The roles of protein kinases in learning and memory
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In the adult mammalian brain, more than 250 protein kinases are expressed, but only a few of these kinases are currently known to enable learning and memory. Based on this information it appears that learning and memory-related kinases either impact on synaptic transmission by altering ion channel properties or ion channel density, or regulate gene expression and protein synthesis causing structural changes at existing synapses as well as synaptogenesis. Here, we review the roles of these kinases in short-term memory formation, memory consolidation, memory storage, retrieval, reconsolidation, and extinction. Specifically, we discuss the roles of calcium/calmodulin-dependent kinase II (CaMKII), the calcium/calmodulin kinase cascade, extracellular signal regulated kinase 1 and 2 (ERK1/2), cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase A (PKA), cyclic GMP-dependent protein kinase G (PKG), the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway, and protein kinase Mζ (PKMζ). Although these kinases are important for learning and memory processes, much remains to be learned as to how they act. Therefore, it will be important to identify and characterize the critical phosphorylation substrates so that a sophisticated understanding of learning and memory processes will be achieved. This will also allow for a systematic analysis of dysfunctional kinase activity in mental disorders.

CaMKII

Neuronal pathways to memory formation depend on activation of the NMDA receptor (e.g., Gruart et al. 2006; Whitlock et al. 2006), which leads to postsynaptic calcium entry (for review, see Lisman et al. 2012). A substantial fraction of incoming calcium binds to calmodulin which activates enzymes to modify the synapse. A major calcium/calmodulin target is calcium/calmodulin-dependent kinase II (CaMKII) (Fig. 1). Accordingly, CaMKII activity is increased during memory formation (e.g., Cammarota et al. 1998) and blockade of CaMKII substantially impairs memory formation (for reviews, see Lisman et al. 2002; Elgersma et al. 2004; Irvine et al. 2006; Wayman et al. 2008; Lucchesi et al. 2011; Coultrap and Bayer 2012). This indicates that CaMKII has an essential function in memory formation. Although many studies have established this important role for CaMKII, it should be noted that some pharmacological experiments, such as studies with KN-62 and KN93, lack specificity (Wayman et al. 2008), and early mouse genetic studies also had their limitations. αCaMKII knockout (KO) mice were the first mutant mice generated to study mechanisms of learning and memory (Silva et al. 1992a,b), but these mutants have a milder phenotype than αCaMKII knockin (KI) mice with impaired calcium/calmodulin binding due to compensatory translocation of βCaMKII into synapses (Elgersma et al. 2002). Furthermore, transgenic expression of a constitutively active form of αCaMKII leads to unwanted secondary changes in gene expression (Bejar et al. 2002).

αCaMKII and βCaMKII are the two major CaMKII subunits/isoforms in adult brain (for review, see Hanson and Schulman 1992). αCaMKII is expressed exclusively in glutamatergic neurons, and in the hippocampus it is not localized in the nucleus. Thus, αCaMKII is not directly involved in gene transcription in the hippocampus. Twelve CaMKII subunits assemble to form a homomeric or heteromeric holoenzyme. Despite being present in a heteromeric holoenzyme, αCaMKII and βCaMKII have different roles in synaptic plasticity. A key feature of βCaMKII is the binding to F-actin when calcium/calmodulin levels are at baseline (for review, see Coultrap and Bayer 2012). Binding of calcium/calmodulin to βCaMKII dissociates the kinase from F-actin (Lin and Redmond 2008), allowing CaMKII to translocate to the postsynaptic density (Shen and Meyer 1999). F-actin binding is essential for hippocampus-dependent contextual fear memory formation and for targeting CaMKII holoenzymes to dendritic spines (Borgesius et al. 2011). In contrast, the kinase activity of βCaMKII is not required for contextual fear memory formation (Borgesius et al. 2011). Thus, βCaMKII has a nonenzymatic role in memory formation. The transient release of βCaMKII from F-actin may be important for reorganization of the cytoskeleton to enable remodeling of the dendritic spine (Okamoto et al. 2009), which appears necessary for late LTP and long-term memory (LTM) (e.g., Fukazawa et al. 2003).
As well as binding F-actin, βCaMKII unbound to calcium/calmodulin can also target the immediate-early gene Arc/Arg3.1 to inactive synapses where it promotes endocytosis of AMPA receptor subunits, which leads to synaptic depression (Okuno et al. 2012). It remains to be tested whether this Arc/Arg3.1 localization contributes to memory formation.

