Serine 133 phosphorylation is not required for hippocampal CREB-mediated transcription and behavior
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The cyclic AMP response element (CRE)-binding protein, CREB, is a transcription factor whose activity in the brain is critical for long-term memory formation. Phosphorylation of Ser133 in the kinase-inducible domain (KID), that in turn leads to the recruitment of the transcriptional coactivator CREB-binding protein (CBP), is thought to mediate the activation of CREB. However, the importance of phosphorylation for CREB binding to DNA and subsequent gene transcription in vivo is controversial. To definitively address the role of CREB phosphorylation in gene transcription and learning and memory, we derived mutant mice lacking the Ser133 phosphorylation site. These mice exhibit normal CREB-mediated gene transcription for a number of genes implicated in learning and memory processes. Furthermore these mice have no deficits in hippocampus- or striatum-dependent learning. Strikingly, our findings show that CREB phosphorylation at Ser133 is not necessary for CREB binding to CRE sites, CREB-mediated transcription, or CREB-mediated behavioral phenotypes associated with learning and memory.

Materials and Methods

Derivation of CREB S133A mice

A 15.1-kb DNA fragment, containing exon 7 of the Creb1 gene, was retrieved from C57BL/6j mice and used to transfect embryonic stem (ES) cells via electroporation (Bunting et al. 1999), with the exception of the replacement of the P132 codon with CCT to disrupt the StuI restriction enzyme site. The self-excising selection cassette based on the PollI promoter with the PGK promoter to allow for kanamycin resistance in bacteria, was also inserted 5′ of the mutated exon 7 to complete the targeting vector. The linearized targeting vector was electroporated into C57BL/6j-derived ES cells (Chemicon), and clones surviving G418 selection were screened for homologous recombination by Southern blot analysis. Targeted clones were injected into C57BL/6j-derived blastocysts that were then transferred to pseudopregnant females. Male offspring were mated to C57BL/6j females and ES cell-derived offspring, heterozygous for the S133A allele, were identified by PCR-based genotyping. Additional genotyping for sequences within the selection cassette.
was performed to ensure that proper and complete recombination between the loxP sites had occurred in the male germline. The sequence of the genotyping primers are as follows: forward: ACGGCATGAGCAGTACAG; reverse: CATGTGCTTTCCCTCAT GTG. Mice were backcrossed at least five generations with C57BL/6 to eliminate any potential mutations carried by the ES cell. The male mice and wild-type littermates were bred and maintained on a F1 hybrid background (129sVtsEvTac/ C57BL/6Ntac) as described previously (Walters and Blendy 2001). All animals were housed in a temperature and humidity controlled animal care facility with a 12 h light/dark cycle (lights on at 7:00 a.m.). All procedures were approved by the University of Pennsylvania Animal Care and Use Committee. Equal numbers of male and female mice were utilized for all experiments. No significant sex differences were seen in any of the behavioral or molecular assays and therefore the data from both sexes are combined in all analyses.

**Fear conditioning**

Briefly, mice were placed in a rectangular (16 in length × 6 1/4 in width × 8 3/8 in height) fear-conditioning chamber (Med Associates) and presented with two tone (85 dB, 2 kHz)/footshock (0.7 mA) pairings. The mice were given 2.5 min to explore the box prior to the onset of the first tone (conditioning stimulus; CS), which preceded the 2-sec footshock by 28 sec. The second pairing occurred 1 min later and the mice were removed from the chamber after 5 min. The chamber was washed with 70% ethanol between animals. Mice were tested for context conditioning in the same chamber at 24 h after training. For context testing, mice were placed into the unaftered chamber for 5 min. For testing of cued conditioning, the floor and sides were replaced with smooth, black panels. During the cued test, a water solution containing 1% orange extract was placed on a cotton ball underneath the floor and between subjects the chamber was wiped down with this mixture. The fan was disconnected. For cued testing, the mice were placed in the altered chamber for 5 min in total, and they were exposed to the CS for the last 3 min. Mice that were tested for contextual conditioning at 24 h after training were then tested for cued conditioning 1 h later. Freezing behavior was assessed with FreeScan analysis software (Clever Sys) and confirmed by hand scoring the data. A separate group of mice was exposed to contextual fear conditioning alone without any presentation of tones during training. A separate group of mice was trained on fear conditioning to generate tissue for RT-PCR experiments.

