition of ACSF for voltage-clamp recordings, was 124 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 1.25 mM NaH₂PO₄, 1.3 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂, 26 mM NaHCO₃, 10 mM dextrose, and 100 µM picrotoxin. For field recordings ACSF was the same but without picrotoxin. The whole-cell pipette solution contained 132.5 mM Cs-gluconate, 17.5 mM CsCl, 10 mM HEPES, 0.2 mM EGTA, 2 mM Mg-ATP, 0.3 mM GTP, and 5 mM QX-314 (pH 7.4, 292 mOsm). Synaptic currents were evoked at a frequency of 0.1 Hz by bipolar tungsten electrodes. In both field and voltage-clamp recordings, a tetanus consisted of two 100 Hz trains lasting 500 msec and delivered 10 sec apart. In Figures 3, 4, and 6, below, the holding potential during tetanus was 0 mV. In Figures 2 and 5, below, the current-clamp method was used during tetanus. For saturation of LTP (Fig. 4, below) the numbers of trains to induce LTP were indicated by the numbers of arrows. When CNX was used to isolate NMDAEPSC, a tetanus (two trains, 100 Hz, 500-msec duration, 10 sec apart) was presented at a holding potential 0 mV. Recordings were performed with Axopatch-1D and Axopatch-2B patch-clamp amplifiers (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA) and data collected with pclamp 6. The change in EPSCs magnitude was calculated by averaging over a 10-min epoch taken 20–30 min after the LTP induction and comparing this value to the 10 min baseline period. No washout was observed up to 1 hr. Each trace shown is the average of six. In Figures 2, a and b, and 5, a-d, below, the NMDAEPSC was measured at a latency of 100 msec, whereas AMPAEPSC was taken 9 msec after stimulation. In figures 1,3,4, and 6 the NMDAEPSC was measured with a latency of 25 msec in the presence of 5 µm CNQX (Fig. 3) or 2 µm CNQX (Figs. 4 and 6, below), as stated. The purity of NMDA current was verified by the addition of 50 µm AP5, which abolished the remaining CNQX-resistant current. To reduce the failure rate of LTP induction the following indices were used: Our data indicated that washout was not a major problem for LTP induction. The reason could lie in the following selection criteria. (1) pre-identification of slice quality. Every slice was tested before neuronal patching. The slices were used only if the amplitude of fEPSP recorded in those slices were >1 mV while the stimulating intensity was set to 20% of that inducing the maximum responses. (2) Washout often happened in the young mice (<20
days) in our experiments. The mice used here, however, were >30 days (between 34 to 40 days).

(3) The neurons were selected for LTP study only if those neurons showed stability of the resting membrane potentials (not more positive than −60 mV) without oscillation after breakthrough. (4) The pipette solutions were prepared freshly directly before use.

The quality of clamping was verified using established criteria (Hestrin et al. 1990; Müller et al. 1992; Spruston et al. 1993; Clark and Collingridge 1995). The input resistance was monitored using voltage steps (−10 mV, 400 msec) at 10-sec intervals throughout the period of the experiment. The mean values of input resistance were 213.12 ± 14.3 MΩ in wild-type controls and 216.6 ± 13.8 MΩ in mGluR5 mutants. Rare neurons were discarded if they showed either a marked change (20%) in holding current or in the amplitude of the capacitance transients, before tetanus or drug application. For each neuron, six successive sweeps to voltage steps were averaged, and if this value changed >20%, relative to a 5-min period prior to tetanus or drug application, the neuron was excluded from the statistical analysis. Series resistance was measured in current clamp and ranged from 34.4 to 46.2 MΩ with a mean in mutants and wild type controls of 36.3 MΩ and 37.2 MΩ, respectively.

All of the electrophysiological recordings were performed by YouMing Lu (Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Canada). Some of the slice recordings were repeated blind, and the experimenter did not know the genotype of the mice before the data was analyzed.