In contrast to βCaMKII activity, αCaMKII activity is required for hippocampus-dependent memory formation. A KI mutation that blocks the catalytic activity of αCaMKII impairs memory formation in the inhibitory avoidance task (Yamagata et al. 2009). This deficit in memory formation can be partly overcome with repeated training (Yamagata et al. 2009). Consistent with this, a peptide derived from an endogenous CaMKII inhibitor, CaMKIIIN, that specifically blocks CaMKII activity impairs contextual fear memory formation (Buard et al. 2010). An important aspect of αCaMKII activity is that the kinase autophosphorylates at threonine-286 (T286) (for review, see Irvine et al. 2006). T286 autophosphorylation is an intersubunit reaction within a holoenzyme. This autophosphorylation switches αCaMKII from calcium/calmodulin dependence to independence. Accordingly, it was suggested that αCaMKII acts a "memory molecule" at synapses, its persistent activity serving to preserve a memory of strong calcium signals (Lisman 1994). A KI mutation (T286A) that blocks T286 autophosphorylation causes severe impairments in hippocampus-dependent memory formation, indicating that this autophosphorylation is a critical process (Giese et al. 1998; Need and Giese 2003; Irvine et al. 2005, 2011). For example, in contextual fear conditioning the T286A mutants are deficient in one-trial memory formation (Irvine et al. 2005, 2011). Interestingly, massed training overcomes this impairment, possibly due to generation of multi-innervated dendritic spines, a type of synapse where a spine receives more than one presynaptic input (Radwanska et al. 2011). The finding that memory can be stored in the absence of T286 autophosphorylation argues against an essential role for calcium/calmodulin-independent CaMKII activity in memory storage (Irvine et al. 2006). Consistent with this view, LTP induction is associated only with transient, but not persistent, increases in calcium/calmodulin-independent CaMKII activity (Lengyel et al. 2004; Lee et al. 2009; but see Fukunaga et al. 1993).

Further, post-training block of CaMKII activity does not impair storage of contextual fear LTM (Buard et al. 2010). Taken together, the T286 autophosphorylation is a biochemical switch that prolongs synaptic signaling and enables one-trial memory formation, but may not contribute to memory storage. The role of T286 autophosphorylation is likely to strengthen existing synapses, as illustrated in Figure 1.

Besides the T286 autophosphorylation, αCaMKII can be phosphorylated at more sites, including T305 and T306, which prevents calcium/calmodulin activation of the kinase. Inactivation of T305/306 phosphorylation in KI mice does not impair contextual fear memory formation, but does block contextual discrimination (Elgersma et al. 2002). This indicates that the T305/306 phosphorylation is important for memory specificity. αCaMKII activity is also regulated by local translation of its dendritic mRNA. When the mRNA was confined to the soma by mutation of the 3′-untranslated region in mice, this regulation was shown to be important for cued and contextual fear LTM, but not short-term (STM) formation (Miller et al. 2002). αCaMKII is also implicated in adult hippocampal neurogenesis (Yamasaki et al. 2008), which may regulate reorganization of LTM after contextual fear conditioning (for review, see Inokuchi 2011). Accordingly, heterozygous αCaMKII KO mice have impaired hippocampal neurogenesis (Yamasaki et al. 2008) and deficient remote, but spared recent contextual fear LTM (Frankland et al. 2004). Moreover, αCaMKII has also been implicated in memory extinction. Heterozygous T286A mutants have impaired new learning induced by extinction training, whereas extinction-related unlearning is spared (Kimura et al. 2008a).

In future, it will be interesting to test whether the interaction between the NMDA receptor subunit GluN2B and CaMKII contribute to memory storage, as this interaction appears to be required for LTP maintenance (Sanhueza and Lisman 2013). Another point of future investigations concerns the complex regulation of CaMKII activity. There are two endogenous CaMKII inhibitor proteins, which are regulated in their expression during contextual fear memory formation (Lepicard et al. 2006; Radwanska et al. 2010). The function of this negative feedback awaits investigation. Moreover, CaMKII has been implicated in synaptic tagging based on experiments with unspecific inhibitors (Redondo et al. 2010). More specific inhibitors will be required to ensure that CaMKII is required for synaptic tagging or a behavioral counterpart, whereby rodents are exposed to two consecutive tasks, and hippocampal LTM formation in the second task is enabled by exposure to an open field 1 h before (Moncada...
and Viola 2007). Finally, there appears to be a link between CaMKII dysregulation and memory impairment in diseases that requires more studying. So far, polymorphisms in the αCaMKII gene associate with working memory abilities in humans (Easton et al. 2012). Additionally, in a mouse model of Angelman syndrome restoration of αCaMKII dysregulated by increased phosphorylation at T305/T306 rescues hippocampal learning impairments (van Woerden et al. 2007), and αCaMKII dysfunction might cause learning deficits in Alzheimer’s disease (Zeng et al. 2010; Reese et al. 2011).

CaM kinase cascade

NMDA receptor activation at the synapse not only induces CaMKII, it also activates the CaM kinase cascade that is involved in LTM formation (Fig. 2). This kinase cascade activates gene transcription dependent on the cAMP-responsive element binding protein (CREB), which is essential for LTM (Bito et al. 1996; Bartsch et al. 1998; Silva et al. 1998; Kang et al. 2001; Wei et al. 2002; Peters et al. 2003; Blaeser et al. 2006; Mizuno et al. 2007; Fukushima et al. 2008; Liu et al. 2008). Interestingly, in vitro CREB activation by the CaM kinase cascade is more rapid than CREB activation by extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERKs) (Wu et al. 2001). The CaM kinase cascade consists of CaM kinase kinases (CaMKKs) that activate CaMKI and CaMKIV (Wayman et al. 2008). In brain there are two CaMKK isoforms, CaMKKa, and CaMKKβ, four CaMKI isoforms, CaMKIa, CaMKIβ, CaMKIγ, and CaMKIδ, and two CaMKIV splice variants. A key feature of the CaM kinase cascade is that the activation of CaMKI/ CaMKIV by CaMKK requires that both kinases bind to calcium/calmodulin. Thus, signaling by the CaM kinase cascade requires a calcium signal that persists long enough that two calcium/calmodulin-bound kinases encounter each other. This could lead to a proofreading effect (only “real” signals are transmitted) (Swulius and Waxham 2008).

