Forming competing fear learning and extinction memories in adolescence makes fear difficult to inhibit
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Fear inhibition is markedly impaired in adolescent rodents and humans. The present experiments investigated whether this impairment is critically determined by the animal’s age at the time of fear learning or their age at fear extinction. Male rats (n = 170) were tested for extinction retention after conditioning and extinction at different ages. We examined neural correlates of impaired extinction retention by detection of phosphorylated mitogen-activated protein kinase immunoreactivity (pMAPK-IR) in several brain regions. Unexpectedly, adolescent rats exhibited good extinction retention if fear was acquired before adolescence. Further, fear acquired in adolescence could be successfully extinguished in adulthood but not within adolescence. Adolescent rats did not show extinction-induced increases in pMAPK-IR in the medial prefrontal cortex or the basolateral amygdala, or a pattern of reduced caudal central amygdala pMAPK-IR, as was observed in juveniles. This dampened prefrontal and basolateral amygdala MAPK activation following extinction in adolescence occurred even when there was no impairment in extinction retention. In contrast, only adolescent animals that exhibited impaired extinction retention showed elevated pMAPK-IR in the posterior paraventricular thalamus. These data suggest that neither the animal’s age at the time of fear acquisition or extinction determines whether impaired extinction retention is exhibited. Rather, it appears that forming competing fear conditioning and extinction memories in adolescence renders this a vulnerable developmental period in which fear is difficult to inhibit. Furthermore, even under conditions that promote good extinction, the neural correlates of extinction in adolescence are different from those recruited in animals of other ages.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

Adolescence is often described as a developmental window of vulnerability, and is a period in which anxiety disorders commonly emerge (Kim-Cohen et al. 2003). This developmental period is a critical time for interventions because adolescent fear disorders are a strong predictor for adult anxiety and other psychological disorders (Kessler et al. 2012). Although extinction of learned fear is widely accepted as a valid model for exposure-based therapy (Graham and Milad 2011), there is a relatively small body of research on fear extinction in adolescent rodents and humans (Baker et al. 2014; Casey et al. 2015). A prominent finding of these studies is that retention of fear extinction is impaired in this stage of development, relative to both younger and older age groups (McCallum et al. 2010; Kim et al. 2011; Pattwell et al. 2012). This impaired fear inhibition could be caused by inefficient recruitment of the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) during extinction in adolescents. In adult and juvenile animals, the mPFC is critical for the consolidation and retrieval of extinction. Some studies have shown that the infralimbic (IL) subdivision of the mPFC, in contrast to the prelimbic (PL) subdivision, is particularly important for extinction (Morgan et al. 1993; Milad and Quirk 2002; Sierra-Mercado et al. 2006; Kim et al. 2009) while other studies have reported similar results for the IL and PL (Santini et al. 2004; Kim et al. 2010; Park and Choi 2010). In any case, NMDA receptors in the mPFC, and their downstream signaling cascades (including mitogen-activated protein kinases [MAPK]), are essential for the consolidation of extinction (Burgos-Robles et al. 2007). The phosphorylation of MAPK (pMAPK) is involved in the activity-dependent modulation of synaptic plasticity in various limbic brain regions (English and Sweatt 1997; Huang et al. 2000). However, adolescent rodents do not exhibit the same extinction-induced increases in pMAPK, a marker of neuronal activity (c-Fos expression), or synaptic plasticity (measured by electrophysiological recordings) in the IL that are observed in juveniles and adults (Kim et al. 2011; Pattwell et al. 2012). This lack of neuronal synaptic plasticity in the mPFC after extinction is consistent with the impaired extinction retention exhibited by adolescents. Nevertheless, it is unknown whether other regions involved in the expression, retrieval, and/or extinction of learned fear, in particular the amygdala (Herry et al. 2006; Sotres-Bayon et al. 2007) and paraventricular thalamus (PVT) (Padilla-Coreano et al. 2012; Li et al. 2014; Do-Monte et al. 2015), show activity-dependent changes in response to fear extinction in adolescence.