**Figure 2:** Impaired LTP$_{NMDA}$ with intact LTP$_{AMPA}$ in mGluR5 mutants responding to tetanic stimulation. (a) LTP$_{AMPA}$ (●) and LTP$_{NMDA}$ (○) in area CA1 of the hippocampus of wild type (+/+) responding to tetanic stimulation (top) and mutant (−/−) (b, bottom) mice are plotted as a function of time. Horizontal bars indicate that 50 µM D-AP5 was applied for 5 min prior to and following tetanization. Superimposed traces were taken at the indicated times on the axis. (1 − 0) Subtraction of trace 1 from trace 0; (2 − 3) subtraction of trace 2 from trace 3. The AP5-resistant EPSCs was blocked completely by 2 µM 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX) at the end of each slice experiment (not shown). The holding potential was −50 mV in this and all other experiments, unless stated otherwise.

The quality of clamping was verified using established criteria (Hestrin et al. 1990; Müller et al. 1992; Spruston et al. 1993; Clark and Collingridge 1995). The input resistance was monitored using voltage steps (−10 mV, 400 msec) at 10-sec intervals throughout the period of the experiment. The mean values of input resistance were 213.12 ± 14.3 MΩ in wild-type controls and 216.6 ± 13.8 MΩ in mGluR5 mutants. Rare neurons were discarded if they showed either a marked change (20%) in holding current or in the amplitude of the capacitance transients, before tetanus or drug application. For each neuron, six successive sweeps to voltage steps were averaged, and if this value changed >20%, relative to a 5-min period prior to tetanus or drug application, the neuron was excluded from the statistical analysis. Series resistance was measured in current clamp and ranged from 34.4 to 46.2 MΩ with a mean in mutants and wild type controls of 36.3 MΩ and 37.2 MΩ, respectively.

All of the electrophysiological recordings were performed by YouMing Lu (Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Canada). Some of the slice recordings were repeated blind, and the experimenter did not know the genotype of the mice before the data was analyzed.

**Figure 3:** Complete I/V (current/voltage)curves show LTP$_{NMDA}$ was impaired at all voltages in mGluR5 mutants. (a) Superimposed traces of NMDA$_{EPSC}$ at three different holding potentials before (0) and 30 min after (1) LTP induction. NMDA$_{EPSC}$ were measured at 25 msec in CNQX (5 µM). (b) I/V relationship of the peak of NMDA$_{EPSC}$ before (○) and after (●) LTP induction in the presence of CNQX, (5 µM). At the end of the experiment, the CNQX-resistant EPSC was blocked completely by 50 µM AP5 (not shown). Note the expanded scale (bottom right).
Results

GENERATION OF A NULL MUTATION IN THE mGluR5 GENE OF MICE

A mgluR5-targeting vector was constructed from strain 129 genomic clones that contain exon 1 of the mouse mGluR5 gene and its 3'- and 5'-flanking regions (Fig. 1A). In this vector, a 0.4-kb XhoI-BglIII fragment, containing the 3'-part of exon I and 5'-part of intron I, was replaced by a 1.8-kb fragment containing the promoter PGK-neo cassette, which possessed stop codons in all three frames, and a poly(A) site. The promoter PGK-tk fragment was spliced to the flanking end of the targeting vector, as a negative selection marker. The targeting vector DNA was electroporated into ES cells of the R1 line (Nagy et al. 1993), and the transfectants were selected in G418 and Gancyclovir. Double-resistant colonies were screened for the desired homologous recombination by Southern blotting with a probe 3' to intron I, and external to the targeting vector (Fig. 1A). Of 550 ES clones analyzed, 4 contained independent targeted events, and these were used separately to make chimeras via aggregation with CD1 morulae (Wood et al. 1993). Chimeric males were mated with CD1, 129, or C57Bl/6 females, to generate three separate lines of offspring. Two independent chimeric mice transmitted the mutation through the germ line, and each showed the same phenotype (see below and Table 1) in all these different genetic backgrounds. Heterozygous offspring were intercrossed to produce homozygous mutants. Wild-type and mutant alleles in the offspring were indicated by the presence of 11.5- and 7.5-kb PstI fragments, respectively, upon Southern blot analysis of tail DNA (Fig. 1b). All mice used in the present experiments were littermates generated by F1 heterozygous matings.