The first studies of the role of the CaM kinase cascade in learning and memory focused on CaMKIV function. Analysis of different mutant mouse lines concluded that CaMKIV is not important for spatial learning and memory but it is required for contextual fear LTM formation (Ho et al. 2000; Kang et al. 2001; Wei et al. 2002; Takao et al. 2010). Furthermore, CaMKIV expression in the hippocampus declines with aging and CaMKIV overexpression restores age-related impairments in contextual fear LTM formation (Fukushima et al. 2008).

Studies on the roles of the CaMKKs confirm that the CaM kinase cascade is important for LTM formation. CaMKKβ KO mice have impaired CREB activation during spatial memory formation and deficits in LTM, but not STM, after training in the social transmission of food preferences task (Peters et al. 2003). CaMKKa KO mice have deficits in contextual fear LTM as well as conditioning-induced CaMKIV activation, CREB phosphorylation, and transcription of the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) gene (Blaeser et al. 2006; Mizuno et al. 2006). Furthermore, pharmacological inhibition of CaMKKa/β impairs CaMKIV activation as well as object recognition LTM (Tinsley et al. 2012). Block of CaMKKa/β also impairs synthesis of plasticity-related proteins after strong LTP induction that is thought to contribute to LTM formation (Redondo et al. 2010). Other in vitro studies, which include inhibition of CaMKKa/β, have suggested that there is cross-talk between the CaM kinase cascade and ERK (Schmitt et al. 2005) or Akt signaling (Fortin et al. 2012), and that the kinase cascade regulates local protein synthesis via CaMKIV activation (Fortin et al. 2012; Srivastava et al. 2012). However, it remains unknown whether these mechanisms are relevant for memory formation in the intact brain.

Interestingly, the roles of CaMKKa and CaMKKβ in LTM formation are sex specific. Only male, but not female, CaMKKβ KO mice are impaired in CREB activation and LTM formation (Mizuno et al. 2007). Further, there are CaMKKβ-dependent gene transcriptions that are activated after contextual fear conditioning only in male but not in female wild-type mice (Antunes-Martins et al. 2007; Mizuno et al. 2007). Moreover, male, but not female, CaMKKa KO mice are impaired in contextual LTM formation (Mizuno et al. 2006). CaMKKa regulates BDNF expression in a male-specific manner after contextual fear conditioning (Mizuno et al. 2006; also see Mizuno et al. 2012). Taken together, these findings suggest that memory consolidation differs between males and females (Mizuno and Giese 2010). Since most mechanistic studies of LTM formation have used only mice, more studies with females are needed to more fully understand the mechanistic differences between males and females.

MAPK family

The mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) family has also been implicated in learning and memory (Fig. 3). This family consists of seven kinases: ERK 1, 2, and 5, c-Jun N-terminal kinases (JNKs) 1–3, and p38 (for review, see Sherrin et al. 2011). These MAPKs are part of a three-kinase signaling cascade where a MAPK kinase kinase (MKKK) activates a MAPK kinase (MKK) that in turn activates a MAPK. Such in-series kinase cascades are thought to cause very high signal amplification, leading to all-or-none signaling (Adams and Sweatt 1997).
The MAPK cascade. Second, CaM induces the Ras nucleotide exchange factors RasGRF1 and 2 leading to Ras activation (GTP bound) which binds to, and thereby activates, Raf kinase inducing the MAPK cascade. Second, CaM induces the Ras nucleotide exchange factors RasGRF1 and 2 leading to Ras activation (GTP bound), which binds to, and thereby activates, Raf kinase inducing the MAPK cascade. Activated ERK1/2 regulate local translation of dendritic mRNA. They also contribute to memory (Mizuno et al. 2006) through the transcription of plasticity-related genes by activation of the transcription factors CREB and Elk-1. The newly synthesized proteins are targeted to the activated synapses; they are essential for memory consolidation. Red arrows indicate phosphorylations and dashed arrows illustrate translocation of the protein.

Figure 3. Model of the role of ERK1/2 signaling in memory consolidation. At the postsynapse NMDAR activation leads to calcium influx. There are two routes to activate the MAPK cascade. First, incoming calcium binds to calmodulin resulting in the activation of adenylate cyclase 1 and 8 leading to cAMP-activated exchange protein activated by cAMP (EPAC) that activates the small GTPase Rap1. Non-calcium binding to CaM results in activation of adenylate cyclases (Sindreu et al. 2007; Xia and Storm 2012), although MSK1 kinase-dead KI mice appear to have normal hippocampal memory formation (B.G. Frenguelli, pers. comm.).

Activation of the ERK cascade requires Ras GTPases, of which there are different isoforms in neurons, or Rap1 GTPase (Fig. 3). In the case of Ras activation of the ERK cascade, the calcium/calmodulin-sensitive guanine nucleotide exchange factors Ras-GRF1 and Ras-GRF2 are important (Feig 2011). The ERK1/2-MSK1 pathway requires cAMP production by calcium/calmodulin-dependent adenylate cyclases (Sindreu et al. 2007; Xia and Storm 2012; also see Ahi et al. 2004). ERK1/2 activation by cAMP involves Rap1 and is independent of PKA (Morozov et al. 2003; Obara et al. 2007; Ravni et al. 2008). In addition to MSK1, ERK1/2 also activate ribosomal S6 protein kinase 2 (RSK2), which is mutated in Coffin–Lowry syndrome, a form of X-linked mental retardation (Schneider et al. 2011). Furthermore, ERK1/2 can activate the transcription factor Elk-1 which regulates the expression of immediate-early genes such as Nr4a1 (Davis and Laroche 2006; Besnard et al. 2011).