Impaired extinction retention in adolescents is a robust, reliable finding that has been replicated across species (i.e., mice, rats, and humans) (McCallum et al. 2010; Kim et al. 2011; Pattwell et al. 2012). It has generally been assumed that adolescents show impaired fear inhibition because of their age at extinction. However, in all of the studies to date that have demonstrated impaired extinction retention in adolescence, groups differed not only in their age at the time of extinction but also their age at the time of fear learning. That is, the participants, whether human or rodent, acquired the fear when juveniles, adolescents, or adults as well as having the fear extinguished at these ages. The present experiments investigated whether it is the animals’ age at the time of fear learning or their age at fear extinction that determines if extinction is impaired in adolescence. It was hypothesized that...
extinction retention would be impaired in adolescents regardless of their age when fear was learned. In addition, we further characterized the neural mechanisms mediating the impaired extinction in adolescents by not only examining the activity-dependent expression of the neuronal synaptic plasticity marker pMAPK (Sweatt 2004) in the mPFC (to replicate past results) but also in the amygdala and PVT.

Results

Experiment 1: do animals extinguished in adolescence have impaired fear extinction regardless of their age at fear acquisition?

Experiment 1 tested the prediction that fear memories extinguished in adolescence, regardless of whether the fear was acquired before or during adolescence, would be vulnerable to relapse. There were three groups. In two groups, juvenile (Postnatal day P24) and adolescent (P34–35) animals received Pavlovian fear conditioning, extinction, and test on consecutive days (Groups JuvCond-Ext and AdolesCond-Ext, respectively). The group of particular interest was the third group, which received fear conditioning as juveniles (P24) and after ~10 d received extinction and test as adolescents (Group JuvCond-AdolesExt). We predicted that because of their age at the time of extinction, these animals would show impaired extinction retention.

Conditioning and extinction proceeded as expected in all experiments. In each experiment, all groups showed comparable overall levels of fear and rates of fear acquisition and extinction (Supplemental Fig. S1–S).

In Experiment 1, there were group differences at test ($F_{(2,31)} = 4.22, P = 0.024$). Figure 1A shows that we replicated the finding that AdolesCond-Ext rats exhibited impaired extinction retention. Surprisingly, adolescent rats exhibited good extinction retention if fear was acquired before adolescence (Group JuvCond-AdolesExt). Student–Newman–Keuls post hoc tests confirmed that the JuvCond-Ext and JuvCond-AdolesExt animals showed similar levels of freezing at test and these differed significantly from AdolesCond-Ext animals ($P < 0.05$). In contrast to our predictions, these findings demonstrate fear acquired prior to adolescence can be successfully extinguished during adolescence. Therefore, the animal’s age at extinction does not determine whether extinguished fear is vulnerable to relapse.

Experiment 2: do animals trained as adolescents have impaired fear extinction regardless of their age at extinction?

We next tested whether the animal’s age at fear learning determines whether impaired extinction retention is exhibited by examining whether animals conditioned during adolescence show impaired extinction retention even if extinction occurs in adulthood. There were three groups. Two groups (one adolescent and one adult) received fear conditioning, extinction, and test on consecutive days (Groups AdolesCond-Ext and AdultCond-Ext, respectively). The third group received fear conditioning as adolescents and after ~5 wk, extinction and test as adults (Group AdolesCond-AdultExt). Animals in the three groups were either P34–37 or ~P70 at extinction.

There were group differences at test ($F_{(2,31)} = 9.22, P = 0.001$; Fig. 1B). Both the AdolesCond-AdultExt and AdultCond-Ext groups showed similar low levels of freezing at test, and these two groups differed from the AdolesCond-Ext animals ($P < 0.05$). In summary, rats that were fear conditioned in adolescence but extinguished in adulthood, exhibited good extinction retention compared with animals trained and extinguished in adolescence. Thus, the animals’ age at the time of fear learning does not determine whether fear extinction retention is impaired.

