Functional Integrity of NMDA-dependent LTP Induction Mechanisms Across the Lifespan of F-344 Rats
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Abstract

Previous studies have reported a lack of an age effect in the induction of long-term potentiation (LTP) at CA1 synapses, using robust (supramaximal) stimulation parameters, but an apparent age effect on the induction threshold of LTP using less robust stimulation, in the perithreshold region. These findings have led to the suggestion that old animals may experience an alteration either in the efficacy of activation of N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors or in the metabolic processes subsequent to NMDA receptor activation that lead to LTP expression. An alternative explanation for the apparent threshold change in old animals is that, because of the known reduction of the intracellularly recorded, compound EPSP magnitude in old rats, equivalent electrical stimulation results in a smaller effective depolarization of the postsynaptic cells and a consequently less effective activation of NMDA receptors, which are otherwise functionally normal. To distinguish between these two hypotheses, weak orthodromic stimulation was paired with intracellularly applied current pulses, thus holding constant the degree of postsynaptic depolarization. No differences in LTP induction threshold or magnitude were observed in a large sample of rats from three age groups. It is concluded that the NMDA receptor mechanisms and associated biochemical processes leading to LTP induction are not altered in aged F-344 rats. The reduced compound EPSP in old animals was reconfirmed in the present study, and a significant correlation was found in old rats between the magnitude of the EPSP at a fixed stimulus level and their performance on a spatial memory task.

Introduction

Learning and memory deficits occur during the normal course of aging (e.g., Albert 1988; de Toledo-Morrell et al. 1988; Landfield 1988; Barnes 1990; Rapp and Amaral 1992; Craik et al. 1995; Petersen 1995; Zyzak et al. 1995). Because the hippocampus appears to play a crucial role in memory (e.g., O’Keefe and Nadel 1978; Squire 1992; Cohen and Eichenbaum 1993), changes in this structure during aging have been prime suspects in the etiology of age-related memory impairment. For example, specific electrophysiological (e.g., Landfield and Lynch 1977; Barnes 1979; Reynolds and Carlen 1989; Barnes et al. 1992; Moyer et al. 1992; Papatheodoropoulos and Kostopoulos 1996; Thibault and Landfield 1996), neurochemical (e.g., Meaney et al. 1988; Gallagher et al. 1990; Gribkoff and Bauman 1992; Potier et al. 1992; Taylor and Griffith 1993), and morphological (e.g., Landfield et al. 1977; Geinisman et al. 1995) alterations have been demonstrated to occur in an age-dependent fashion in the hippocampus. Furthermore, a number of significant correlations have been reported between such neurobiological alterations and the behavioral expression of hippocampal-dependent mnemonic processing (e.g., Barnes 1979; Geinisman et al. 1986a,b, 1992; Pellemounter et al. 1990; Castorina et al. 1993; Scheuer et al. 1995; Thibault and Landfield 1996).
Although it is clear that age-related changes in specific aspects of hippocampal neurobiology occur, it is equally clear that the process of aging is not one of generalized deterioration. Many aspects of hippocampal neuronal function remain unaltered throughout the lifespan (e.g., Barnes 1994), and quantitative analysis of those characteristics that are altered and those that are preserved is an important prerequisite to the possible development of therapeutic or prophylactic strategies (e.g., Gallagher et al. 1985; Straube et al. 1990; Davis et al. 1993; Baxter et al. 1994; Müller et al. 1994; Stone et al. 1994; Ingram et al. 1996).

One form of hippocampal plasticity consistently found to be intact in old, memory-deficient rats is the induction of hippocampal long-term potentiation or enhancement (LTP; Bliss and Lømo 1973) at the Schaffer collateral–CA1 pyramidal cell synapse (Landfield and Lynch 1977; Landfield et al. 1978) and at the perforant path–granule cell synapse (Barnes 1979; de Toledo-Morrell et al. 1988). Both young and old rats show similar relative levels of synaptic enhancement following LTP-inducing stimulation under protocols that involve stimulus intensities well above the threshold for LTP induction. On the other hand, the maintenance of LTP at the perforant path–granule cell synapse is deficient in old rats, when responses are monitored over days or weeks in chronic preparations (Barnes 1979; Barnes and McNaughton 1980b; de Toledo-Morrell et al. 1988). Old rats show LTP decay rates of approximately twice that of young rats, and this maintenance deficit is correlated with faster forgetting of spatial behavioral tasks (Barnes and McNaughton 1985). What mechanisms may be responsible for this faster LTP decay in old animals is a question of active interest and has yet to be resolved (e.g., Shahi and Baudry 1993; Worley et al. 1993; Barnes et al. 1994; Casoli et al. 1996).