As well as inducing gene transcription during memory consolidation, ERK1/2 might also regulate local dendritic protein synthesis (Kelleher et al. 2004). In addition to these signaling roles in excitatory neurons, ERK1/2 signaling can also regulate GABA release from inhibitory neurons (Cui et al. 2008). The ERK1/2 activity in inhibitory neurons is regulated by neurofibromin, a Ras GTPase activating protein (mutated in neurofibromatosis type 1), and overactivation of ERK1/2 leads to enhanced GABA release as well as impaired LTP induction (Costa et al. 2002; Cui et al. 2008).

ERK1/2 are also important for retrieval-induced processes. Retrieval of LTM, which induces memory destabilization and reconsolidation, leads to ERK1/2 activation (Kelly et al. 2003). Pharmacological inhibition of MEK1/2 at the time of retrieval leads to erasure of LTM (Kelly et al. 2003; Duvare et al. 2005; Cestari et al. 2006; Valjent et al. 2006; Languille et al. 2009), indicating that ERK1/2 signaling contributes to reconsolidation, which requires gene transcription and protein synthesis (Nader and Hardt 2009). Moreover, ERK1/2 signaling has also been implicated in fear memory extinction. Pharmacological inhibition of MEK1/2 impairs extinction (Lu et al. 2001; Cammarota et al. 2005; Herry et al. 2006; Fischer et al. 2007). Extinction training of fear LTM induces ERK1/2 phosphorylation in the amygdala and hippocampus (Herry et al. 2006; Fischer et al. 2007). The time course of ERK1/2 phosphorylation indicates that ERK1/2 are transient, and are in part nuclear in hippocampal CA1 neurons (Fischer et al. 2007; Tromson et al. 2009). Such a pattern of activation is consistent with a putative role for ERK1/2 activation in transcription underlying consolidation of extinction memory. Finally, pharmacological inhibition of ERK1/2 also impairs contextual fear memory retrieval (Chen et al. 2005).
In comparison with ERK1/2, much less is known about the roles of the other MAPK family members in learning and memory. In adult brain ERK5 is expressed only in neuronal progenitor cells. Accordingly, inducible ERK5 KO mice have attenuated hippocampal neurogenesis and learning and memory impairments that include deficits in remote LTM after training in an inhibitory avoidance task (Pan et al. 2012). Further, the role of p38 in learning and memory has been best characterized after training in an inhibitory avoidance task. p38 activation occurs immediately after training in this task and the activation declines within 30 min (Alonso et al. 2003). Pharmacological inhibition of p38 immediately after training impairs both STM and LTM formation (Alonso et al. 2003). Additionally, pharmacological block of p38 in hippocampal area CA1 impairs extinction of inhibitory avoidance memory (Rossato et al. 2006). p38 activation by Ras-GRF1 has been implicated in the induction of long-term depression (LTD) (for review, see Feig 2011). Finally, JNKs also become activated during hippocampal memory formation. Contextual fear conditioning induces the activation 1 h after, but not 30 min after, conditioning and JNK activity returns to baseline within 8 h (Sherrin et al. 2010). Pharmacological inhibition of the JNKs immediately after conditioning enhances contextual fear medium-term memory (MTM) but not STM (Sherrin et al. 2010; see also Bevilaqua et al. 2003). Consistent with this, psychological stress effects that impair contextual fear LTM formation are mediated by JNK2 and JNK3 (Sherrin et al. 2010). Thus, JNK activation negatively regulates LTM formation. Moreover, JNKs appear to promote memory extinction (Bevilaqua et al. 2007). The roles of the JNKs in learning and memory may be explained by their involvement in LTD (Sherrin et al. 2011).

PKA

Invertebrate studies point to an important role for cAMP signaling and cAMP-dependent protein kinase A (PKA) for memory formation (for reviews, see Dudai 1988; Kandel 2012). For example, in Aplysia PKA signaling has been implicated both in STM and LTM formation. PKA is a tetrameric holoenzyme that consists of two catalytic and two regulatory subunits. In the basal state the regulatory subunits inhibit the catalytic subunits. However, upon binding of cAMP the regulatory subunits dissociate from the catalytic subunits enabling phosphorylation of substrates. In mammals there are four genes encoding regulatory subunits (R Iα, R Iβ, R IIα, R IIβ) and three genes encoding catalytic subunits (Cα, Cβ, Cγ) (Abel and Nguyen 2008). Mouse KO studies of PKA isoforms have indicated that PKA plays a role in mammalian learning and memory, but the phenotypes are difficult to interpret due to compensations by other PKA isoforms (Brandon et al. 1997). However, conditional transgenic overexpression of the dominant negative regulatory subunit R(AB) revealed that PKA signaling is required for LTM, but not STM, after contextual fear conditioning (Abel et al. 1997; Isiegas et al. 2006). Pharmacological studies have confirmed a role for PKA signaling in LTM formation (Bernabeu et al. 1997; Barad et al. 1998; Bourc'houladze et al. 1998, Ahij et al. 2004; Quevedo et al. 2004). After conditioning there are two waves of PKA activity (Bernabeu et al. 1997; Bourc'houladze et al. 1998). The first PKA wave occurs immediately after training and the second after 3–6 h. Both waves of PKA activity might be important to induce CREB-mediated gene transcription (Ahi et al. 2004; Sindreu et al. 2007). Next to regulating gene transcription for LTM formation, PKA has also been implicated in synaptic tagging (Young et al. 2006; Moncada et al. 2011) and long-term reduction of the slow afterhyperpolarization (AHP), which regulates neuronal firing (Oh et al. 2009). Further, PKA activity is required for the behavioral counterpart of synaptic tagging (Moncada et al. 2011). Finally, as well as LTM formation in mammals, PKA signaling has also been suggested to contribute to the inhibition of extinction (Ahi et al. 2004; Quevedo et al. 2004; Isiegas et al. 2006).

