Region-specific involvement of actin rearrangement-related synaptic structure alterations in conditioned taste aversion memory
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Actin rearrangement plays an essential role in learning and memory; however, the spatial and temporal regulation of actin dynamics in different phases of associative memory has not been fully understood. Here, using the conditioned taste aversion (CTA) paradigm, we investigated the region-specific involvement of actin rearrangement-related synaptic structure alterations in different memory processes. We found that CTA training could induce increased postsynaptic density (PSD) length in insular cortex (IC), but not in basolateral amygdala (BLA) and prelimbic cortex (PrL) during short-term memory (STM) formation, whereas it led to increased PSD length and synapse density in both IC and PrL during long-term memory (LTM) formation. Inhibition of actin rearrangement in the IC, but not in the BLA and PrL, impaired memory acquisition. Furthermore, actin dynamics in the IC or PrL is necessary for memory consolidation. On the contrary, inhibition of actin dynamics in the IC, BLA, or PrL had no effect on CTA memory retrieval. Our results suggest temporal and regional-specific regulation of actin rearrangement-related synaptic structure in different phases of CTA memory.

[Supplemental material is available online at http://www.learnmem.org.]

Results

Synapse alterations during CTA short-term memory formation

Actin rearrangement plays an important role in learning and memory through the formation of new synapses and remodeling of the existing ones. First, we try to examine synapse alterations in related brain regions (BLA, IC, and PrL) during CTA short-term memory formation using electron microscopy. To address this issue, rats were divided into five groups: the naïve, CS, US, CS–US-Long (CS–US-L) control, and CTA training groups. On the conditioning day, rats in the CTA training group were given saccharin for 10 min and intraperitoneally injected with lithium chloride (LiCl) 40 min later. For the naïve group, rats were only treated the same as the CTA training group, but instead of getting the CS, will get water in the training day. In the CS–US-L control group, rats received a LiCl injection 24 h after saccharin drinking, as long CS–US intervals could abolish the CTA learning (Gutierrez et al. 2010 Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press on November 7, 2016 - Published by learnmem.cshlp.org)
2003). We chose a 4-h post-LiCl injection to test STM of taste aversion according to the previous report (Lamprecht et al. 1997). As shown in Figure 1A, post hoc comparisons revealed significant differences between the CTA training group and the naïve, CS, US, and CS–US-L control groups, demonstrating that the conditioned animals have acquired the short-term memory (STM) \( F_{(4,16)} = 52.127, P < 0.01 \). The tissues of BLA, IC, and PrL were then dissected for electron microscopic (EM) analysis. Synaptic profiles (arrows) are defined by a presynaptic component with synaptic vesicles and a clearly defined postsynaptic density (PSD). The PSD is an organelle enriched in actin and contains a variety of molecules involved in signal transduction (Adam and Matus 1996). There were no obvious morphological changes of synapses in the BLA or PrL between the CTA training and control groups at 4 h after CTA training. However, increased vesicles assembled near the active zone of synapses were found in the IC of training group (Fig. 1B). More importantly, quantitative analysis revealed an increased PSD length in the IC \( F_{(4,12)} = 12.185, P < 0.01 \), but not in the BLA or PrL compared with other control groups during STM formation (Fig. 1C), which suggested that the synapse alterations during STM formation after CTA learning were due to the specific CS–US association, but not the CS or US stimulation alone. In contrast, the synapse density in the BLA, IC, and PrL did not change significantly compared with their control groups during STM formation (Fig. 1D). The CTA learning-induced PSD elongation in the IC during STM formation could be a morphological implication for enhanced synaptic efficacy (Geinisman et al. 2001; Nikonenko et al. 2002), which suggests that the actin dynamics in the IC may be involved in CTA STM formation.