Crude membrane fractions from whole brain were analyzed by Western blots probed with specific antibodies. The mGluR5−/− mice contained no detectable mGluR5 protein (Fig. 1c) even if lanes were overloaded 100-fold over the limit of detection of the antibody (not shown). The antibody used for detection was generated against the carboxyl terminus of mGluR5. Although the antibody did not detect any other proteins in the −/− mice, that were not in the +/+ or +/- mice, we cannot rule out the possibility that some truncated protein, or a fusion with the neomycin-resistance protein, was generated. The level of expression of mGluR5 in heterozygotic mice was ~50% of wild type. The levels of mGluR1a, mGluR4, NR1, NR2A/2B, GluR1, GluR2/3, GluR4, and GluR6/7 in whole-brain membrane fractions were not detectably different by Western blot in +/+, +/- and −/− mice (Fig. 1C). Immunocytochemical analysis using antibodies selective for mGluR5 on −/− mice showed no immunoreactivity in any brain region examined, including the molecular layers of the hippocampus (Fig. 1D), whereas antibodies to mGluR1a provided the expected characteristic patterns of staining in the polymorph region of the dentate gyrus, in both +/+ and −/− mice. Serial sections through the entire CNS of several mGluR5 −/− mice showed normal development of neuroanatomical loci and fiber projections (Lu et al. 1997). These data indicate that the mGluR5 mutant mice contain the null alleles of the mGluR5 gene and do not express mGluR5 protein. Also, there is no detectable compensatory alteration in the expression of several other glutamate receptors in the absence of mGluR5.

Figure 4: Saturation of tetanic stimulation failed to induce LTPNMDA in mGluR5 mutant slices. Trains of tetani (100 Hz, 500 msec) (downward arrows) were given to wild-type (●) or mutant (○) neurons in the presence of 2 µM CNQX at 10-min intervals until saturation of LTPNMDA was obtained (eight mice per group). The number of trains is indicated by the arrows. Stimulation intensity to evoke baseline NMDAEPSC was set at 25%–30% of the maximum amplitude. The superimposed traces were obtained as indicated by the letters on the axis.
Table 1: Lack of genetic background effects on LTP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains/genotypea</th>
<th>Pure 129 Sv</th>
<th>129 Sv × CD1</th>
<th>129 Sv × C57</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LTP&lt;sub&gt;AMPA&lt;/sub&gt; by ACPD</td>
<td>161.8 ± 73.3</td>
<td>156.6 ± 56.5</td>
<td>155.8 ± 68.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+/+)</td>
<td>(n = 12)</td>
<td>(n = 13)</td>
<td>(n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTP&lt;sub&gt;NMDA&lt;/sub&gt; by ACPD</td>
<td>160.4 ± 67.1</td>
<td>105.1 ± 13.4*</td>
<td>156.8 ± 71.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(−/−)</td>
<td>(n = 8)</td>
<td>(n = 8)</td>
<td>(n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTP&lt;sub&gt;AMPA&lt;/sub&gt; by tetanus</td>
<td>249.6 ± 99.5</td>
<td>238.4 ± 86.1</td>
<td>156.8 ± 74.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+/+)</td>
<td>(n = 8)</td>
<td>(n = 8)</td>
<td>(n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTP&lt;sub&gt;NMDA&lt;/sub&gt; by tetanus</td>
<td>241.2 ± 96.4</td>
<td>111.9 ± 13.2*</td>
<td>101.9 ± 12.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(−/−)</td>
<td>(n = 8)</td>
<td>(n = 8)</td>
<td>(n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturation of LTP&lt;sub&gt;NMDA&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>323.6 ± 47.6</td>
<td>107.9 ± 11.1</td>
<td>318.6 ± 126.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+/+)</td>
<td>(n = 8)</td>
<td>(n = 8)</td>
<td>(n = 3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent the mean ± S.D. (n) = Number of mice

*The values of LTP<sub>AMPA</sub> from both mutants and wild-type controls show no significant difference between or within the strains (P > 0.2). (*)The values of LTP<sub>NMDA</sub> in mutants show significant difference from that in wild types between or within strains (P < 0.005). The values of LTP<sub>NMDA</sub> from mutants show no significant difference between strains (P > 0.3). The values of LTP<sub>NMDA</sub> from wild types show no significant difference between strains (P > 0.3).