PKG
cGMP-dependent protein kinase (PKG) is activated by cGMP that is produced by soluble guanylate cyclase after stimulation by nitric oxide (NO). NO synthase is closely coupled to activation of the NMDA receptor. There are two PKG isoforms encoded by different genes. Neuronal KO of isoform I (cGKI) results in specific impairment in cued fear LTM formation (Paul et al. 2008). These cGKI KO mice are not deficient in cued fear STM and contextual fear LTM. This suggests that PKG signaling in the amygdala is required for LTM formation. Consistent results have been obtained with pharmacological inhibition in the amygdala, although there is concern about the specificity of PKG blockers (Valtcheva et al. 2009). Post-training injection of the most specific PKG inhibitor into the lateral amygdala impairs cued fear LTM (Ota et al. 2008). Further, PKG inhibition impairs ERK activation and ERK-driven gene expression in the lateral amygdala and the auditory thalamus (Ota et al. 2010). The latter has been interpreted as evidence for retrograde signaling at synapses.

P13K

The phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (P13K) pathway has also been implicated in learning and memory (Fig. 4). P13K phosphorylates
phosphatidylinositolos, which activate 3-phosphoinositide-de-
dependent kinase (PDK) and Akt (also called protein kinase B) (Pal
and Mandal 2012). PI3K is regulated by receptor tyrosine kinases
including trkB, the BDNF receptor. Fear conditioning increases
BDNF protein expression and trkB activation in the amygdala
(Ou and Gean 2006). Pharmacological studies indicated that
this BDNF signaling route induces PI3K/Akt signaling, which is
essential for fear LTM formation (Lin et al. 2001; Ou and Gean
2006). Additionally, trkB KI mutants with blocked activation of
PI3K/Akt signaling have impaired cued fear LTM formation,
while contextual fear LTM is spared (Musumeci et al. 2009).
Further, hippocampal PI3K/Akt signaling is not known to have
a role in spatial memory formation (Dash et al. 2002; Horwood
et al. 2006; Chao et al. 2007). Thus, in the amygdala PI3K/Akt sig-
naling is important for LTM formation, while this pathway may
not be required for hippocampal LTM formation. However, in
the hippocampus PI3K/Akt signaling is essential for retrieval of
contextual fear LTM (Chen et al. 2005; also see Barros et al.
2001). Retrieval of contextual fear LTM leads to activation of
PI3K/Akt signaling in the hippocampus, which induces ERK
activation. Pharmacological block of PI3K and ERK impairs re-
trieval of contextual fear LTM, even 12 d after conditioning.
Additionally, hippocampal PI3K/Akt signaling is required for ex-
tinction but not reconsolidation of contextual fear LTM (Chen
et al. 2005).

The mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) is a kinase reg-
ulated by Akt phosphorylation (for review, see Hoefler and Klann
2010). mTOR exists in two complexes, mTORC1 and mTORC2. In
adult brain mTORC1 regulates protein synthesis by activating p70
S6 kinase 1 and 2 (S6K1/2) and other unknown factors (Stoica
et al. 2011). Studies with the inhibitor rapamycin have established
that mTORC1 is required for consolidation and reconsolidation of
aversive LTM (Parsons et al. 2006; Bekinschtein et al. 2007; Stoica
et al. 2011; Jobim et al. 2012). This is in agreement with an
up-regulation of S6K1/2 phosphorylation ~30–60 min after
training (Parsons et al. 2006; Bekinschtein et al. 2007). Mouse ge-
netic studies support the pharmacological studies in part.
Brain-specific KO of FKBp12 increases mTOR activity and enhanc-
es contextual fear LTM but not STM (Hoefler et al. 2008; but com-
pare with Ehninger et al. 2008). Furthermore, S6K1 and S6K2 KO
mice have impaired contextual LTM formation (Antion et al.
2008). Finally, enhanced mTORC1 signaling may contribute to
memory deficits associated with autism spectrum disorder (for re-
view, see Ehninger and Silva 2011). For example, a mouse model
of tuberous sclerosis has enhanced mTORC1 signaling and im-
paired contextual discrimination and spatial reversal learning.
These learning and memory deficits can be restored by rapamycin
treatment that adjusts mTORC1 activity. In contrast with
mTORC1, mTORC2 does not regulate protein synthesis during
memory formation (Huang et al. 2013). Instead, mTORC2 phos-
phorylates Akt at serine 473 and controls actin polymerization at
synapses, which is required for consolidation of fear LTM
(Huang et al. 2013).

