Running is the neurogenic and neurotrophic stimulus in environmental enrichment

Tali Kobilo,1 Qing-Rong Liu,2 Kriti Gandhi,1 Mohammed Mughal,3 Yavin Shaham,2 and Henriette van Praag1,4

1Neuroplasticity and Behavior Unit, Laboratory of Neurosciences, Intramural Research Program, National Institute on Aging, Baltimore, Maryland 21224, USA; 2Behavioral Neuroscience Branch, Intramural Research Program, National Institute on Drug Abuse, Baltimore, Maryland 21224, USA; 3Cellular and Molecular Neuroscience Section, Laboratory of Neurosciences, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Baltimore, Maryland 21224, USA

Environmental enrichment (EE) increases dentate gyrus (DG) neurogenesis and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) levels. However, running is considered an element of EE. To dissociate effects of physical activity and enrichment on hippocampal neurogenesis and BDNF levels, young female C57Bl/6 mice were housed under control, running, enrichment, or enrichment plus running conditions, and injected with bromodeoxyuridine. Cell genesis was assessed after 12 d and differentiation was analyzed 1 mo later. In addition, locomotor activity in the open field and hippocampal mature BDNF peptide levels were measured. Open-field adaptation was improved in all groups, compared to controls, but more so with running. New cell proliferation, survival, neuron number, and neurotrophin levels were enhanced only when running was accessible. We conclude that exercise is the critical factor mediating increased BDNF levels and adult hippocampal neurogenesis.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

Exposure to environmental enrichment (EE) has positive effects on brain function, including increased numbers of dendritic branches and spines, enlargement of synapses, and improved cognition (Bennett et al. 1964; Rosenzweig and Bennett 1996). More recently, it was discovered that EE enhances the survival of newly born neurons in the dentate gyrus (DG) of the hippocampus (Kempermann et al. 1997) and increases brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) levels (Falkenberg et al. 1992; Rossi et al. 2006; Sun et al. 2010). EE has many aspects, including increased opportunity for learning, socialization, and physical activity. Among these, exercise was found to enhance neurogenesis (van Praag et al. 1999). Subsequently, physical activity and enrichment have been regarded as equivalent neurogenic stimuli, albeit with different underlying mechanisms. Exercise is considered to mainly enhance cell proliferation, whereas enrichment is deemed to increase new cell survival (Olson et al. 2006; Kempermann et al. 2010). However, in many studies the enriched environment includes physical activity (Kempermann et al. 1997, 1998; van Praag et al. 1999; Rossi et al. 2006; Schloesser et al. 2010; Sun et al. 2010), making it difficult to assess the unique contributions of EE and exercise to adult neurogenesis, neurotrophin levels, and behavior.

The current study aims to directly differentiate between the effects of enriched environment only (EEO), physical activity (RUN), and the combination of enrichment and running (EER). Here we show that running is the critical factor in stimulating adult hippocampal neurogenesis and enhancing mature BDNF peptide levels. Moreover, enrichment in the absence of running does not increase adult hippocampal neurogenesis or BDNF levels in the hippocampus. Open-field adaptation was improved in all groups as compared to controls, but more so in the groups that included running. These findings suggest that enrichment and exercise are distinct functional interventions.

Forty female C57Bl/6 mice (5 wk old) were divided into four groups (n = 10) in same-size cages (30′ × 33′ × 8′): (1) controls, CON; (2) runners RUN (10 running wheels, which allows all animals to run simultaneously); (3) enriched only, EEO; (4) enriched with running, EER. Enrichment, as shown in Figure 1, consisted of rearrangeable sets of tunnels, wood chunks, igloos, crawl balls, and hubs which mice could climb on (Bio-Serve). The enrichment devices were rearranged every other week. All mice had unlimited access to water and standard rodent food. During the first 12 d of the study, the mice received daily single doses of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU, 50 µg/g body weight, intra-peritoneal). On day 13, a subset of mice (n = 5 from each group) was sacrificed to assay cell proliferation. The remaining mice continued to live in their respective experimental conditions for a total of 30 d, until day 43 to measure cell survival and differentiation. Mice were deeply anaesthetized with isofluorane and perfused transcardially with 0.9% saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. Brains were post-fixed in 4% PFA for 3 d, followed by equilibration in 30% (wt/vol) sucrose. The brains were cut coronally (40 µm) on a sliding freezing microtome (HM450, ThermoFisher). Sections were stored at −20°C in a cryoprotectant solution.