**Shock sensitivity**

To determine whether CREB S133A mice exhibit alterations in shock sensitivity that might be masking effects on the fear-conditioning behavior, we exposed mice to a range of 2-sec footshocks (0.10–0.80 mA) over a 20-min testing period. There were three presentations of each shock intensity, with a 20-sec interstimulus interval and a 90-sec intertrial interval. We scored the animals’ response for each shock presentation (0 = no response, 1 = flinch, 2 = vocalization, 3 = run, 4 = jump) and averaged the three responses at each shock intensity (scoring adapted from Gulic and Gould 2009).

**Instrumental learning**

Mice were placed in operant chambers (Med Associates) and trained to spin a wheel manipulandum to receive a sucrose pellet. A compound cue system consisting of a cue light above the active lever, a 2,900-Hz tone, and house light off was concurrent with each pellet administration, for an additional 8-sec time-out when responding had no programmed consequences and the house light remained off. Mice were allowed to self-administer a maximum of 50 pellets per 60 min operant session. During these experiments, mice were food restricted to ~90% of their free-feeding weight. The average percent active responding was calculated for 3 d after 7 d of training.

**Western blot**

Mice were taken directly from their home cages and killed by cervical dislocation. Whole hippocampi were dissected and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tissue was homogenized in 200 μL of ice-cold extraction buffer containing PBS, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM EDTA, 0.01% SDS, and 1 mM PMSF. Protein concentration was quantified using the Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific). Equal amounts of protein (30 μg for whole cell) were loaded and separated in 10% polyacrylamide Tris–Glycine gels (Invitrogen) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes using the i-Blot dry transfer system (Invitrogen). Membranes were blocked with Li-Cor blocking buffer. Membranes were incubated for 24 h at 4°C with selective antibodies to: CREB (1:1000; Santa Cruz), pCREB (1:1000, Millipore), TORC1 (1:1000, Cell Signaling), and GAPDH (1:2000, Cell Signaling). Membranes were then incubated with fluorescent secondary antibodies (1:5000, IR-dye 680 or IR-dye 800), before being imaged on an Odyssey fluorescent scanner (LiCor Biosciences). GAPDH was used as an internal loading control.

**RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction**

Mice were killed by cervical dislocation directly from their home cages or 30 min following fear-conditioning training for the evaluation of early gene expression changes. Brains were rapidly removed, whole hippocampi hand-dissected, and frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA was extracted from hippocampal tissue using TRIzol/chloroform (Invitrogen) and the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). cDNA was synthesized from RNA using an oligo(dT) primer (Operon) and Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (QPCR) was carried out using the SYBR-green master mix (Applied Biosystems) and 300 nM primers (final concentration) on the Stratagene MX3000 using MXPro QPCR software. Cycling parameters were 95°C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C (30 sec) and 60°C (1 min), ending with a melting curve analysis to assess the amplification of a single amplicon. All reactions were performed in triplicate, with the median cycle time used for analysis. TATA-box binding protein (TBP) was used as a housekeeping gene against whose levels all experimental genes were normalized. Primer sequences can be found in Table 1.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation**

Macros dissected brain tissue was chopped and suspended in 1.1% formaldehyde/PBS for 10 min at room temperature with constant agitation. Cross-linking was quenched by the addition of glycine to a final concentration of 0.125 M, after which the tissue was