Experiment 3: delaying extinction in adolescence does not improve extinction retention

The findings from the first two Experiments suggest that it is neither the animals’ age at the time of fear acquisition nor their age at fear extinction that determines whether deficits in extinction retention are observed. Rather, it appears that impaired extinction retention in adolescence requires that both fear conditioning and extinction occur in adolescence. These results were surprising so we next examined whether delaying extinction in adolescence improves extinction, in order to test whether both recent and older (i.e., remote) fear memories are resistant to extinction in adolescence. Adolescent rats received extinction either 1 d (at P34–35), 1 wk (at P40–41), or 2 wk (at P47–48) after conditioning (all still within adolescence). A fourth group received conditioning as adolescents and extinction 4 wk later as young adults (at P61–62).

There were group differences at test ($F_{(3,29)} = 3.77, P = 0.018$). Animals in groups which received extinction 1 d, 1 or 2 wk after extinction showed similar levels of freezing at test (Fig. 1C), and these were significantly higher compared with animals that received extinction in early adulthood 4 wk after conditioning ($P < 0.05$). That is, extinction retention was impaired in rats conditioned as adolescents even if extinction occurred 2 wk after conditioning (but still within adolescence). Extinction retention only improved once extinction was delayed until when animals reached adulthood.
Experiment 4: Extinction retention is impaired in late adolescence

Experiment 4 tested the possibility that the improvement in extinction retention observed in adult animals which learned fear as adolescents in Experiments 2 and 3 was due to the age of the animals rather than the longer interval between conditioning and extinction. It is possible that the strength of the fear memory could have decayed over time and led to improved fear extinction at the later time. Although the similar levels of fear and rates of extinction in Experiments 2 and 3 (Supplemental Figs. S2, S3) do not support this explanation, we tested these competing accounts in this experiment. All rats received fear conditioning in late adolescence (P46–47). Extinction and test occurred either the following day (P47–48) or 2 wk after conditioning (P61–62) as adults.

There were group differences at test (Fig. 1D). Late adolescent animals receiving extinction the day after conditioning showed impaired extinction retention compared with those that received extinction 2 wk after conditioning ($t_{(22)} = 2.50, P = 0.02$). Given that in Experiment 3 extinction retention was impaired in rats conditioned as adolescents if extinction occurred 2 wk after conditioning, but in this experiment fear acquired in late adolescence could be successfully extinguished 2 wk later in adulthood, suggests that the improvement in extinction retention observed in adult animals in Experiments 2 and 3 was due to the age of the animals rather than the longer interval between conditioning and extinction.

Experiment 5: Neural markers of impaired extinction in adolescent rats

In order to examine the neural mechanisms underlying impaired extinction retention in adolescent rats, we replicated the design of Experiment 1, having the same three groups (i.e., JuvCond-Ext, JuvCond-AdolesExt, and AdolesCond-Ext). A “No Extinction” control group was added that received conditioning and was then exposed to the extinction context without any CS presentation. This group consisted of four animals from each condition collapsed into a single nonextinguished control ($n = 12$) after analyses determined that there were no group differences for freezing or pMAPK-immunoreactive (IR) neuron counts (see Supplemental Results). Using a second series of brain sections, we compared pMAPK-IR neuron counts in subregions of the mPFC, amygdala, and PVT in a randomly chosen subset of the No Extinction animals ($n = 7$) to experimentally naive (home cage) animals ($n = 7$). There were no significant group differences in pMAPK expression in any of these brain regions between the Naive and No Extinction animals (Supplemental Fig. S6A–C).

These results are similar to those observed in the basolateral amygdala (BLA) in adult rats (Herry et al. 2006) and confirm that the No Extinction group was an appropriate control group to assess extinction-induced changes in pMAPK.