Although there is near universal acceptance that LTP induction is intact following suprathreshold stimulus induction protocols in old rats (Landfield and Lynch 1977; Landfield et al. 1978; Barnes 1979; Barnes and McNaughton 1980b; de Toledo-Morrell et al. 1988; Deupree et al. 1991; Moore et al. 1993; but see Tielen et al. 1983; Hori et al. 1992; Lynch and Voss 1994), several recent experiments have suggested that the LTP induction threshold itself may change with age. In CA1, age deficits in LTP induction have been observed when less robust, perithreshold stimulation parameters were used [e.g., 4 pulses at 100 Hz (Deupree et al. 1991), 10 pulses at 100 Hz (Deupree et al. 1993), and primed burst stimulation consisting of a single priming pulse followed 170 msec later by 4 pulses at 200 Hz (Moore et al. 1993)]. Assuming that the LTP process observed under conditions of artificial, massively synchronous stimulation reflects processes normally used by the hippocampus to store information (e.g., Barnes 1995), an age-related deficit in this mechanism has important implications.

There are a number of factors that might contribute to this apparent change in LTP induction threshold. Two of these are addressed in the present report: (1) possible age-related differences in N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor-mediated events leading to LTP induction and (2) age-related differences in the magnitude of stimulus-evoked synaptic potentials in CA1, which may be attributable to a reduced synapse density in old rats (Barnes et al. 1992). A change in the effective input for a fixed stimulus level would be expected to result in reduced input cooperativity (McNaughton et al. 1978) and hence could result in an apparent change in LTP threshold in the absence of any functional alterations at individual synapses. Barnes et al. (1992) conducted minimal stimulation (McNaughton et al. 1981) experiments in CA1 and found that the reduced population EPSP in old animals was not accompanied by a change in either the "unitary EPSP" (the intracellularly recorded EPSP elicited by stimulation of single afferents) or the apparent quantal magnitude as assessed by conventional statistical methods of quantal analysis. Thus, the reduced EPSP in old rats is most likely attributable to a reduction in the number of synapses activated by a given stimulus. This conclusion is somewhat at variance with that of Gribkoff and colleagues (Bauman et al. 1992; Gribkoff and Bauman 1992) who attributed the reduced EPSP in old rats to a tonic increase of adenosinergic inhibition. This explanation appears unlikely, however, because the adenosine effects on EPSP magnitude are known to be presynaptic and are associated with a change in quantal release probability and consequent alteration of paired pulse facilitation ratios (Lupica et al. 1992). Neither quantal release probability nor paired pulse facilitation are altered in CA1 of old rats (Landfield and Lynch 1977; Landfield et al. 1978; Barnes et al. 1992; Deupree et al. 1993).

The pivotal role that the NMDA receptor plays in LTP induction (e.g., Collingridge 1987) makes NMDA receptor-mediated glutamatergic transmis-
Barnes et al.

sion an obvious target for investigation of age-related defects in the LTP process. Unfortunately, the study of age differences in hippocampal NMDA receptors has yielded conflicting results. Depending on the experimental methods, NMDA receptor-binding studies have yielded apparent age-related increases (Baudry et al. 1981), decreases (Fiore and Rampello 1989; Bonhaus et al. 1990; Pelleymounter et al. 1990; Tamaru et al. 1991; Wenk et al. 1991; Clark et al. 1992; Cohen and Müller 1992; Ingram et al. 1992; Ogawa et al. 1992; Castorina et al. 1993; Cimino et al. 1993; Magnusson and Cotman 1993), or equivalence (Kito et al. 1990; Kusztos et al. 1996; Magnusson 1996; Shimada et al. 1996). The reasons for these apparently discrepant findings most likely include differences in assay conditions, specificity of ligands used, and type of recognition site assessed (e.g., Ingram et al. 1996; Magnusson 1996). Furthermore, because measures of receptor binding are made relative to total protein content, it is not possible to draw clear conclusions about how such NMDA binding changes might translate into changes at the level of individual synapses or neurons. The fact that the magnitude of LTP in young and old rats can be identical following robust stimulation suggests that NMDA receptor-mediated events can work properly at old hippocampal synapses under some conditions; however, the near-threshold stimulation protocols in which LTP induction deficits are observed may unmask an underlying deficit in NMDA receptor function.