---

**Table 1. Primers used for qRT-PCR analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse primer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CREM</td>
<td>S-CAGAGGAAAGAGGGACACCA-3′</td>
<td>S-TCAGTTGCCCCGCTGCTAGT-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICER</td>
<td>S-ATGGCTGTAACGTGAGATGAAACCT-3′</td>
<td>S-CTGGACCTGATGCTTCGCT-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBP</td>
<td>S-CAGACATCACTCTCAGA-3′</td>
<td>S-GGAGCTACAGATCTTCTTGT-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nr4A1</td>
<td>S-CTTTGCTTCCCTTCTCTTCTTTT-3′</td>
<td>S-TTCATGCTTGGCCAGCTAGC-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nr4A2</td>
<td>S-GGCGTGTCTCTTCTCTCTCTCTT-3′</td>
<td>S-TTTAGACCTGCCGAGG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cFos mRNA</td>
<td>S-GTACCTGACCTGTCGCTCCACC-3′</td>
<td>S-GGAAGGCATCTGCTGCTGTG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FoxS ChIP</td>
<td>S-GGATCACGTACCTGTCGCTCTTGTGCTCACC-3′</td>
<td>S-GGCACGAGGAGAGAAGAA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FoxS ChIP</td>
<td>S-GGCTGAGCAGGAGAAGAA-3′</td>
<td>S-TCAGGCTTCACAGGAAAGAA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH ChIP</td>
<td>S-CTTTGCTGTCGCTTGGCTACAC-3′</td>
<td>S-TAGACCAAGGGATCTGCTGTG-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH ChIP</td>
<td>S-CAGACGAGGAGAGAAGAA-3′</td>
<td>S-GGGAACGAGGAGGAGAAGAA-3′</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH ChIP</td>
<td>S-GGCACGAGGAGGAGAAGAA-3′</td>
<td>S-CACACCAAGGAGGAGAAGA-3′</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Materials and Methods for more details.
sedimented by centrifugation. Pellets were resuspended in ChIP cell lysis buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 1% NP-40, protease inhibitors) and dounce homogenized (Kontes, 18 × 150-mm tube reservoir; 8 × 220-mm pestle shaft). Chromatin was sonicated to an optimal 200-bp fragment size using a Diagenode Bioruptor for five cycles (5 min; 30-sec on, 30-sec off). Insoluble debris was removed by centrifugation at 13,000g for 15 min at 4°C, supernatant was collected and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Cross-linking was reversed by the addition of NaCl to a final concentration of 192 mM, overnight incubation at −80°C, and purification using a PCR purification kit (Qiagen). Immunoprecipitations were performed as previously described (Friedman et al. 2004) with anti-CREB (sc-186, Santa Cruz), anti-trimethyl-Histone H3 (Lys4) (67-473, Millipore), or control IgG (sc-2025, Santa Cruz) antibodies. Promoter enrichment was quantified using QPCR and calculated by comparing the difference in abundance of the control DNA amplicon (185 RNA loci) to the ampiclon sequence of interest (cfos, fosB, or GAPDH) in genomic DNA (input) to the immunoprecipitated DNA. Data were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni/Dunn post hoc test. Primer sequences are available upon request.

Microarray

RNA samples were labeled with the Agilent low input labeling kit in single-color mode. The resulting cRNA was then hybridized overnight to Agilent 4x44K Mouse Whole Genome v2 arrays. The arrays were scanned with an Agilent scanner and the resulting images processed with Agilent’s Feature Extraction program (v10.10.1.1). The gNetSignal data values were then quantile-normalized (R package limma, normalizeBetweenArrays function) and statistical significance of differential expression assessed with the SAMR package.

Results

To specifically examine the functional role of CREB phosphorylation, we derived mutant mice with a single point mutation in exon 7 at Ser133 to prevent phosphorylation at this site (Fig. 1A). We analyzed homologous recombination and germline transmission of the mutant allele by DNA sequencing of homozygous mutant mice, confirming the presence of the Ser133Ala mutation (Fig. 1B). It should be noted that previous attempts to generate similar mice resulted in splicing around the minigene construct (utilizing a primer that targets all isoforms) in the hippocampus of Ser133Ala homozygous mutant mice. Unlike in CREB mutant mice, in which CREM mRNA is fivefold higher than in controls mice, no increase in CREM mRNA levels occurred in Ser133Ala mutant mice, but no differences were seen between Ser133Ala and wild-type mice. (E) Analysis of 473 offspring revealed a decrease in the proportion of mice homozygous for the S133A mutation compared with expected Mendelian frequencies.