### Synapse changes during CTA long-term memory formation

Next, we investigated the synapse changes during CTA LTM formation in different brain regions. It is a general belief that the establishment of long-term memory (LTM) is associated with its long-lasting storage in synapses, which is accomplished either by an addition of new synaptic contacts or an increase in the strength of existing ones (Andersen and Soleng 1998; Klintsova and Greenough 1999; Geinisman 2000; Geinisman et al. 2001). LTM was tested at 72 h after LiCl injection according to a previous study (Lamprecht et al. 1997). As shown in Figure 2A, the aversion index in the CTA training group was significantly higher at 72 h post-LiCl injection compared with other control groups \( F_{(4,18)} = 57.760, P < 0.01 \), demonstrating that the conditioned animals have acquired LTM. The synapse changes in the BLA, IC, and PrL during CTA LTM formation were then examined by electron microscopy (Fig. 2B). Notably, at 72 h after CTA conditioning, post hoc comparisons revealed that both PSD length and synapse density in the IC (PSD length: \( F_{(4,16)} = 11.342, P < 0.01 \); synapse density: \( F_{(4,17)} = 3.887, P < 0.05 \) and PrL (PSD length: \( F_{(4,16)} = 8.997, P < 0.01 \); synapse density: \( F_{(4,15)} = 5.028, P < 0.01 \)) were significantly increased during LTM formation compared with other control groups (Fig. 2C,D), which suggested that

---

**Figure 1.** CTA increases PSD length in the IC during STM formation. (A) STM formation after CTA conditioning. Schematic representation of the behavior protocol to evaluate CTA acquisition in the naïve, CS, US, CS–US-L control, and CTA training groups. The behavior tests were carried at 4 h after LiCl injection. (B) Representative electron micrographs show the synapses in the BLA, IC, and PrL of CS–US-L control and CTA training rats at 4 h after LiCl injection. Synapses are indicated by arrows. The scale bar represents 0.5 μm. (C,D) Estimated PSD length (C) and synapse density (D) in the BLA, IC, and PrL of the naïve, CS, US, CS–US-L control, and CTA training rats. All values represent means ± SEM determined from analysis of four to six rats per group (**P < 0.01 compared with the naïve, CS, US, and CS–US-L control, respectively).
Spatial involvement of synaptic alterations in CTA

both the IC and PrL might be involved in CTA LTM formation. However, there were no significant changes in the synapse morphology and density in the BLA during LTM formation. Together with our previous results, we found PSD elongation in the IC during CTA STM formation, whereas CTA training could induce de novo synapse formation in the IC and PrL in addition to PSD elongation at a later time during LTM formation, which suggests distinct actin dynamic-related synaptic plasticity during STM and LTM formation of CTA memory.

Actin rearrangement in the IC, but not in the BLA or PrL, is necessary for CTA acquisition

Although the previous experiment demonstrated the increased PSD length within the IC during CTA STM formation, it is still unclear whether actin rearrangement is functionally necessary for CTA acquisition. To address this question, the inhibitor of actin polymerization was microinjected into the BLA, IC, or PrL 30 min before CTA training, and the aversion index was tested 4 h after conditioning (Fig. 3A). To exclude the nonspecific effect of the inhibitor, we chose two kinds of actin polymerization inhibitors (Latrunculin A and cytochalasin D) with different working mechanisms. Latrunculin A, which forms a nopolym-erizable 1:1 complex with G-actin, could sequester G-actin to inhibit actin polymerization, whereas cytochalasin D caps the growing end of actin filaments and thus changes the polymerization and depolymerization rates of F-actin (Chodniewicz et al. 2004). Injection of the inhibitors into the IC produced a significant reduction of the aversion index tested 4 h after training (Fig. 3B; $F_{(2,23)} = 16.651, P < 0.01$), indicating that administration of cytochalasin D or latrunculin A into the IC results in CTA acquisition impairment. On the contrary, when inhibitors were microinjected into the BLA or PrL, there were no significant differences of STM among the inhibitors and vehicle groups (Fig. 3B). Immediately after the STM test, the IC of the vehicle or cytochalasin D-treated group was dissected for synaptic morphology analysis by electron microscopy. As seen in Figure 3C, both the number of vesicles near the active zone and the PSD thickness decreased in the cytochalasin D-treated group. Quantitative analysis revealed that the cytochalasin D microinjection resulted in a decreased PSD length in the IC compared with the vehicle group (Fig. 3D; t$_{(34)} = 3.904, P < 0.01$). However, there was no significant difference in synapse density between the two groups (Fig. 3E). To exclude the possibility that the region-specific effect of actin polymerization inhibitor on CTA memory acquisition is due to the inhibitor’s differential inhibition effect on actin polymerization in various areas, we microinjected vehicle or cytochalasin D into the BLA, IC, or PrL of naive rats and the corresponding brain regions were taken at 4 h after microinjection. Quantitative EM analysis revealed a decreased PSD length in the corresponding brain regions (Fig. 3D; $t_{(34)} = 2.987, P < 0.05$; PrL, t$_{(4)} = 4.346$; IC, t$_{(4)} = 2.907$, $P < 0.05$) (Fig. 4A), but there were no significant changes in synapse density (Fig. 4B) in the cytochalasin D injection group, which suggested that cytochalasin D has a similar actin polymerization inhibition effect in various brain regions. The inhibition of actin rearrangement in the IC, but not in the BLA or PrL,
produces impairment in CTA memory acquisition, and its related synaptic plasticity suggested the involvement of actin dynamics within the IC during CTA memory acquisition.