On the other hand, it is possible that the NMDA receptor-mediated events leading to the induction of LTP are intact in old animals but that changes in the number or efficacy of individual synapses may result in less effective depolarization and hence less NMDA receptor activation for a given input stimulus. This leads to a question as to the origin of the reported elevation of the LTP induction threshold in old age.

To avoid the potential confounds that can arise when LTP is induced by the cooperativity method (i.e., orthodromic stimulation), we have used an LTP induction paradigm that directly depolarizes the postsynaptic cell. With this method, LTP is induced by repeatedly pairing a weak orthodromic stimulus with intracellular depolarizing current injection (Wigström et al. 1986; Gustafsson et al. 1987; Lin et al. 1993). The advantage of the "paired-depolarization" current injection method in characterizing age changes in synaptic plasticity is that the depolarization level of the cell is under experimental control. This allows the assessment of the ability of NMDA receptors to induce LTP when the level of depolarization is essentially independent of the number of synapses activated. The paired depolarization method was used here to induce LTP in F-344 rats of three ages, who were screened, prior to sacrifice, on a hippocampal-dependent spatial memory test. Some of these data have been presented in abstract form (Rao et al. 1995).

Materials and Methods

Three age groups of F-344 male rats were used in this experiment. The pups (n = 50; 21–35 days old) were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA). Nine-month-old (n = 54 retired breeders) and 24- to 28-month-old (n = 15 virgin; n = 19 retired breeders) rats came from the National Institute on Aging colony at Harlan Sprague–Dawley (Indianapolis, IN). Virgin old rats
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were used when retired breeders were not available in sufficient quantities from this breeding facility. The rats were singly housed in Plexiglas guinea pig tubs with free access to food and maintained on a 12-hr/12-hr light–dark cycle.

BEHAVIORAL TESTING

All rats were handled upon arrival for at least the week prior to behavioral testing. Rats were tested in the Morris swim task for assessment of spatial and visual discrimination learning ability prior to sacrifice. A circular tank (120-cm diam., 36-cm depth) was partially filled with water (26–28°C) made opaque by adding white, nontoxic, Crayola paint. A variety of visual stimuli (e.g., pictures, light sources, and a bookcase) in the 2.3 x 2.7 x 2.5-m room were available as distal cues; more proximal cues consisted primarily of a chair and a metal board located near the wall of the pool (and see below for additional cues in the visual discrimination version). For the spatial version of the swim task, a circular escape platform (11.5 cm diam.) was present in a constant location in the northwest quadrant of the tank, submerged ~1 cm below the water surface. For the cue, or visual, discrimination version of the task, the platform was moved randomly to one of four locations in the tank after each trial. For this condition, the platform extended 2 cm above the water level. To enhance its visibility, a band of black electrical tape was wrapped around the portion of the platform extending above water level and a piece of cardboard was hung directly overhead. A black piece of duct tape was attached to the back of each rat during training to enable position tracking by an overhead video camera connected to a VP114 tracking unit (HVS Image, England). The rat’s swim path during the trim was reconstructed as a series of x–y coordinates acquired and analyzed using custom software (TR, J. Forster; WMAZE, M. Williams).