Another important PI3K/Akt target is glycogen synthase kine-
rase 3B (GSK-3B) (for review, see Giese 2009). Akt phosphoryla-
tion at serine-9 (S9) inhibits GSK-3B activity. Inhibition of
GSK-3B during LTP has been hypothesized to prevent early sub-
sequent LTD (Peineau et al. 2007). Accordingly, LTP induction is
associated with inhibition of GSK-3B (Hooper et al. 2007).
Inhibitory S9 phosphorylation of GSK-3B increases in hippocam-
pus and amygdala during fear LTM formation (Fujio et al. 2007;
Maguschak et al. 2008). This suggests that GSK-3B constrains
memory formation. Consistently, pharmacological inhibition of
GSK-3B enhances fear LTM formation, whereas transgenic over-
expression of GSK-3B impairs spatial memory formation (Hernan-
dez et al. 2002). Additionally, GSK-3B has also been implicated in
reconsolidation (Kimura et al. 2008b; Wu et al. 2011) and memory
retrieval (Hong et al. 2012).

As well as Akt, serum- and glucorticoid kinase 1 (SGK1) is a
downstream target of PI3K signaling (Park et al. 1999). PDK1 phos-
phorylates SGK1 at threonine-256, which is required in hippo-
campal area CA1 for spatial memory formation (Lee et al. 2006).
Further, SGK1 is phosphorylated at serine-78 by ERK (Lee et al.
2006). This phosphorylation is not important for spatial memory
formation but it is required for contextual fear LTM formation
(Lee et al. 2007). Interestingly, SGK1 expression levels are also
up-regulated after contextual fear conditioning and after reac-
tivation of contextual LTM (von Hertzen and Giese 2005). SGK1
activates IkB kinase α (Tai et al. 2009), which contributes to recon-
solidation of contextual fear LTM and associated histone H3
modification, impacting on gene transcription (Lubin and Sweatt
2007).

Cdk5

Cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (Cdk5) is not involved in cell division
despite its name, but it has a function in synaptic plasticity in post-
mitotic neurons (for review, see Angelo et al. 2006). The main
route of Cdk5 activation is by binding to the regulatory sub-
unit p35. The calcium-dependent protease calpain can cleave
p35 into p25, a smaller protein that also activates Cdk5 (Fig. 4). In
comparison with p35, p25 has a longer half-life and is not mem-
brane bound (Patrick et al. 1999). Thus, p25 generation enhances
Cdk5 activity and changes its subcellular location. Recently, it was
shown that p25 is generated in the hippocampus during spatial
memory formation (Engmann et al. 2011a). This suggests that
p25 generation is a memory mechanism, which is confirmed by
the following experiments. Overexpression of p25 at physiologi-
cal levels enhances spatial and contextual memory formation
(Angelo et al. 2003; Fischer et al. 2005; Ris et al. 2005). Heterozy-
gous p35 KO mice have impaired spatial reversal learning (Eng-
emann et al. 2011b). These effects are notable in female but not
in male mice (Ris et al. 2005; Engmann et al. 2011b). Mice with
pharmacological inhibition of Cdk5 and region-restricted Cdk5
KO mice have impaired contextual fear and spatial memory for-
mation (Fischer et al. 2002, 2003; Guan et al. 2011; but see Hawsali
et al. 2007). Taken together, these findings suggest that p25/Cdk5
contributes to hippocampal memory formation. Cdk5 phosphor-
ylates the BDNF receptor trkB at serine 478. This phosphorylation
is essential for spatial memory formation and BDNF-induced
structural plasticity (Lai et al. 2012). Interestingly, the p25/Cdk5
signaling route may be impaired in Alzheimer’s disease, due to re-
duced p25 expression in early and severe stages (Tanguchi et al.
2001; Yoo and Lubec 2001; Tandon et al. 2003; Engmann et al.
2011a). p25/Cdk5 enhances synaptogenesis (Fischer et al. 2005;
Engmann et al. 2011a), possibly acting in the nucleus (Lai and
Ip 2009; Engmann et al. 2011b). Cdk5 has also been implicated in
the regulation of extinction (Sananbenesi et al. 2007). In this
case, Cdk5 activity slows the rate of extinction.

PKC including PKMζ

The protein kinase C (PKC) family includes 12 isoenzymes (Jaken
1996). The conventional PKCs (α, βI and βII, γ) require calcium
diacylglycerol (DAG) for their activation, whereas the novel
PKCs (δ, ε, η, λ, γ) require only DAG. The so-called atypical PKCs
(ζ, ξ) do not require DAG for their activation. PKCζ KO mice have
a very subtle impairment in contextual fear memory forma-
tion (Abeliovich et al. 1993), while PKCζ KO mice have substan-
tially impaired cued and contextual fear memory formation,
even after intensive training (Weeber et al. 2000). Furthermore,
pharmacological block of the conventional PKCs in hippocampal area CA1 impairs acquisition, consolidation, and reconsolidation of spatial memory (Bonini et al. 2007).