An additional set of 48 female C57Bl/6 mice was tested in open-field behavior and used to quantify mature BDNF peptide levels in the hippocampus. These mice were housed under the same conditions (CON, RUN, EEO, EER; n = 12 per group) for 43 d. On day 30, mice were tested in an open-field arena (27.3 × 27.3 cm, height 20.3 cm) (Med Associates Inc.). Animals were placed in the center of the arena at the beginning of the testing procedure and were left undisturbed for 20 min. The total distance traveled in the open field over 20 min was recorded.
labeled with BrdU and NeuN, and 50 BrdU-positive cells per sections sampled. To analyze the phenotype of the newly born cells, the rostral dentate gyrus (240 μm apart) was double-labeled for BrdU and NeuN and diaminobenzidine as a chromogen, Vector Laboratories). A 1:6 series of equidistant sections (240 μm apart) was double-labeled for BrdU using the peroxidase method (ABC system, with biotinylated donkey anti-mouse antibodies and diaminobenzidine as a chromogen, Vector Laboratories). A 1-in-6 series of adjacent sections was stained with DAPI (1:250, Invitrogen). BrdU-positive cell number and phenotype were analyzed immunohistochemistry by denaturing DNA. The antibodies used were rat anti-BrdU (1:100; Accurate Chemical) and mouse anti-NeuN (1:100, Millipore). The fluorescent secondary antibodies used were donkey anti-mouse Cy3 (1:250, Jackson ImmunoResearch) and anti-rat Alexa-Fluor 488 (1:250, Invitrogen). BrdU-positive cell number and phenotype were analyzed.

Immunoistochemistry for BrdU and immunofluorescent double-labeling for BrdU and NeuN were performed on free-floating 40-μm coronal sections that were pretreated for BrdU immunohistochemistry by denaturing DNA. The antibodies used were rat anti-BrdU (1:100; Accurate Chemical) and mouse anti-NeuN (1:100, Millipore). The fluorescent secondary antibodies used were donkey anti-mouse Cy3 (1:250, Jackson ImmunoResearch) and anti-rat Alexa-Fluor 488 (1:250, Invitrogen). BrdU-positive cell number and phenotype were analyzed as described previously (Cree et al. 2010). To determine the number of BrdU-labeled cells, a 1:6 series of equidistant sections (240 μm apart) was stained for BrdU using the peroxidase method (ABC system, with biotinylated donkey anti-mouse antibodies and diaminobenzidine as a chromogen, Vector Laboratories). A 1-in-6 series of adjacent sections was stained with DAPI (1 μL per 10 mL of Tris-buffered saline [TBS] for 10 min) to visualize a 1:6 series of equidistant sections (240 μm apart) was stained for BrdU using the peroxidase method (ABC system, with biotinylated donkey anti-mouse antibodies and diaminobenzidine as a chromogen, Vector Laboratories). A 1-in-6 series of adjacent sections was stained with DAPI (1 μL per 10 mL of Tris-buffered saline [TBS] for 10 min) to visualize nuclei and to measure granule cell layer volume. BrdU-positive cells were counted in a 1-in-6 series of five sections starting from the rostral dentate gyrus (240 μm apart) through a 20 × objective (BX51, Olympus) using the fractionator (counting frame, 35 × 35 μm, grid size 55 × 55 μm) system Stereoinvestigator (MicroBrightfield Inc.). The number of BrdU-positive cells was then related to granule cell layer sectional volume to estimate total number of BrdU-positive cells per section. The granule cell volume was determined by summing the traced granule cell areas for each section multiplied by the distance between the five sections sampled. To analyze the phenotype of the newly born cells, a 1-in-12 series of sections (480 μm apart) was double-labeled with BrdU and NeuN, and 50 BrdU-positive cells per mouse were analyzed by confocal microscopy (Olympus IX81 spinning disk confocal) and imaging software (Slidebook, Intelligent Imaging Innovations, Inc.). The ratio of BrdU-positive cells colabeling with NeuN was determined.