We measured pMAPK following extinction as it is involved in the activity-dependent modulation of synaptic plasticity in various limbic brain regions (English and Sweatt 1997; Huang et al. 2000; Sweatt 2004). One hour following the extinction/exposure session, rats were perfused and the phosphorylation of MAPK in the mPFC, amygdala, PVT, and lateral habenula was assessed by immunohistochemistry. This time-point for sacrifice after extinction was selected based on previous reports that pMAPK-IR neuron counts peak 1 h after extinction in limbic regions (e.g., BLA and hippocampus; Herry et al. 2006; Fischer et al. 2007). Figures 2 and 3 depict the number of pMAPK-IR neurons observed in the PL and IL cortices of the mPFC, and the BLA and central amygdala (CeA), respectively. We predicted that the two groups that exhibited good extinction retention in Experiment 1 (i.e., JuvCond-Ext and JuvCond-AdolesExt) would show an extinction-induced increase in pMAPK-IR in the IL and BLA, and a decrease in pMAPK-IR in the CeA, and these changes would not be observed in the AdolesCond-Ext animals that exhibited impaired extinction retention.

In the mPFC, there were group differences in pMAPK-IR neuron counts for both the PL ($F_{(3,26)} = 3.23, P = 0.039$) and IL ($F_{(3,26)} = 4.04, P = 0.012$) (Fig. 2A–C). In contrast to our predictions, Dunnett’s post hoc tests showed that only JuvCond-Ext animals showed an extinction-induced increase in pMAPK-IR in the PL ($P = 0.017$) and IL ($P = 0.004$), whereas animals which received extinction in adolescence, regardless of their age at the time of fear acquisition (i.e., JuvCond-AdolesExt or AdolesCond-Ext) did not ($P > 0.05$). In the amygdala, there were group differences in pMAPK-IR neuron counts in BLA ($F_{(3,26)} = 8.48, P < 0.001$) and a trend toward a group difference in the CeA ($F_{(3,26)} = 2.70, P = 0.067$) (Fig. 3A,B). In a similar pattern to the mPFC, JuvCond-Ext animals showed a significant extinction-induced increase in pMAPK-IR in the BLA (Dunnett’s $P = 0.001$; both rostral and caudal regions, smallest $F_{(3,26)} = 7.53, P < 0.001$, Dunnett’s $P = 0.002$; Supplemental Fig S7A) but this was not observed in animals which received extinction in adolescence, regardless of their age at the time of fear acquisition ($P > 0.05$). There were group differences in pMAPK-IR neuron counts in the caudal ($F_{(3,26)} = 3.15, P = 0.042$) but not rostral ($F_{(3,26)} = 1.61, P > 0.05$) CeA. Post hoc tests showed that only JuvCond-Ext animals showed a trend toward reduced activation of the caudal CeA with extinction ($P = 0.057$; Supplemental Fig. S7A). The ratio of BLA to CeA pMAPK-IR within animals also differed across conditions ($F_{(3,26)} = 11.36, P < 0.001$; Fig. 3C). Adolescent animals (i.e., JuvCond-AdolesExt and AdolesCond-Ext), showed a pattern of low BLA pMAPK-IR and high CeA pMAPK-IR after extinction that did not differ significantly from that in No Extinction animals ($P > 0.05$). Importantly, the BLA/CeA ratio was higher after extinction in JuvCond-Ext animals compared with No Extinction animals ($P < 0.001$), with increased BLA and reduced CeA pMAPK expression. Taken together, these results

Figure 2. Prefrontal cortex pMAPK expression following extinction in adolescence. (A) Representative photomicrographs of pMAPK-immunoreactivity (pMAPK-IR) in the prelimbic cortex (PL) and infralimbic cortex (IL). (B) Example pMAPK-IR neurons in the IL. (C) Mean $\pm$ SEM counts of pMAPK-IR in the PL and IL subregions of the medial prefrontal cortex. Rats were in one of four groups: No Extinction ($n = 12$), JuvCond-Ext ($n = 6$), JuvCond-AdolesExt ($n = 6$), or AdolesCond-Ext ($n = 6$). (*) Indicates significant difference compared with No Extinction controls ($P < 0.05$).
satisfy the S7B conditions (Fig. 3). However, did not observe any group differences (Supplemental involved in learned fear and processing of stimuli predict- this region. We also examined the lateral habenula given its involvement in learned fear and processing of stimuli predict- ing punishment (Ressler et al. 2002; Matsumoto and Hikosaka 2009) but did not observe any group differences (Supplemental Fig. 7B).