Figure 1. Derivation and characterization of Ser133Ala mutant mouse. (A) Schematic depicting the exon structure of the mouse Creb1 gene. Exon 7, encoding Ser133 (TCC), was replaced with a mutant sequence containing a Ser133Ala (TCC to GCC) substitution along with a self-excising selection cassette. Testes-specific expression of Cre recombinase, driven by the tACE promoter, resulted in deletion of the selection cassette and establishment of the CREB 5′Ala allele upon germline transmission. The Prol132 codon was also changed from CCT to CCC, maintaining the Pro residue but abolishing the StuI restriction enzyme site. The Pro132 codon was also changed from CCT to CCC, maintaining the Pro residue but abolishing the StuI restriction enzyme site. Following deletion or reduced expression of CREB, expression of CREM is up-regulated in multiple models (Hummler et al. 1994; Mantamadiotis et al. 2002; Gundersen et al. 2013; Shin et al. 2014), and provides partial functional compensation for the loss of CREB, as phenotypes are often more severe after deletion of both CREB and CREM (Mantamadiotis et al. 2002; Lemberger et al. 2008). To determine whether the Ser133Ala mutation also causes activation of the CREM gene, we examined CREM expression (utilizing a primer that targets all isoforms) in the hippocampus of Ser133Ala homozygous mutant mice. Unlike in CREB 5′Ala mutant mice, in which CREM mRNA is fivefold higher than in controls mice, no increase in CREM mRNA levels occurred in Ser133Ala mice (Fig. 1F). Furthermore, ICER, the primary isoform of CREM in the brain (Molina et al. 1993), was not up-regulated in Ser133Ala mice either (Fig. 1G).
Analysis of 473 offspring from heterozygous Ser133Ala matings revealed only a 38% allelic frequency of the mutant allele (Fig. 1H) as opposed to the expected 50%, similar to the 42% frequency seen in CREBΔ mutant mice (Hummler et al. 1994). A χ² analysis revealed that these proportions are significantly different from the expected Mendelian frequencies ($\chi^2 = 54.69, P < 0.0001$). Similar to CREBΔ mutant mice, this deviation was driven by a decrease in the proportion of animals containing two mutant alleles (11% Ser133Ala/Ser133Ala versus 35% +/-). These data suggest that CREB phosphorylation contributes to pre- or perinatal survival, but is not critical as many homozygous mutant mice survive, in contrast to CREB null mice, which die immediately after birth from respiratory distress (Rudolph et al. 1998).

To ascertain the effects of CREB phosphorylation on learning and memory, we chose fear conditioning, because this behavioral task has been clearly identified as CREB-dependent within our laboratory, whereas tasks like the Morris water maze have yielded inconsistent results (Bourchuladze et al. 1994; Gass et al. 1998; Graves et al. 2002). We found no genotype differences in footshock sensitivity ($F_{\text{Genotype}(1,18)} = 0.078, P = 0.78$; Fig. 2A) or in freezing behavior during training ($F_{\text{Genotype}(1,33)} = 0.872, P = 0.36$; Fig. 2B). Furthermore, no effect of genotype was seen on either contextual or cued fear memory (context: $t_{(16)} = 0.52, P = 0.88, N = 9$; cued: $t_{(10)} = 0.59, P = 0.65$; Fig. 2C,D).