To assay mature BDNF peptide levels, hippocampal tissue was homogenized in 500 μL of the 1× RIPA buffer containing protease inhibitors (Complete Mini, Roche Diagnostics) using pestles and microtubes (ISC BioExpress) and then sonicated with four pulses of 10 sec at scale 4 (Ultrasonic Processor, Model GE70) at room temperature. The lysed samples were centrifuged at room temperature for 10 min, and the supernatants were transferred to fresh tubes. The lysates were reduced with 100 mM DTT at 70°C for 1 h to break strong disulfide bonds of BDNF. The protein concentrations were measured using the Bradford method (Bio-Rad). The samples were diluted to a final concentration of 3 μg/μL with the lysis buffer and 4× LDS NuPAGE sample buffer (Invitrogen). Before electrophoresis, the samples were heated at 90°C for 5 min and equilibrated to room temperature. Equal amounts of the proteins were loaded onto a 4%-12% gradient NuPAGE neutral polyacrylamide gel. The electrophoresis was carried out in 1× MES buffer, and the proteins in the gel were transferred to Immobilon-FL membrane (Millipore) using NuPAGE transfer buffer, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Invitrogen). The polyclonal rabbit antibody to BDNF (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Cat# sc-546) and the infrared-labeled goat against rabbit secondary antibodies (Li-Cor Biosciences) were used for immuno-staining, according to Li-Cor’s protocol. The specificity of BDNF antibody staining was confirmed by comigration with the reduced human recombinant BDNF (0.1 μg) (Neuromics, Cat# PR15020) and by negative controls of other BDNF gene family members of NT-4 NT-3 and β-NF (0.1 μg of each) recombinant proteins (R&D, Cat# 256-GF-100, 267-N3-005, and 268-N4-005, respectively) that did not show any signal (Supplemental Fig. S1). The positive control of human recombinant BDNF was applied to the same gel with the hippocampus samples. After the staining, the membranes were scanned by Li-Cor Odyssey Scanner and the integrated intensities of protein bands of BDNF were normalized with those of β-tubulin. The data are expressed as mean values ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Fisher’s post-hoc comparisons (StatView).

Subgranular progenitor cell proliferation in the DG was quantified 1 d (day 13) after the last BrdU injection in a subset of mice (n = 5 per group). Two-way ANOVA (running × housing) revealed a significant main effect of running (F (1,16) = 22.43; P < 0.0002). There was no main effect of housing (P > 0.53) and no interaction between running and housing (P > 0.93). Specific comparisons revealed that both RUN and EER had more cell proliferation than EEO and CON mice (P < 0.01) (Fig. 2; Table 1). The remaining mice were kept in their respective housing conditions for 4 wk after the last BrdU injection to evaluate new cell survival and differentiation. There was a significant main effect of running for survival of BrdU-positive cells (F (1,16) = 142.9; P < 0.0001), but not of housing (P > 0.23), and no interaction between the two
levels increased in RUN and EER mice when compared to CON (P < 0.01) and EEO (P < 0.04) groups. Hippocampal BDNF levels did not differ between CON and EEO (P > 0.18) or between the RUN and EER (P > 0.10) groups.

Our experiments show that running increases neurogenesis and mature BDNF peptide levels in the hippocampus, while enrichment by itself does not have these effects. These results clearly point out that enrichment and running are distinct interventions for these parameters, as opposed to the general assumption that they are equivalent. Increased BDNF gene expression and protein levels as result of enrichment is often reported under conditions that include running wheels (Falkenberg et al. 1992; Rossi et al. 2006; Schloesser et al. 2010; Sun et al. 2010). In fact, these studies refer to EER conditions as enrichment. However, our data demonstrate that running is the critical element enhancing neurogenesis and mature BDNF peptide levels. Therefore, for these aspects, running and enrichment should be considered as separate conditions.