Figure 4 depicts the number of pMAPK-IR neurons observed in the anterior, middle, and posterior PVT. Across conditions, a different pattern than that observed in the mPFC and amygdala occurred in the PVT, in that animals showed different activation of MAPK in this region reflecting their extinction retention perfor-

mance (in Experiment 1), rather than their age. There were group differences in pMAPK-IR neuron counts in the posterior PVT ($F_{1,26} = 3.35, P = 0.034$) but not the anterior or middle PVT (largest $F_{1,26} = 1.47, P > 0.05$). Only the AdolesCond-Ext animals (i.e., those that had impaired extinction retention) showed elevated pMAPK in the posterior PVT after extinction ($P = 0.027$; Fig. 4A–C).

Discussion

We predicted that fear memories extinguished in adolescence, regardless of whether the fear was acquired before or during adolescence, would be vulnerable to relapse. However, adolescent rats exhibited impaired extinction retention only for fear learned during adolescence. Fear acquired in adulthood could be successfully extinguished in adulthood but not if extinction occurred up to 2 wk after conditioning, but still within adolescence. These findings demonstrate that neither the animal’s age at the time of fear learning or extinction determines whether fear extinction is impaired. Rather, it appears that the impairment in extinction retention previously reported in adolescence (McCallum et al. 2010; Kim et al. 2011; Pattwell et al. 2012; Baker et al. 2013) requires both fear conditioning and extinction occur in adolescence (Fig. 5).

Successful learning and retention of fear extinction require functional coupling between the IL and BLA, in both juveniles and adults (Milad and Quirk 2002; Herry et al. 2008; Kim et al. 2009; Knapska et al. 2012). We examined the neural correlates of impaired extinction retention in adolescence by measuring the expression of the neuronal synaptic plasticity marker pMAPK. The prediction was that the two groups that exhibited good extinction retention in Experiment 1 (i.e., JuvCond-Ext and JuvCond-AdolesExt) would show activation of MAPK in the IL and BLA during extinction and this would not be observed in the AdolesCond-Ext group that exhibited impaired extinction retention. In JuvCond-Ext animals, we replicated previous findings of an extinction-induced increase in pMAPK expression in the BLA (Kim et al. 2009) and IL (Kim et al. 2009, 2011). In contrast to that earlier work, we also observed increased pMAPK-IR in the PL following extinction in rats conditioned and extinguished as juveniles. In a previous study, significantly higher mPFC pMAPK expression in both the PL and IL following extinction training...
A schematic of extinction retention across development. Neither the animal’s age at the time of fear learning or at the time of extinction determines whether fear extinction is impaired. Rather, it appears that the impairment in extinction retention in adolescence requires both fear conditioning and extinction occur in adolescence.

was observed in adolescents given double the number of extinction trials (Kim et al. 2011). There are several studies which report increased neural activity measured by c-Fos expression in both the PL and IL after extinction training in adult animals (Santini et al. 2004; Kim et al. 2010; Park and Choi 2010). Further studies are needed to reconcile such findings with the view that the PL and IL exert opposing effects on fear expression and inhibition (Sotres-Bayon and Quirk 2010). In our study increased pMAPK expression in the mPFC and BLA in the JuvCond-Ext animals was associated with reduced pMAPK in the caudal CeA, consistent with a suppression of fear responses (Orsini and Maren 2012).

AdolesCond-Ext animals did not show increased IL or PL pMAPK expression in response to extinction, replicating previous reports (Kim et al. 2011), but they also showed reduced activation of MAPK in the BLA. This latter finding is the first (to our knowledge) that adolescence appears to be a unique developmental period in which extinction does not increase neuronal synaptic plasticity in the BLA (indexed by pMAPK-IR), an effect that is observed not only in juveniles and adults (Herry et al. 2006; Kim et al. 2009) but also infant animals (Kim et al. 2009). These findings are generally consistent with studies mapping the immediate early gene c-Fos as a marker of neuronal activity in prefrontal-limbic circuits in other rodent models of impaired extinction. Such studies demonstrate dampened IL and BLA activity, and patterns of increased CeA activation after extinction compared with extinguishing control animals (Muigg et al. 2008). A key result of our study was that the JuvCond-AdolesExt animals (i.e., those that had good extinction retention) unexpectedly also failed to show an extinction-induced increase in pMAPK expression in the mPFC and BLA. It was surprising that adolescent animals, regardless of when they learned fear, showed an extinction pattern of dampened mPFC and BLA MAPK activation, and high CeA activation. These findings demonstrate that even under conditions that promote good extinction, the neural correlates of extinction in adolescence are different from those recruited in animals of other ages.