**LTP was analyzed using voltage-clamp recordings in the Schaeffer collateral-CA1 synapse in hippocampal slices. The NMDA-receptor-mediated component of the evoked excitatory postsynaptic currents (NMDA<sub>EPSCs</sub>) was estimated at 100 msec using voltage-clamp recordings. The AMPA-receptor-mediated component was measured at 9 msec (AMP<sub>EPSCs</sub>) and separated from the NMDA-receptor-mediated component using the NMDA-receptor antagonist D-2-amino-5-phosphonovaleric acid (D-AP5). In 14 wild-type neurons from control littermates (n = 8 mice), the LTP of the NMDA-receptor-mediated component (LTP<sub>NMDA</sub>) paralleled that of the AMPA-receptor-mediated component (LTP<sub>AMPA</sub>), at a holding potential of −50 mV, and was stable for at least 1 hr. The peak of LTP<sub>NMDA</sub> was 241 ± 34%, compared to LTP<sub>AMPA</sub> at 249 ± 35% 30 min following tetanic stimulation (Fig. 2a). In 12 mutant neurons (n = 8 mice), however, the transient increase in NMDA<sub>EPSCs</sub> after the tetanic stimulation, had almost returned to baseline values (112 ± 4.1%) within 10 min, whereas LTP<sub>AMPA</sub> at 30 min (238 ± 50%) (Fig. 2b) was not different from that in wild type (P > 0.1). The NMDAR-mediated currents were contaminated <15% by AMPA currents. Similar numbers of failures to induce any LTP (i.e., both LTP<sub>NMDA</sub> and LTP<sub>AMPA</sub> were absent) were observed (~20%) in control and mutant neurons.

The amplitude of NMDA<sub>EPSCs</sub> was also measured in 5 µM CNQX. Lower doses of CNQX (2 µM) were also effective. The remaining EPSCs in 2 µM CNQX were pure NMDA<sub>EPSCs</sub> as the addition of 50 µM AP5 abolished completely the currents under these experimental conditions. LTP<sub>NMDA</sub> was also eliminated at all potentials between −70 to +20 mV without observable voltage dependency in the mutants (8 neurons, n = 4 mice) (Fig. 3a,b). This corresponds with previous results showing that NMDA<sub>EPSCs</sub> prior to and following LTP induction were not altered in the rise and decay times, or the reversal potential, at all voltage levels in control neurons (Bashir et al. 1991). Differences in L-type voltage-gated Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels played little role in these experiments since 10 µM nimodipine did not affect wild-type LTP<sub>NMDA</sub> or LTP<sub>AMPA</sub>, nor mutant LTP<sub>AMPA</sub> levels (8 neurons, n = 4 mice; data not shown). Only the amplitude of post-tetanic potentiation was attenuated by nimodipine.

As the basal NMDA-receptor-mediated synaptic responses (expressed as the ratio of NMDA<sub>EPSCs</sub> to AMPA<sub>EPSCs</sub>) in mutant mice lacking mGluR5 were reduced slightly at the holding potentials from −40 to +60 mV (Lu et al. 1997), it was possible that NMDA channel function in mutant neurons was set initially at a depressed level prior to the tetanic stimulation.
stimulation and as a consequence of this set value, the threshold may be increased for LTP induction. If so, one would expect to see LTP_{NMDA}, at saturating levels of tetanic stimulation. However, as shown in Fig. 4, LTP_{NMDA} was not seen at all in neurons from mGluR5 mutant mice (16 neurons, \( n = 8 \) mice/group), even when the number of trains (100 Hz, 500 msec, 10sec intervals) was increased to 4 \( (P < 0.005) \). Like LTP induction in wild-type slices, the induction of LTP_{AMPA} in mutants was blocked when D-AP5 (50 \( \mu M \)) was present during the tetanic stimulation (6 neurons, \( n = 3 \), data not shown). These data indicate that the basal NMDA channel was functional in mutant mice, but was uncoupled from mGluR5 activation. In addition, to avoid saturation of responses we stimulated at 25% of the maximum NMDA_{EPSCs} and always observed single-component LTP_{AMPA} in response to tetanic stimulation in the mutants. Presynaptic function as measured by paired pulse facilitation was normal in the mutants (Lu et al. 1997).