**Actin rearrangements in the IC and PrL are required in CTA consolidation but not retrieval**

Since our previous results have shown that different synaptic changes occurred during LTM formation compared with the STM formation period, we next investigated the functional role of spatial actin rearrangements in CTA consolidation, because the formation of LTM depends on memory consolidation, a progressive post-acquisition stabilization process. To ensure that the rats of each group acquire similar STM of CTA, cytochalasin D or latrunculin A was infused 4 h after conditioning and LTM was tested 72-h post-conditioning to measure the specific effect of actin dynamics on memory consolidation (Fig. 5A). Significant differences in aversion index were found among
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**Figure 3.** Microinjection of cytochalasin D or latrunculin A into the IC before conditioning impairs CTA acquisition. (A) Schematic representation of the behavior protocol to evaluate CTA acquisition. (B) Microinjection of cytochalasin D, latrunculin A, or vehicle bilaterally into the BLA, IC, or PrL at 30 min before CTA training, and the aversion index were tested at 4-h post-conditioning. The values represent means ± SEM determined from analysis of eight to 10 rats per group (\(^*P < 0.05\), \(^{**}P < 0.01\) compared with vehicle). (C) Representative electron micrographs to illustrate the synapses in the IC of vehicle or cytochalasin D-treated rats. Synapses are indicated by arrows. The scale bar represents 0.5 \(\mu\)m. (D, E) Estimated PSD length (D) and synapse density (E) in the IC of vehicle or cytochalasin D-treated rats. All values represent means ± SEM determined from analysis of four to six rats per group (\(^{**}P < 0.01\) compared with vehicle).

**Figure 4.** The effect of cytochalasin D on actin polymerization in various brain areas. Microinjection of cytochalasin D or vehicle into the BLA, IC, or PrL and the corresponding brain regions were taken at 4 h post-microinjection. (A) Estimated PSD length in the BLA, IC, and PrL of the vehicle and cytochalasin D microinjection group. (B) Estimated synapse density in the BLA, IC, and PrL of the vehicle and cytochalasin D microinjection group. All values represent means ± SEM determined from analysis of three rats per group (\(^*P < 0.05\) compared with vehicle).
the groups of IC injection \(F_{(2,23)} = 33.125, P < 0.01\) or PrL injection \(F_{(2,27)} = 33.605, P < 0.01\) (Fig. 5B). However, microinjection of cytochalasin D or latrunculin A into the BLA has no effect on the formation of CTA LTM. These results demonstrate that actin dynamics in the IC and PrL but not in the BLA are required for CTA LTM formation. Following the LTM test, the IC and PrL of the vehicle and cytochalasin D-treated groups were dissected for electron microscopy analysis (Fig. 5C). Quantitative analysis revealed that there was significant decreased synapse density and reduced PSD length in the cytochalasin D group compared with the vehicle group in the IC (synapse density: \(t_{(6)} = 4.251, P < 0.01\); PSD length: \(t_{(7)} = 4.404, P < 0.01\)) and PrL (synapse density: \(t_{(6)} = 4.338, P < 0.01\); PSD length: \(t_{(7)} = 4.027, P < 0.01\)), which were in accordance with the behavioral results (Fig. 5D, E).

LTM impairment could also be due to memory retrieval deficit. In an additional experiment, we microinjected cytochalasin D or latrunculin A into the BLA, IC, or PrL 30 min before LTM test (Fig. 6A). All groups were able to acquire similar levels of LTM compared with vehicle group (Fig. 6B). Thus, inhibition of actin rearrangement in the BLA, IC, or PrL did not interfere with the animals’ ability to retrieve acquired CTA memory. In all, these results demonstrate that blocking of actin dynamics in the IC or PrL produces impairment in CTA memory consolidation but not in memory retrieval, implying that there are different roles for actin rearrangement in these two fundamental processes of memory.