In this study, neural differences between the adolescent groups showing impaired or successful extinction retention were only observed in the PVT. Inactivation or lesions of the posterior PVT after conditioning have no effect on fear extinction retention in adult animals (Padilla-Coreano et al. 2012; Li et al. 2014), but reduce freezing and conditioned suppression to a CS (Padilla-Coreano et al. 2012; Li et al. 2014), and modulate CeA activity (Padilla-Coreano et al. 2012), implicating this region in fear expression and/or the retrieval of long-term fear memories. A recent study found that activation of PVT neurons during fear re-

When animals are tested in either the same (Padilla-Coreano et al. 2012; Li et al. 2014; Do-Monte et al. 2015) or a different (Penzo et al. 2015) context as conditioning, and PVT neurons are activated when rats are returned to a context previously associated with footshock (Beck and Fibiger 1995; Yasoshima et al. 2007). In addition, PVT lesions prevent ABA renewal of extinguished reward seeking (Hamlin et al. 2009), suggesting that this region contributes to the context-induced relapse of extinguished behavior. In the present study, a marker of neuronal synaptic plasticity in the PVT was elevated in adolescents during extinction in a novel context 24 h after conditioning, but not when fear was learned as a juvenile, or when animals were exposed to this novel context without the CS (no extinction). Activation of MAPK signaling pathways in the posterior PVT during extinction training may alter extinction learning and/or consolidation, and promote the maintenance of fear after extinction in adolescents. Future studies could test whether posterior PVT activation during extinction training modulates neuronal activity in the amygdala and if lesions or inactivation of the PVT during extinction improve extinction retention in AdolesCond-Ext animals. Moreover, manipulations that either improve extinction retention, such as D-cycloserine (McCallum et al. 2010), or reduce renewal of extinguished fear, such as retrieval-extinction procedures (Baker et al. 2013), might reduce posterior PVT MAPK activation in adolescents.

The results of our behavioral studies show that impaired fear extinction is only observed when conditioning and extinction both occur in adolescence. It is unlikely that in the JuvCond-AdolesExt animals the strength of the fear memory decayed over time (i.e., 11–12 d) and led to improved fear extinction because delaying extinction by a longer interval of 2 wk in adolescence did not improve extinction retention, suggesting that both recent and remote fear memories are resistant to extinction in adolescence. The present study also provides novel findings that the vulnerability to fear relapse after extinction observed in early-mid adolescence (~P35) extends to late adolescence (~P47). Extinction retention only improved once extinction was delayed until animals transitioned out of adolescence.

Adolescence is a time when individuals leave the safety of their family environment to explore their wider environment for reproductive success. Further, individuals must acquire skills for independence over the course of the developmental transition between youth and adulthood (Spear 2000). During this period of novelty-seeking and exploration, cues signaling danger are highly relevant, are more likely to be learned (Den and Richardson 2013), and are resistant to extinction during adolescence compared with adulthood. These transient behavioral features may reflect...
ontogenetic adaptations that are suitable at a specific developmental stage (Oppenheim 1980; Spear 2000), and may arise from a combination of pronounced brain maturation and cognitive changes during adolescence (Baker et al. 2014). In particular, the significant structural and functional maturation (e.g., synaptic pruning and changes in functional connectivity) of the PFC and amygdala during adolescence likely contributes to the alterations to fear regulation in this sensitive period.