**IMPAIRED LTP_{NMDA} IN mGluR5 MUTANTS IN RESPONSE TO ACPD**

It has been shown that selective activation of mGlu receptors with aminocyclopentane-1S,3R-dicarboxylic acid (ACPD) can evoke a long lasting enhancement of synaptic transmission that was very similar to that following tetanic stimulation in the hippocampus (Bashir et al. 1993; Bortolotto and Collingridge 1993; O’Connor 1994). We find here that ACPD application to wild-type slices induced an initial depression of both AMPA_{EPSCs} and NMDA_{EPSCs}, followed by a slowly rising potentiation of both components, which reached a peak amplitude at ~15 min following ACPD application and persisted for at least 30 min, without significant decay (six neurons from four animals for each dose). At a concentration of 60 \( \mu M \), the mean amplitudes of LTP_{NMDA} and LTP_{AMPA} at 30 min following ACPD application were measured at 160.4 ± 19.3% and 161.8 ± 21.2% respectively, in wild-type neurons (24 neurons from 12 mice) at a holding potential of ~50 mV (Fig. 5a). In mutants, we found only a slight initial depression of EPSCs and a single LTP_{AMPA}, at 156.7 ± 15.6% with no rebound of NMDA receptor-mediated EPSCs (105 ± 3.3%) following 60 \( \mu M \) ACPD (Fig. 5b; 25 neurons, 13 mice; \( P < 0.01 \)). The responses of the initial depression and following potentiation to ACPD were never observed at a concentration of \(<40 \mu M \) in the mutants neurons (four neurons from three mice per group).

**THE INVOLVEMENT OF PKC IN mGluR5-MEDIATED LTP**

The signal downstream of mGluR5 could involve protein kinase C (PKC), since PKC-mediated NMDA-receptor phosphorylation is thought to be involved in LTP_{NMDA} induced by tetanic stimulation, or ACPD (Hu et al. 1987; Malinow et al. 1989; Aniksztejn et al. 1995). We investigated the synaptic response to PKC activation. A protein kinase C stimulator, 4β-phorbol-12,13-dibutyrate (PDBu, 20 \( \mu M \)) was bath applied before (Fig. 6a; 16 neurons, eight mice per group) or after tetanic stimulation (Fig. 6b; 16 neurons, eight mice per group). Prior potentiation by PDBu occluded subsequent poten-
tiation of NMDA EPSC by tetanus. Likewise, prior tetanus occluded subsequent PDBu-induced potentiation. Therefore, tetanus and PDBu-induced LTP NMDA may share similar pathways, but other explanations are also possible. This PDBu treatment restored completely the missing LTP NMDA in mutants. Because the effect of PDBu can be pre- or postsynaptic or both, we repeated PDBu application combined with postsynaptic injection of PKC peptide inhibitor (19–36), a potent pseudosubstrate blocker of PKC activity (PKCI) (Malinow 1989; Hvalby et al. 1994). This completely blocked the effect on LTP NMDA in 4/4 CA1 neurons, but had no significant effect on LTP AMPA (data not shown). Therefore, PKC activity is at least required for LTP NMDA induced by PDBu. It is reasonable to propose that differential modulation of LTP AMPA and LTP NMDA occurs. PKC, or one of its isoforms, may be involved in LTP NMDA expression, whereas other enzymes (i.e., αCaMKII) or other isoforms of PKC could be responsible for LTP AMPA. The mutant mice lacking mGluR5 may not activate the PKC pathway required for LTP NMDA.