During the spatial task, each rat was given three blocks of 2 training trims per day for 4 days (24 trials total). During the interblock intervals, rats were placed into a heat-controlled incubator (Ohio Medical Products, Air Reduction Co., Inc.) as old rats are particularly vulnerable to hypothermia in this task (Lindner and Gribkoff 1991). At the start of each trial, the rat was released into the water, facing the tank wall, from one of seven peripherally located, evenly spaced points. The release location varied pseudorandomly from trial to trial (no two trials within the same block were from the same location). When the rat located the escape platform or swam for a maximum of 60 sec, it was allowed to sit on the platform for 30 sec. At the end of the fourth day of training, the hidden platform was removed for a single probe trial in which the rat was allowed to swim in the pool freely for 60 sec. The following day, the rat was given six trials on the visible platform, cue discrimination task, with both the release location and platform location randomized from trial to trial. To assess performance on the spatial and cued versions of the task, corrected integrated path length (CIPL), or the sum of the distances from the target throughout the trial, was calculated from total distance and latency measures on each trial. This measure incorporates a correction factor to compensate for differences in distance to the goal from the various start locations at the side of the pool (for details, see Gallagher et al. 1993). For analysis of the 60-sec swim during the probe trial, the average distance from the target (AD), the number of target crossings (crossings over the area previously occupied by the platform), and search time in each quadrant were calculated. Animals that were poor at the cue task, because of thigmotaxis or to poor swimming ability, were excluded from the experiment.

ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY

Because of the time-consuming nature of these experiments, only one rat was sliced per day; however, in any given week, at least one animal from each of the three age groups was tested to control for variability because of changing chamber conditions. Hippocampal slices were prepared as described previously (Barnes et al. 1987). The rat was deeply anesthetized with Metofane (Pitman-Moore) and decapitated. Tissue sections were cut (450 μm) parallel to the alveus using a tissue chopper and were then transferred to an interface-style brain slice chamber (Haas et al. 1979) perfused with artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) at 2.0 ml/min. The ACSF consisted of the following in mM (after Gustafsson et al. 1987): NaCl, 124; CaCl₂, 4; KCl, 4; MgCl₂, 4; NaHCO₃, 26; dextrose, 10 (pH 7.4). A knife cut was made with a scalpel blade between the CA3/CA1 regions in each slice to minimize spontaneous input activity from CA3. The slices were oxygenated with a 95%
O₂/5% CO₂ gas mixture, and the bath temperature was maintained at 32±1°C. Picrotoxin (50 μM; Sigma) was added into the medium 1.5 hr after slicing in order to reduce GABA_A receptor-mediated inhibition during electrophysiological recording (Gustafsson et al. 1987).

After a 2-hr incubation period, intracellular recordings were obtained in CA1 stratum pyramidale with glass micropipettes (35–75 MΩ, 3 m potassium acetate). Acceptable cells had input resistances >20 MΩ and resting membrane potentials ≥60 mV without holding current. When the resting membrane potential had stabilized, EPSPs were evoked at a rate of 0.2 Hz (20 μA, biphasic pulse of 200 μsec total duration) by stimulating in stratum radiatum with a bipolar electrode consisting of a twisted pair of Teflon-coated stainless steel wires (76 μm; Fig. 1A). Baseline recordings of the EPSP were filtered (3 Hz, 3 kHz), amplified 100 times, and acquired onto an 80486 computer via Workbench data acquisition software (Datawave, Inc., CO). Following a 5-min baseline recording period, a series of depolarizing current pulses ranging from 0 nA to 4 nA, in 0.2-nA steps, was delivered through the intracellular pipette (Fig. 1B). An orthodromic stimulus was delivered 25 msec after the onset of each 100-msec current injection pulse. The delay prevented EPSP distortion because of charging of the membrane capacitance (Fig. 1C). Following the 10 pairings at each of the 21 levels of current application (~20 min; Fig. 1D), orthodromic stimulation at 0.2 Hz was continued for 45 min or until the intracellular recording was no longer stable. Only one data set was collected from any given slice, and a maximum of four data sets were obtained per rat.

Following the completion of these experiments, four 9-mo-old and four 25-mo-old animals (all retired breeders) were used in additional control experiments. The first control test was conducted to verify the assumption that the LTP induced by the paired-depolarization and orthodromic input required the conjunction of these two variables. The second control experiment was conducted to determine how effective NMDA receptor antagonism would be in this paired-depolarization paradigm. For experiments involving NMDA receptor blockade, 100 μM D-2-amino-5-amino-phosphonovaleric acid (APV; Sigma), an NMDA receptor blocker that prevents LTP induction (Collingridge et al. 1983), was added to the media following the acquisition of two control data sets in standard media.