Previously, enrichment with a single running wheel per cage had either no effect on cell genesis (Kempermann et al. 1997; van Praag et al. 1999) or showed an increase (Kempermann et al. 1998) in a strain-dependent manner. Interestingly, enrichment designed to make physical activity (10 wheels) easily accessible to all mice in the cage enhances cell proliferation in female C57BL/6 mice. Indeed, in studies with a single running wheel in the enriched environment, the number of mice (n = 12–14) per cage was largest during the period of daily BrdU injections (Kempermann et al. 1997; van Praag et al. 1999), immediately after which five to six mice per group were removed. Thus, during the time when proliferation was measured, there was less opportunity for each mouse to utilize the wheel than during the subsequent 4-wk survival period. Furthermore, our results appear to contrast with results by other investigators that enrichment without running increases new cell survival and neurogenesis (Ehninger and Kempermann 2003; Fabel et al. 2009). However, in one study the neurogenic effects of enrichment only were smaller than running (Ehninger and Kempermann 2003). In addition, in a recent report, enrichment only showed a trend toward an increased neurogenesis as compared to control conditions but did not reach statistical significance (Fabel et al. 2009), a finding consistent with our present results. It should also be noted that in these studies, the mice in the control groups were housed in smaller cages than the enriched conditions, which may reduce activity levels. In the present study, the cages were sized identically for all four treatment groups.

![Figure 2](image_url)  
Figure 2. Dentate gyrus cell proliferation and neurogenesis, and open-field locomotion. Photomicrographs (A–I) and quantification (M) of BrdU-positive cells 1 d after the last BrdU injection in CON (A,E,I), EEO (B,F,J), RUN (C,G,K), and EER (D,H,L) mice. (I–L) Confocal images of BrdU-positive cells in CON (I), EEO (J), RUN (K), and EER (L) 4 wk after the last injection. Sections were immunofluorescent double-labeled for BrdU (green) and NeuN (red) indicating neuronal phenotype. (O) Open-field behavior was evaluated on day 30. RUN and EER groups habituated more rapidly to the open field than CON and EEO (P < 0.04). EEO differed significantly from CON (P < 0.01), variables (P > 0.54). The number of cells was significantly greater in groups that contained the running wheels (RUN and EER) than in groups without the wheels (CON and EEO) (P < 0.01). There were no differences between CON and EEO (P > 0.21) or RUN and EER (P > 0.62) groups in cell proliferation and survival (Fig. 2; Table 1).

Differentiation of the surviving BrdU-positive cells was examined 4 wk after the last injection by double-labelling for BrdU and NeuN. There was a significant main effect of running in the percentage of neuronal differentiation (F(1,16) = 6.7; P < 0.02) (Table 1), similar to previous research (van Praag et al. 1999). In addition, the number of new neurons was greater in the RUN and EER groups than in EEO and CON mice (F(1,16) = 136.4; P < 0.0001) (Table 1). The volume of the granule cell layer was increased in the groups including running (F(1,16) = 8.6; P < 0.009) (Table 1).

An additional set of mice was tested in the open field (CON, n = 12; EEO, n = 11; RUN, n = 12; EER, n = 12) 30 d after assignment to their respective conditions. All groups habituated to the open-field environment as reflected by a gradual decrease in locomotor activity over 20 min (F(3,43) = 161.6; P < 0.0001). In addition, there was a significant interaction between distance traveled over time, running, and housing (F(3,43) = 2.7; P < 0.05) (Fig. 2). Specific comparisons showed that the RUN and EER groups traveled a shorter distance in the open field than CON and EEO (P < 0.04) and that EEO also differed significantly from CON (P < 0.01). In a subset of these mice (CON, n = 9; EEO, n = 10; RUN, n = 10; EER, n = 9), hippocampal mature BDNF peptide levels were measured. There was a significant interaction between exercise and housing (F(1,31) = 4.7; P < 0.04) and a main effect of exercise (F(4,31) = 25.9; P < 0.0001) but not of housing (P > 0.79). The neurogenic effect of exercise is supported by increased hippocampal BDNF protein levels in the groups that had access to running wheels (Fig. 3A,B). The mature BDNF peptide (15 kD) levels increased in RUN and EER mice when compared to CON (P < 0.01) and EEO (P < 0.04) groups. Hippocampal BDNF levels did not differ between CON and EEO (P > 0.18) or between the RUN and EER (P > 0.10) groups.