THE LACK OF GENETIC BACKGROUND EFFECTS ON THE LTP PHENOTYPE

We believe the phenotype ascribed to the loss of mGluR5 cannot be accounted for by the differential inheritance of background genes because (1) we find no difference in LTP in the parental 129 and CD1 strains (Jia et al. 1996); (2) the phenotype of mutants was observed in both 129 × CD1 and 129 × C57Bl/6 offspring (Table 1); (3) the backcrossing of our 129 chimera to the 129 strain showed the same results of selectively impaired LTP NMDA in the absence of mGluR5 (Table 1). Therefore, the phenotype is consistent across different genetic backgrounds.

Discussion

Our finding that LTP of the NMDA-receptor-mediated component of synaptic transmission is eliminated selectively in mGluR5 mutant mice suggests that activation of mGluR5 is required normally for the enhancement of the NMDAR component, but not the AMPAR component. This has several important implications in synaptic plasticity.

Although it is agreed generally that induction of LTP in the CA1 region of the hippocampus requires the activation of postsynaptic NMDA receptors, whether or not the expression phase of LTP is also expressed in the postsynaptic neuron, remains a matter of debate (Bliss and Collingridge 1993; Kullmann and Siegelbaum 1995; Nicoll and Malenka 1995). One approach that has been used to address the nature of LTP was to analyze separately the two components of the glutamatergic signal mediated by NMDA and AMPA receptors. Differential enhancement of these two components would support a postsynaptic locus for LTP, whereas equal potentiation of both signals would argue for a presynaptic view of LTP (Kullmann and Siegelman 1995). Some studies have shown previously equal enhancement of EPSC NMDA and EPSC AMPA following tetanic stimulation (Clark and Collingridge 1995; O’Connor et al. 1995), whereas others showed only a small EPSC NMDA (Tsien and Malinow 1990; Asztely et al. 1992; Müller et al. 1992; Xie et al. 1992; Aniksztejn and Ben-Ari 1995; Kullmann et al. 1996) or no LTP NMDA (Kauer et al. 1988; Perkel and Nicoll 1993; Selig et al. 1995). The reason for these discrepancies are not known, but clearly depend on the experimental conditions used to induce LTP. For example, strong stimulation favored the generation of LTP AMPA, whereas weak stimulation only generated LTP AMPA (Aniksztejn and Ben-Ari 1995). The use of pairing procedures rather than tetanus probably also elicits LTP differentially. In the experiments described in
this paper we used a relatively strong stimulation protocol. Therefore, equal enhancement in both components after tetanus was obtained in wild-type mice of several different genetic backgrounds (129, CD1, 129XC1D1, 129XC57). A similar result was obtained with agonist (ACPD) application in wild-type mice. However, in the absence of mGluR5, LTP NMDA was not observed in any neurons with either tetanic stimulation or ACPD application, whereas LTP AMPA remained intact. Therefore, activity of mGluR5 is required only for enhancement of NMDA-receptor-mediated signals during LTP. This differential utilization of mGluR5 may explain some of the discrepancies in obtaining LTP NMDA by other investigators, as described above. Since mGluR5 is localized perisynaptically (Lujan et al. 1996), activation of the receptors would be expected only when glutamate in the synaptic cleft reaches a sufficiently high level, either by strong stimulation or by a reduction in glutamate uptake. Therefore, strong LTP-inducing trains would lead to LTP NMDA, as well as LTP AMPA, as a result of the activation of mGluR5, whereas weaker stimuli, or pairing protocols, may not be sufficient to activate mGluR5. Therefore, only LTP AMPA was generated as expression of LTP AMPA does not depend on mGluR5. Our data would also explain why in a brief anoxic–aglycemic episode, LTP NMDA could be obtained much more readily, as excessive neurotransmitter release may have favored the activation of mGluR5 (Hammond et al. 1994).

The selective loss of LTP NMDA in mGluR5 mutants would support strongly the hypothesis that the underlying mechanism for the expression of LTP NMDA and LTP AMPA are distinct. Therefore, postsynaptic neurons would be the predominant locus for LTP. We cannot rule out the possibility that in mutants the properties of NMDA receptors were altered in such a way that they now have a changed sensitivity to glutamate and therefore were not able to respond to increased neurotransmitter release during LTP. This seems unlikely because the NMDA-receptor-mediated current increased as the intensity of stimulation went up, and LTP AMPA, which requires activation of NMDAR, is normal in mutants.