Results

SPATIAL AND VISUAL DISCRIMINATION VERSIONS OF THE MORRIS SWIM TASK

Four old animals persisted in thigmotaxic behavior during the visible platform task. Therefore, their visual acuity could not be assessed, and their data are excluded from both the behavioral and paired-depolarization analyses presented here. Also, for the aged animals, no significant differences were found between virgins and retired breeders in any behavioral or electrophysiological parameter. Therefore, their data are collapsed in
ACQUISITION AND RETENTION OF THE SPATIAL TASK

The CIPL was measured for each of the 24 spatial trials, and means for each block of 6 trials (each day of training) were calculated (Fig. 2A). A significant overall effect of age on CIPL was found over the 4-day spatial acquisition period \[F(2,87) = 20.26, P<0.0001\], with the old animals taking a longer path to the target relative to the younger animals. Although there was no overall significant effect of age on CIPL during the first six trials \[F(2,87) = 2.45, P = 0.092\], the old rats took a significantly longer path to the target than did the younger age groups on all subsequent days of the spatial training \[day 2: F(2,87) = 8.18, P = 0.0006; \quad day 3: F(2,87) = 20.97, P<0.0001; \quad day 4: F(2,87) = 19.68, P<0.0001\]. There was a significant effect of trial block on CIPL for the old animals \[F(29,3) = 5.43, P<0.0018\], indicating learning of the spatial task; however, even for the last day of training, the CIPL measure for the senescent animals was approximately twice that of the younger groups \[CIPL: old = 345.8\pm 27.9 \text{ m}; \quad adult = 169.5\pm 28.0 \text{ m}; \quad pup = 132.7\pm 20.4 \text{ m}\], indicating that the old animals were significantly impaired in acquiring the task.

Analyses of the 60-sec probe trial (given immediately after the last spatial trial) consisted of the following parameters: search time in each quadrant, average distance from the target, and the number of target crossings. Quadrant search time data during the probe trial indicated an overall significant effect of quadrant upon search pattern \[F(3,356) = 58.54, P<0.0001\], but only the pups and adults spent significantly more time in the target quadrant than in the other three quadrants \[pup: F(3,116) = 73.38, P<0.0001; \quad adult: F(3,116) = 31.17, P<0.0001\]. The search time in the target quadrant was significantly longer for the pups than for the adults \[F(1,58) = 5.52, P = 0.022\]. The old animals searched equally in all four quadrants (Fig. 2B), indicating that they had not learned the location of the target quadrant containing the platform \[F(3,116) = 0.759, P = 0.519\].

Old animals also searched for the platform during the probe trial further from the target quad-
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There was a significant effect of age on average distance [overall: $F(2,87) = 16.46, P<0.0001$; AD: pup, 60.79± 3.74; adult, 67.19±3.60; old, 86.09±2.15]. There was no significant difference in the values for the pup versus adult age groups ($P>0.05$).

**ACQUISITION OF THE CUE TASK**

There was a significant overall effect of trial on acquisition of the visible platform version of the swim task [$F(5,534)=3.56, P<0.004$], suggesting that the rats improved with training. There was, however, no significant effect of age [Fig. 2C; $F(2,87)=0.151, P=0.86$], suggesting that the old rats performed as well as did the pups and adult rats on this visual discrimination problem (CIPL mean: pup, 59.50±5.52; adult, 63.25±8.37; old, 67.28±6.22). Thus, the contribution of sensory or motivational changes to the deficits observed on the spatial problem are likely to be minimal. Furthermore, if poor performance in the spatial task was primarily because of deterioration of vision in the old rats, then a significant correlation between performance on the two versions of the swim task would be expected. This was not the case, however, as no significant relationship was found between path length on the block of six visible platform trials and the last block of spatial trials, either for the entire group of 90 animals [$F(1,88)=0.110, P=0.741$] or within age groups.

**ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL RESULTS**

The paired-depolarization paradigm was completed in 242 CA1 pyramidal cells (pup, $n=81$; adult, $n=79$; old, $n=82$) in 30 rats from each age group. Consistent with previous studies (Segal 1982; Landfield and Pittler 1984; Barnes et al. 1987, 1992; Kerr et al. 1989; Potier et al. 1992, 1993; Shen and Barnes 1996), no age differences were found in basic membrane parameters in CA1 pyramidal cells, such as resting membrane potential (pup, 60.1±1.5 mV; adult, 61.6±2.1 mV; old, 62.8±2.0 mV) or input resistance (pup, 36.3±1.9 MΩ ; adult, 31.5±1.7 MΩ ; old, 35.0±1.9 MΩ ).