PKMζ, a brain-specific form of PKCζ (Hernandez et al. 2003), has evoked a lot of interest due to a suggested critical function in memory storage (Fig. 5; for review, see Sacktor 2011). PKMζ lacks a regulatory domain making the kinase constitutively active. An alternate promoter leads to synthesis of PKMζ mRNA, which is transported into dendrites where it is locally translated. Under basal conditions local translation of PKMζ mRNA is inhibited by Pin1, but synaptic activity relieves this inhibition (Westmark et al. 2010). Once PKMζ is synthesized, it promotes its own translation by blocking Pin1. Continued PKMζ synthesis is thought to occur only at activated synapses, where the kinase would promote GluA2 trafficking to maintain enhanced synaptic transmission (Migues et al. 2010).

Studies with an inhibitory peptide, called ZIP, suggested that PKMζ is required for memory storage. First, it was shown that injection of ZIP into the hippocampus erases a consolidated spatial LTM (Pastalkova et al. 2006). Further, ZIP injection into the basolateral amygdala blocks cued and contextual fear LTM as well as inhibitory avoidance LTM (Serrano et al. 2008; Kwapis et al. 2009). However, not all memory storage processes are ZIP-dependent (for review, see Glanzman 2009; Sacktor 2011). For example, injection of ZIP into the dorsal hippocampus does not affect contextual fear LTM, although this part of the hippocampus is required for contextual LTM (Serrano et al. 2008; Kwapis et al. 2009). A recent study has claimed that ZIP does not block specifically PKMζ (Wu-Zhang et al. 2012). However, the design of this study has been criticized and more evidence for ZIP specificity has been provided (Yao et al. 2012). Nonetheless, it is clear that ZIP affects targets in addition to PKMζ (Lee et al. 2013; Volk et al. 2013). This was clearly demonstrated with PKCζ/PKMζ KO mice. PKCζ/PKMζ KO mice have normal CA1 LTP in hippocampal slices and its maintenance is blocked by ZIP, indicating that ZIP blocks a molecule distinct from PKMζ that is required for LTP maintenance (Volk et al. 2013). Importantly, normal CA1 LTP was also detected in conditional PKCζ/PKMζ KO mice (Volk et al. 2013). These conditional mutants were obtained after a very short treatment with tamoxifen to induce Cre recombination, preventing the possibility of compensatory effects. Surprisingly, the conventional PKCζ/PKMζ KO mice have normal memory storage in a number of hippocampus-dependent tasks including spatial tasks and trace fear conditioning (Lee et al. 2013; Volk et al. 2013). Further, like in the LTP experiments, ZIP treatment erases an established reward memory in PKCζ/PKMζ KO mice, indicating that ZIP blocks a memory storing molecule distinct from PKMζ (Lee et al. 2013). At this stage it remains speculative as to what molecules are blocked by ZIP, but they may include another PKCζ isofrom (Glanzman 2013). Despite the ZIP controversy, molecular biological studies have suggested that PKMζ may still contribute to memory storage (Shema et al. 2011). These studies showed that overexpression of PKMζ enhances two distinct, consolidated LTMAs. Moreover, injection of PKMζ antisense oligonucleotides before aversive conditioning blocks LTM but not STM (Toskas et al. 2012).

Fyn and other kinases

Further kinases are probably involved in learning and memory. For example, the tyrosine kinase fyn appears to contribute to memory formation. One of the first KO studies in the learning and memory field claimed that fyn is required for spatial memory formation in the water maze (Grant et al. 1992). However, later it was established that the impairments in the water maze are due to swimming deficits that can be overcome by mechanically stimulating the hind paws (Huerta et al. 1996). Nonetheless, during contextual fear memory formation fyn is specifically activated in the hippocampus for ~40 min (Isosaka et al. 2008). Accordingly, fyn KO mice are impaired in STM and LTM after contextual fear conditioning (Isosaka et al. 2008). After conditioning, fyn phosphorylates GluN2B at tyrosine-1472 (Isosaka et al. 2008), a phosphorylation event that promotes GluN2B surface expression at synapses (Przybylowski et al. 2005).

Another example is casein kinase 2 (CK2). Spatial memory formation in the water maze is associated with down-regulation of CK2 activity in hippocampal area CA1 (Chao et al. 2007). Overexpression of a dominant-negative form of CK2 in the CA1 region enhances spatial memory formation (Chao et al. 2007). Thus, CK2 activity appears to constrain spatial memory formation. A final example is p21-activated kinase (PAK). PAK is a regulator of actin remodeling that is required for synaptogenesis. Forebrain-restricted expression of a dominant-negative form of PAK impairs contextual fear LTM (Hayashi et al. 2004). In these mutants basal synapse numbers are normal in the hippocampus (Hayashi et al. 2004), but the authors did not test whether conditioning-induced synaptogenesis is impaired (compare with, Radwanska et al. 2011).