Finally, our data elucidates the identity of a new mGluR with respect to its role in modulating synaptic transmission and plasticity. This issue has also been controversial. Whereas some studies using MCPG, a broadly selective antagonist, showed that coactivation of an unidentified mGluR may be necessary to induce LTP (Bashir et al. 1993, Bortolotto et al. 1994), others were not able to observe any effects of MCPG on LTP (Chinestra et al. 1993; Manzoni et al. 1994). Moreover, as there are no available subtype-specific agonists or antagonists, the question of which one of the eight known mGluRs is important cannot be answered pharmacologically. Although LTP NMDA was absent in mGluR5−/− mice stimulated by ACPD application, LTP AMPA could still be elicited, suggesting that it is mediated by a separate mGluR. The nature of this subtype is unknown currently, but analysis of various double or triple knockouts (i.e., mGluR5XmGluR1, mGluR5XmGluR4/2/7) would help to resolve this issue. It is also possible that the effect of ACPD in generating LTP AMPA is mediated by an unknown mGluR yet to be discovered (for review, see Pin and Duvoisin 1995). Several studies (i.e., Bortolotto and Collingridge 1995) have been reported that elucidate this postsynaptic mechanism.

The signal-transduction pathway underlying potentiation of the NMDA receptor, as a result of the activation of mGluR5 is not defined completely in the present paper, but the activation of PKC may be required. PDBu induced LTP NMDA normally in mGluR5−/−, which suggests that the G-protein coupled signaling involved in the activation of PKC may not be altered in the mutants. In addition, augmentation of NMDA EPCSC by PDBu could be blocked by injecting a carefully induced dose of PKC into the postsynaptic neuron. The blocked PKC was correlated with the lack of LTP NMDA and, therefore, it is reasonable to hypothesize that mGluR5 may be coupled to PKC activity, which in turn, is required for enhancement of the NMDAR component. Several other lines of evidence support a role for PKC in LTP. Postsynaptic injection of the selective 19–31 PKC inhibitory peptide blocked the induction of LTP in the hippocampus (Malenka et al. 1989; Malinow 1989; O’Connor 1995) and a PKCγ knockout also impaired LTP induction (Abeliovitch et al. 1993). Conversely, the injection of PKC into CA1 pyramidal neurons induced a kind of LTP (Hu et al. 1987). PKC may enhance LTP by upregulating the function of the NMDAR (Ben-Ari et al. 1992). However, as the regulation of NMDA receptors can be very complex, potentially involving additional signaling pathways such as protein tyrosine kinases (Wang and Salter 1994; Yu et al. 1997), activation of an independent pathway could well compensate for the loss of the signal caused by lack of mGluR5.
Whether or not the IP3 signal generated by the activation of mGluR5 is also important for LTPNMDA is unclear. Dissecting the details of these components and how they are linked to the potentiation of the NMDA receptor will be the focus of future studies.

In summary, we have generated a novel mutant strain deficient in expression of mGluR5 but normal in the expression of other glutamate receptors. Analysis of the mutant mice showed that mGluR5 is not required for the development of the CNS. An earlier study analyzing field potentials in these mice showed a small decrease in the induction of LTP in CA1 and the dentate gyrus but normal induction in the CA3 region of the hippocampus (Lu et al. 1997). The difference between genotypes was abrogated by adding AP5 after the induction of LTP (Lu et al. 1997). We show here using voltage clamp analysis that the expression phase of LTPNMDA is completely lost, whereas LTPAMPA is normal. The selective loss of LTPNMDA in mGluR5−/− mice, suggests that distinct mechanisms must exist in CA1 neurons for LTPNMDA and LTPAMPA components of LTP. Therefore, these mutant mice provide a valuable new tool to dissect the various elements that are used differentially during synaptic plasticity in the mammalian CNS. In addition, the mice show a selective loss in two tasks of spatial learning and memory which supports the role of CA1 in this process as well (Lu et al. 1997).
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