The intracellularly recorded EPSP, elicited by a 20-μA or a 60-μA orthodromic stimulus in stratum radiatum, was smaller in the old rats relative to that observed in the younger age groups [20 μA: $F(2,87)=5.17, P<0.008$; 60 μA: $F(2,87)=5.10, P<0.008$], consistent with previous data indicating smaller population Schaffer collateral-evoked EPSPs in senescent rats (Fig. 3A; Barnes et al. 1992; Rao et al. 1993). The mean EPSP amplitudes at 20 μA and 60 μA, respectively, were pup, 1.62±0.06 mV, 3.29±0.13 mV; adult, 1.65±0.06 mV, 3.29±0.12 mV; old, 1.57±0.08 mV, 2.76±0.15 mV. No significant correlation was found, how-

**Figure 3:** (A) For comparison of the present groups of animals to previous experiments, in which smaller synaptic responses have been observed following orthodromic stimulation, the synaptic responses in all rats were measured at two fixed stimulus intensity levels. The pup and adult age groups exhibited the same intracellularly recorded EPSP amplitude at the 20-μA and 60-μA orthodromic stimulus intensity levels, whereas the old rats showed significantly smaller compound EPSPs than the pups or adults. (B) The orthodromic stimulus intensity levels that elicited an action potential did not differ across age groups, suggesting an increase in excitability of old CA1 cells (see text). (In each age group $n=30$ rats.)
ever, between the baseline EPSP amplitude and the magnitude of LTP resulting from the current injection paradigm \(F(1,88) = 0.019, P = 0.891\). In addition, no differences were found across age groups in the EPSP rise time [onset to 90% of peak; \(F(2,87) = 0.298, P = 0.743\); mean: pup, 4.88±0.07 msec; adult, 4.89±0.08 msec; old, 4.82±0.06 msec] or half width \(F(2,87) = 0.298, P = 0.743\); mean: pup, 7.02±0.11 msec; adult, 7.05±0.11 msec; old, 6.94±0.09 msec] measures that depend on the electrotonic characteristics of the postsynaptic neuron.

There was no significant age difference in the stimulus current required to elicit an orthodromic action potential (Fig. 3B; pup, 123±6 μA; adult, 131±5 μA; old, 136±2 μA), even though compound EPSP amplitudes were smaller in the old rats (Fig. 3A). This is consistent with previous experiments that have reported greater excitability in older animals at the Schaffer collateral CA1 synapse (Landfield et al. 1986; Barnes et al. 1987; Kerr et al. 1991; Papatheodoropoulos and Kostopoulos 1996), but it should be noted that there have been studies in which declines with age in excitability have been reported in CA1 for rats (Turner and Deupree 1991; Potier et al. 1992, 1993).

There was a statistically significant effect of paired orthodromic stimulation with current injection (Fig. 4) on the slope of the EPSP \(F(20,1869) = 340.6, P<0.0001\); however, there was no effect of age on this form of LTP induction \(F(2,1827) = 0.054, P = 0.9473\). The significant EPSP amplitude increase relative to baseline first appeared following the 0.4-nA current injection level when the data were collapsed across age \(F(1,178) = 7.17, P<0.008\); however, this increase was not significant within age groups at the 0.4-nA level [pup: \(F(1,58) = 1.66, P = 0.203\); adult: \(F(1,58) = 3.66, P = 0.061\]; old: \(F(1,58) = 2.10, P = 0.153\). At the 0.6-nA current injection level, a highly significant increase in EPSP slope relative to baseline was present within each age group [old: \(F(1,58) = 25.6, P<0.0001\); adult: \(F(1,58) = 29.2, P<0.0001\]; pup: \(F(1,58) = 32.21, P<0.0001\]. Thus, there was no age difference in the threshold for LTP induction using the paired-depolarization method. The asymptotic levels of LTP achieved with this method were ~40% in each age group (LTP immediately after last pulse: pup, 41.8±2.2%; adult, 42.3±2.5%; old, 41.7±2.7%) and were not significantly different across age groups \(F(2,87) = 0.02, P = 0.98\). Thus, the absolute magnitude of LTP that can be induced is indistinguishable across age groups.