Conclusion

For more than 20 yr, the functions of protein kinases in mammalian learning and memory have been studied. It has become clear that these enzymes are critically important for learning and memory, as summarized in Table 1. Currently, most is known about the roles of kinases in memory formation. During memory formation kinase action can be classified into one of two steps: (1) regulation of ion channel density and/or conductivity which impacts on synaptic transmission (e.g., regulation of AMPA receptor trafficking) (Figs. 1, 5), and (2) regulation of gene transcription and/or...
local translation (Figs. 2–4) which impact on structural growth of existing synapses and/or synaptogenesis. In this review we also include evidence for the roles of kinases in memory processes other than memory formation, because the underlying molecular mechanisms are likely to be different. For example, extinction, but not memory formation, requires protein degradation at synapses (Lee et al. 2008). Protein degradation may be regulated by kinases that are not needed for memory formation. Similarly, extinction, but not memory formation, may involve dephosphorylation of synapses. In agreement with this thinking, some kinases are involved in extinction but not in memory formation, as shown in Table 1. A further justification for distinguishing between memory processes is that a given kinase may have different functions in distinct memory processes. For example, the same kinase may phosphorylate different substrates during memory formation vs. retrieval.

Regarding the known function of kinases in memory formation, the question arises whether all kinases are equally important or whether a specific kinase stands out. In our view, CaMKII stands out as a key player for initial memory formation. For example, mice lacking CaMKII autophosphorylation have the most severe deficit in hippocampus-dependent memory formation ever detected in a mutant mouse line, without affecting performance or other types of procedural learning (Giese et al. 1998; Need and Giese 2003; Irvine et al. 2005). CaMKII may have such a prominent role in memory formation due to its complex biochemistry that can regulate several processes at the synapses, including receptor trafficking, regulation of ion channel conductivity, and actin polymerization. Although CaMKII stands out as a kinase for initial memory formation, no single kinase appears to be solely responsible for activation of gene transcription and protein synthesis during memory consolidation. Instead, a sophisticated interplay of kinases (kinase cascades) induces gene transcription and protein synthesis. These kinase cascades amplify incoming signaling to reach a threshold to induce transcription and translation. Different kinase cascades have distinct activation features, such as the CaM kinase and MAP kinase cascades, suggesting that the cascades respond to different signals. In addition to amplifying signals, kinase cascades also have coincidence detection properties. Coincidence detection may serve the purpose to set a threshold so that noise signals cannot induce transcription and translation. Finally, regarding memory storage, it is appealing to think of a perpetuating kinase mechanism that persistently keeps synapses in an activated state and that overcomes molecular turnover (Crick 1984; Lisman 1985). Accordingly, the current candidate kinases for memory storage are CaMKII (interacting with the NMDA receptor) (Sanhueza and Lisman 2013) and PKC isoforms that have no regulatory domains and that are locally translated (Glanzman 2013).

The known learning and memory-related kinases are multifunctional in that they phosphorylate multiple substrates. It is hoped that future phosphoproteomic studies will identify phosphorylation events relevant for learning and memory. The importance of such phosphorylations will need to be tested with KI mouse models that specifically inactivate a candidate phosphorylation, as was done for the T286 autophosphorylation of aCaMKII (Giese et al. 1998). Additionally, the functions of many brain kinases are not known yet. Therefore, it is possible that so far unappreciated kinases will emerge as novel players in learning and memory. Finally, not much is known about kinase dysfunction in human diseases with associated learning and memory impairments. It appears intuitive that in some diseases, mutations in kinase genes cause or enhance the risk of learning and memory impairments, an idea that will need testing.
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<p>| Table 1. Simplified summary of kinase involvement in mammalian learning and memory |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Learning and memory function</th>
<th>Kinase pathway</th>
<th>Candidate mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STM formation</td>
<td>CaMKII</td>
<td>Induction of long-lasting synaptic strengthening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erk1/2</td>
<td>Induction of long-lasting synaptic strengthening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p38</td>
<td>Induction of long-lasting synaptic strengthening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JNKs</td>
<td>Constrains memory formation by induction of long-lasting depression of synaptic transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gsk3-B</td>
<td>Constrains memory formation by induction of long-lasting depression of synaptic transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cdk5</td>
<td>Constrains memory formation by unknown mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTM formation (cellular consolidation)</td>
<td>CaMKII</td>
<td>Synaptic tagging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CaMKK-CaMKIV</td>
<td>Regulation of transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raf-Mek1/2-Erk1/2</td>
<td>Regulation of transcription and local translation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pka</td>
<td>Regulation of transcription and afterhyperpolarization (AHP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P38-Akt</td>
<td>Regulation of transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sgk1-Ikb kinase α</td>
<td>Regulation of transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mtor</td>
<td>Regulation of local translation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cdk5</td>
<td>Synaptogenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAK</td>
<td>Synaptogenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erk5</td>
<td>Regulation of adult neurogenesis in hippocampus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTM formation (systems consolidation)</td>
<td>CaMKII-GluN2b interaction</td>
<td>Maintenance of synaptic potentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory storage</td>
<td>Pkm</td>
<td>Maintenance of synaptic potentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrieval</td>
<td>Raf-Mek1/2-Erk1/2</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P38</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconsolidation</td>
<td>Raf-Mek1/2-Erk1/2</td>
<td>Regulation of transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sgk1-Ikb kinase α</td>
<td>Regulation of transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mtor</td>
<td>Regulation of local translation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gsk3-B</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CaMKII</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raf-Mek1/2-Erk1/2</td>
<td>Regulation of transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JNKs</td>
<td>Long-lasting depression of synaptic transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pka</td>
<td>Constrains extinction by unknown mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cdk5</td>
<td>Constrains extinction by inhibiting PAK activity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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