Mice were assigned to control (CON), enriched (EEO), running (RUN), and combination of enrichment and running (EER) groups and received BrdU (50 μg/g) from day 1 to day 12. Cell proliferation was assessed on day 13 (n = 5 per mice group; five sections per dentate gyrus), 1 d after the last injection. Survival of the BrdU-labeled cells and volume of the dentate gyrus were determined 4 wk after the last BrdU injection. Phenotype of the surviving cells was determined by immunofluorescent double-labeling for BrdU and NeuN (neurons). The percentage of BrdU-positive cells double-labeled for NeuN and new neuron number is presented. All data are presented as means (standard error). (*) Significantly different from CON and EEO; P < 0.01.

### Table 1. Proliferation and survival of BrdU-positive cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype (in %)</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>EEO</th>
<th>RUN</th>
<th>EER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New neurons</td>
<td>591 (28)</td>
<td>701 (41)</td>
<td>1499 (80)</td>
<td>1471 (103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (mm³)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.006)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.015)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype (in %)</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>EEO</th>
<th>RUN</th>
<th>EER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of mature BDNF peptide</td>
<td>86.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>86.2 (3.3)</td>
<td>92.8 (2.4)</td>
<td>91.7 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype (in %)</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>EEO</th>
<th>RUN</th>
<th>EER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survival per day</td>
<td>1200 (122)</td>
<td>1286 (145)</td>
<td>1935 (147)</td>
<td>2048 (205)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype (in %)</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>EEO</th>
<th>RUN</th>
<th>EER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survival per day</td>
<td>868 (35)</td>
<td>815 (42)</td>
<td>1561 (69)</td>
<td>1603 (107)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype (in %)</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>EEO</th>
<th>RUN</th>
<th>EER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survival per day</td>
<td>86.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>86.2 (3.3)</td>
<td>92.8 (2.4)</td>
<td>91.7 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype (in %)</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>EEO</th>
<th>RUN</th>
<th>EER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survival per day</td>
<td>591 (28)</td>
<td>701 (41)</td>
<td>1499 (80)</td>
<td>1471 (103)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype (in %)</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>EEO</th>
<th>RUN</th>
<th>EER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survival per day</td>
<td>0.17 (0.006)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.23 (0.015)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Specific comparisons showed that RUN, EER, and EEO groups differed. Enhanced BDNF levels and hippocampal neurogenesis is suggested that running wheel exercise may activate NMDA receptors. However, the underlying cellular mechanisms remain unclear. It has been shown that running wheel exercise in mice lacking the NMDA receptor 1 subunit is suppressed in mice lacking NMDA receptor 1 (Kitamura et al. 2003). Additionally, in conditional BDNF knockout mice, the neurogenic and neurotrophic factor is enriched only (EEO) mice adapted better than controls. In our study, the two groups that included running (RUN and EER) showed the greatest habituation to the open field. However, enrichment only (EEO) mice adapted better than controls. Reduced locomotor activity in a novel environment over time in the open field may reflect improved information processing and reduced emotionality associated with enrichment (Crawley et al. 1997). In rats, enrichment without wheel running has been shown to have anxiolytic effects upon exposure to an inescapable foot shock procedure and result in altered monoamine metabolism (Hendriksen et al. 2010). Enrichment only also has effects on synaptic plasticity, such as increased dendritic complexity of young granule neurons (Beauquis et al. 2010), enhanced arborization of cortical neurons (Ipf et al. 2002), and elevated synaptophysin levels in hippocampus and cortex (Lambert et al. 2005). Thus, environmental enrichment and physical activity share common features pertaining to synaptic plasticity (van Praag et al. 2000; Will et al. 2004). Unique to exercise is the enhancement of BDNF levels and adult neurogenesis in the hippocampus.
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