The increase observed in the EPSP slope following the paired-depolarization protocol persisted at least 15 min (Fig. 5) following the last current pulse, and this value was not different across age groups (pup, 35.6±2.2%; adult, 37.8±2.3%; old, 35.9±2.2%). For a subset of animals, additional time points were collected out to 45 min after the last current pulse (Fig. 5). No age differences were observed in the magnitude of LTP at the 30-min (pups: \(n = 17, 25.5±2.2\); adult: \(n = 17, 26.3±2.2\); old: \(n = 17, 25.7±2.2\)).
Persistence of pairing-induced LTP. There were no age effects on mean LTP induced following the final paired depolarization stimulus at 4 nA (time 0) or at 15, 30, or 45 min after the final pairing. (Open bars) Pup; (shaded bars) adult; (solid bars) old.

Adults: n = 17, 28.9 ± 2.9%; old: n = 16, 27.2 ± 2.2% ) or the 45-min time point (n as above; pup, 23.6 ± 2.1%; adult, 24.9 ± 2.6%; old, 27.2 ± 2.2%). Approximately the same number of cells were lost over the 45-min time period in each age group (cells lost: pups = 47; adults = 46; old = 48), suggesting that cell stability was not different between groups.

To verify the temporal dependence of orthodromic and current injection stimulation, control experiments were conducted in additional 9-month-old and 25-month-old rats. No effect of current application (0–4 nA) on EPSP slope was observed in either age group when the orthodromic stimulus was delivered 100 msec [adult: F(4,15) = 0.124, P = 0.972; old: F(4,15) = 1.39, P = 0.285] or 1 sec [adult: F(4,15) = 0.178, P = 0.946; old: F(4,15) = 1.254, P = 0.331] after the intracellular 100-msec current injection pulse had ended (Fig. 6A). Subsequent pairing of the orthodromic stimulus with the intracellular pulses in the same cells produced significant LTP of the EPSP in both age groups [adult: F(4,15) = 18.527, P < 0.0001; old: F(4,15) = 11.867; P < 0.0002]. This increase in EPSP slope remained for at least 30 min following the last current pulse (adult, 28.3% ± 3.4; old, 33.0% ± 3.44). No significant age differences were observed. These data indicate that, under these experimental conditions, conjunction of the orthodromic and depolarization pulses was required for LTP induction.

In a final set of control experiments (n = 4 9-month-old rats; n = 4 25-month-old rats), pairing of the orthodromic stimulus with current injection in the absence of APV produced significant LTP [F(4,35) = 28.43, P < 0.0001], whereas in the presence of APV no significant LTP was induced [F(4,35) = 0.634, P = 0.642]. Consistent with data reported above, no significant age differences in LTP induction by this method were observed. Data for the EPSP slope following the 1-, 2-, 3-, and 4-nA current levels are shown in Figure 6B, although the current ejection paradigm used was identical to the original experiment.

Figure 5: Persistence of pairing-induced LTP. There were no age effects on mean LTP induced following the final paired depolarization stimulus at 4 nA (time 0) or at 15, 30, or 45 min after the final pairing. (Open bars) Pup; (shaded bars) adult; (solid bars) old.

Figure 6: (A) Illustration of the control experiment conducted to verify the temporal contiguity requirement for the orthodromic stimulus and intracellular current injection. Data are shown for the case in which the current injection came 100 msec before the orthodromic stimulus (left, unpaired), vs. the case in which they were simultaneously delivered (right, paired). No LTP was induced in the antipairing condition in either age group, whereas robust LTP, lasting at least 30 min, was induced in the paired condition. (B) Control experiment illustrating the fact that the paired-depolarization protocol used here induces an NMDA-dependent LTP. In the absence of APV, pairing currents from 0 to 4 nA with orthodromic stimuli (only 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 nA shown here) produced LTP (left), whereas in different slices from the same animals LTP could not be induced in the presence of the NMDA antagonist APV (right). (Shaded bars) Adult; (solid bars) old.
CORRELATION BETWEEN BEHAVIOR AND ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL RESULTS