The lack of prefrontal-amygdala plasticity-related MAPK expression in adolescent rodents is consistent with human neuroimaging studies examining the role of ventromedial PFC (vmPFC), a putative homolog of the IL, in fear extinction in adolescents. In adults, the vmPFC is activated during extinction learning and stronger activation of this region during extinction recall is associated with improved extinction retention (Phelps et al. 2004; Milad et al. 2007). However, adolescents do not show significant PFC-amygdala interactions during fear learning and extinction (Tzschoppe et al. 2014), suggesting a cross-species lack of vmPFC-amygdala connectivity during extinction in adolescence. Further, in clinical populations, adolescents with anxiety disorders (generalized anxiety disorder and/or social phobia) show decreased resting-state vmPFC-amygdala functional connectivity (Roy et al. 2013; Hamm et al. 2014) and youth with post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) have reduced vmPFC gray matter volume (Keding and Herrringa 2015), which was linked to re-experiencing symptoms. It therefore appears that structural and functional changes in the adolescent vmPFC and amygdala in both rodents and humans are related to altered fear extinction, anxiety, and re-experiencing symptoms of PTSD.

Exploring why adolescents exhibit a striking deficit in inhibiting fear acquired during, but not before, adolescence will likely provide novel insights that can be translated into how to individually tailor treatments to improve fear inhibition in adolescents. Given the high prevalence of anxiety disorders during adolescence (Kessler et al. 2012), such an approach will be fundamental for developing early and effective interventions for anxiety. The current findings emphasize that adolescence is a vulnerable period in which fear acquired during adolescence is difficult to inhibit at this age. Importantly, adolescents show pronounced differences in the activation of the MAPK signaling pathway during extinction not only in the mPFC, but also the amygdala and PVT. Further, this study highlights that even under conditions that promote good extinction, the neural correlates of extinction (as measured by pMAPK) in adolescence are different to those recruited in animals of other ages.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

Subjects were experimentally naive male Sprague-Dawley rats (n = 170) obtained from the breeding colony maintained by the School of Psychology at UNSW Australia. Rats were weaned at P21–24 and were housed in groups in plastic boxes with food and water available ad libitum. No more than one rat per litter was used per group. The colony was maintained on a 12 h light–dark cycle (lights on at 0700). All procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Ethics Committee at UNSW and conducted in accordance with the Australian Code of Practice for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes (7th Edition, 2004).

Apparatus

The apparatus were similar to those used in our previous studies (see Supplemental Materials and Methods; Baker et al. 2013). In brief, fear acquisition occurred in one set of chambers (Context A) while extinction and test were conducted in a second set of chambers (Context B), which had different visual features, flooring, size, and lighting to Context A. The CS was a 10-sec white noise that increased noise levels by 8 dB above background. The US was a scrambled 0.4 mA, 1-sec footshock.

Procedure

The behavioral procedures were based upon those previously used in our laboratory for studies on extinction in adolescent rats (Baker et al. 2013; McCallum et al. 2010). Each session began with a 2-min adaptation period (see Supplemental Table S1). Freezing, defined as the absence of all movement other than that required for respiration, was measured as the conditioned fear response (see Supplemental Materials and Methods).

Conditioning

Rats received three CS trials coterminal with the US in Context A.

Extinction

Extinction occurred in Context B and consisted of 30 nonreinforced presentations of the CS (10 s each; 10 s ITI). For statistical analyses of within-session extinction, six extinction trials were averaged to represent one block of extinction. In Experiment 5, a “No Extinction” control group received conditioning and was then exposed to the extinction context without any CS presentations.

Test

Animals were tested the day after extinction in Context B, which involved a single 2-min CS.

Tissue processing, immunohistochemistry, and neuronal counting

Rats were sacrificed 1 h following the extinction/context-only exposure session in Experiment 5 and immunohistochemical techniques were used to measure phospho-p44-42MAPK-immunoreactivity in the mPFC, amygdala, PVT, and lateral habenula using methods previously established (see Supplemental Materials and Methods; Kim et al. 2011). Manual blind counts of pMAPK-IR neurons were conducted through the rostro-caudal extent of each brain region using a light microscope (see Supplemental Materials and Methods).
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