Together with fear learning, disruption of CREB function has also been implicated in striatal-dependent learning (Pittenger et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2008). Therefore, we used an operant learning task, which requires mice to discriminate between active and inactive operand manipulanda. While CREBΔ mutant mice exhibited deficits in discrimination learning compared with wild-type mice, no effects of the Ser133Ala mutation was seen in this paradigm ($F_{(3,90)} = 11.80, P < 0.0001$ for the CREBΔ mutant mice; Fig. 2C). Furthermore, despite the different background strains, no differences were seen between the F1 hybrid and C57BL/6 wild-type mice. These results demonstrate that when levels of CREB protein are constant, CREB phosphorylation at Ser133 is not necessary for hippocampus- or striatum-dependent learning tasks.

Traditionally, it has been supposed that CREB is constitutively bound to CRE sites but no gene transcription will occur until the protein is phosphorylated (Dash et al. 1991; Matthews et al. 1994). Therefore, recent evidence suggests that CREB phosphorylation may be necessary for optimal CRE binding (Cha-Molstad et al. 2004). To determine whether CREB binding occurs in the absence of Ser133 phosphorylation, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) of CREB in hippocampal lysates from naïve wild-type and Ser133Ala mice. Strikingly, we found strong CREB occupancy at the promoter regions of cfos and FosB in both wild-type and Ser133Ala mice (Fig. 3A,B). To determine whether the association of CREB with these promoters was indicative of transcriptional activation, we performed ChIP for H3K4me3 and found it enriched to the same extent at the cfos and FosB promoters in both wild-type and Ser133Ala mice (Fig. 3C,D). H3K4me3 binding is associated with transcriptionally permissive chromatin (Lauherth et al. 2013) and cfos mRNA is also up-regulated (Fig. 3G), thus confirming that CREB phosphorylation is not necessary for CREB-mediated transcription of this well-characterized CREB-target gene. Additionally, we analyzed a region of the GAPDH promoter that does not contain CREB binding sites. Binding to this fragment was <20% of that detected for the cfos promoter, consistent with what has been reported previously for this gene/promoter region (Impy et al. 2004; Wissiewska et al. 2010).

To evaluate this notion further, we examined the ability of fear conditioning to induce expression of known CREB-target genes that play a role in this behavior (Hawk et al. 2012). In contrast to what is seen in CREBΔ mutant mice, fear conditioning caused normal up-regulation of Nr4a1 (Fig. 3E), Nr4a2 (Fig. 3F), and cfos (Fig. 3G) expression in Ser133Ala mice, comparable to what was seen in both F1 hybrid (CREBΔ wild type) and C57/Bl6 (S133 wild-type) mice.

Last, to determine whether phosphorylation-independent CREB-gene transcription was limited to genes involved in fear memory behavior, we performed microarray expression profiling, comparing the mRNA transcriptome from naïve wild type and Ser133Ala total hippocampal lysates (N = 3–4). Among the 44,000 probe sets analyzed by the array, none were downregulated even with the low threshold of a 10% false discovery rate (FDR) (Fig. 3H).

**Discussion**

Taken together, we derived a novel mouse model homozygous for a CREB serine to alanine mutation, preventing phosphorylation at this site. Despite the extensive literature showing that
stimulation of CRE-mediated transcription by CREB correlates with phosphorylation of CREB at Ser133, our data demonstrate clearly that, with respect to learning and memory, CREB phosphorylation at Ser133 is not necessary for CREB binding to CRE sites, CREB-mediated transcription, or CREB-mediated behavioral phenotypes.

Fear learning and memory has been firmly established as dependent upon CREB, both in our laboratory and others; processes require phosphorylation of CREB on Ser133, the data presented here indicate that memory consolidation is not one of them.

The role of CREB in long-term memory storage has been an area of intense investigation for over 20 yr in a variety of systems, including Aplysia, Drosophila, and rodents. CREB is referred to as an “activity-dependent” transcription factor and the phosphorylation of Ser 133 has been the “activity” most closely associated with
with this protein, as it is easy to detect and occurs within minutes of neuronal stimulation (Gonzalez and Montmory 1989). The novel mouse model presented here, which prevents phosphorylation of Ser 133, suggest a reevaluation of the reliance on Ser133 phosphorylation as readout of CREB activation so prevalent in the field.
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