Given that there was a statistically significant difference between the younger rats and the older age group in the size of the EPSP elicited following a fixed intensity, this variable was used to correlate with the spatial behavioral measure. The relationship between the animals' performance (CIPL) during the last block of spatial trials and the evoked EPSP amplitude in hippocampal slices derived from these rats is shown in Figure 7. A significant overall correlation for CIPL versus EPSP amplitude was observed [20 μA: F(1,88)=4.041, P<0.0001; 60 μA: F(1,88)=14.05, P<0.0003]; however, within age groups this relationship only reached statistical significance post hoc for the old rats, at both the 20-μA intensity (pup: F(1,28)=4.04, P=0.054; adult: F(1,28)=0.52, P=0.478; old: F(1,28)=9.91, P=0.0039) and the 60 μA intensity (pup: F(1,28)=3.82, P=0.061; adult: F(1,28)=0.01, P=0.926; old: F(1,28)=11.09, P=0.0024). Consistent with observations made between young and old animals at the perforant path–granule cell synapse (Barnes 1979), no significant correlations (overall or within age groups) were found between spatial behavior and the magnitude of LTP induced at the Schaffer collateral–CA1 pyramidal cell synapse [F(1,88)=0.047, P=0.830].

Discussion

The major finding of this study is that, when the paired-depolarization method of LTP induction is used, no age differences in threshold for LTP induction or in absolute magnitude of LTP were found from 1 to 28 months of age in the male F-344 rat. Because of the large numbers of animals used in each age group (n=30 in each of three groups), it is not likely that this result arose because of lack of power to detect a meaningful effect. Thus, if there is an effect of age in the experimental induction of LTP in the F-344 rat, then it is likely to be attributable to factors that arise before the involvement of the NMDA receptor. These results are inconsistent with the hypothesis that NMDA receptor-mediated events in CA1 are defective in old animals. Although alternatives certainly could be proposed, the data are consistent with the hypothesis that there are fewer Schaffer collateral synaptic contacts (structural or functional) in old rats and that the failure of LTP induction using near-threshold stimulation parameters (Deupree et al. 1991, 1993; Moore et al. 1993) could be attributable to insufficient synaptic convergence in the old rats. If there are fewer functional synapses in CA1, then the implication for cognition in old animals includes the possibility that the mechanisms are in place for normal learning, as long as conditions for sufficient convergence can be set up. It is equally possible, however, that under normal conditions, there is such a cooperativity failure and that this may contribute to the observed memory deficits.

Overall, the electrophysiological data collected in this large sample of rats is consistent with other experiments that have demonstrated preservation of basic biophysical properties of old pyramidal cells, such as resting potential and input re-
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...sistance (Barnes 1994). Also consistent with several earlier reports, we observed a decrease in the size of the Schaffer collateral—CA1 synaptic response in old rats (Landfield et al. 1986; Barnes et al. 1992; Bauman et al. 1992; Gribkoff and Bauman 1992; Rao et al. 1993; Papathetheodoropoulos and Kostopoulos 1996) and a paradoxical lowering of the threshold for action potential generation (i.e., greater excitability) in pyramidal cells of old rats (Landfield et al. 1986; Barnes et al. 1987; Kerr et al. 1991; Papathetheodoropoulos and Kostopoulos 1996). The present experiment is inconsistent, however, with reports of changed electrotonic properties of pyramidal cell dendrites in old rats (Turner and Deupree 1991). Other studies have also failed to observe altered electrotonic properties in hippocampal cells (Barnes and McNaughton 1980a; Foster et al. 1991; Barnes et al. 1992). If there were an increase in electrotonic length in the cells of the old rats in the present study, the active synapses would have been electrically more remote from the current injection and depolarization of the soma. Such conditions would have increased the likelihood of observing an apparent LTP induction threshold difference using the paired-depolarization method. This was not observed.

Finally, it is of interest that the smaller synaptic response in old rats, which may be attributed to synapse sparsity, was significantly related to spatial learning ability in the old rats. This observation encourages the application of therapeutic approaches that might ameliorate this relatively easily observed neurobiological marker of aging (e.g., Cohen and Müller 1992; Castorina et al. 1993; Pitaluga et al. 1993).
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