**Figure 5.** Microinjection of cytochalasin D or latrunculin A into the IC or PrL after training impairs CTA LTM. (A) Schematic representation of the behavior protocol to evaluate CTA consolidation. (B) Microinjection of cytochalasin D, latrunculin A, or vehicle bilaterally into the BLA, IC, or PrL at 4 h after CTA training and the aversion index were tested at 72-h post-conditioning. The values represent means ± SEM determined from analysis of eight to 10 rats per group (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 compared with vehicle). (C) Representative electron micrographs to illustrate the synapses in the IC and PrL of vehicle or cytochalasin D-treated rats. Synapses are indicated by arrows. The scale bar represents 0.5 μm. (D,E) Estimated PSD length (D) and synapse density (E) in the IC and PrL of vehicle or cytochalasin D-treated rats. All values represent means ± SEM determined from analysis of four to six rats per group (*P < 0.01 compared with vehicle).

**Discussion**

There are few reports about the role of actin rearrangement in CTA memory processes. In this study, we provide evidence for the first time that actin arrangement-related synapse alterations play important roles in CTA. Our data provide several new insights into the region-specific involvement of actin dynamics-related synaptic structure changes in different phases of CTA memory. First, we found different synaptic plasticity during STM and LTM formation of CTA. During CTA STM formation, a structural remodeling of existing synapses occurred in the IC, resulting in an enlargement of the PSD that depends on actin cytoskeleton rearrangement, whereas in addition to the increase of existing synapse strength, during LTM establishment there is formation of new synapses in the IC and PrL that are required for the maintenance of CTA memory. These findings suggest that there are temporal regulations of actin rearrangement-related synaptic plasticity in different brain regions during CTA memory processes.

Second, we found the region-specific involvement of actin dynamics in CTA memory processes. Previous studies have shown that CTA can be elicited by intra-BLA microinjections of glutamate (Miranda et al. 2002) and post-training infusion of corticosterone into the BLA could enhance CTA memory retention (Miranda et al. 2008), which indicates that intra-BLA neurotransmission is required for CTA memory. However, we found actin
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rangement in the BLA does not participate in CTA acquisition and consolidation, which suggests that the actin dynamics and neurotransmission are two distinct mechanisms underlying memory formation. Our data is consistent with a previous report that local blockade of protein synthesis in the BLA did not disrupt CTA memory formation (Bahar et al. 2003, 2004). Interestingly, actin cytoskeleton rearrangement in the BLA was reported to be essential for the consolidation of auditory fear conditioning (Mantzur et al. 2009), which suggests the selective involvement of actin dynamics in different behavior tests.

Pharmacological manipulation experiments demonstrate that insular cortical muscarinic and NMDA receptors and mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades are involved in CTA acquisition and consolidation (Rosenblum et al. 1997; Berman et al. 1998, 2000; Ferreira et al. 2002; Gutierrez et al. 2003). Our data further suggest that actin rearrangement in the IC is also necessary for these CTA memory processes; however, its role is not indispensable, as animals can still acquire memory to a lesser extent after inhibition of actin dynamics in the IC. Earlier study suggests that activity in the PrL is critical for the consolidation, but not the acquisition, of auditory or contextual fear memories (Corcoran and Quirk 2007). In trace eyeblink conditioning learning it has been shown that medial prefrontal cortex (including PrL) is essential for remotely, but not recently acquired memory (Takehara et al. 2003). Furthermore, Tronel and coworkers found that the noradrenergic system in the PrL was required for the late stage of memory consolidation in an appetitively motivated foraging task (Tronel et al. 2004). Consistent with these behavior tests, the present study has demonstrated that actin rearrangement in the PrL is required for the consolidation of CTA. Interestingly, our findings also imply that actin rearrangements in the PrL and IC play different roles in CTA memory. As in the PrL, actin dynamics is not necessary for the acquisition of short-term CTA memory but is required for LTM formation. Since previous study has shown that the PrL and IC are interconnected (Gabbott et al. 2003), it is possible that the PrL plays a special role in organizing the consolidation of CTA memory in the IC.

Finally, our behavioral experiments revealed that actin rearrangement in the BLA, IC, or PrL is not essential in CTA memory retrieval, which indicates that the cellular mechanisms of memory acquisition and consolidation are different from memory retrieval. It has been reported that pretest administration of NMDA receptor antagonists, at doses that blocked long-term spatial memory formation did not alter memory retrieval (Steele and Morris 1999; Szapiro et al. 2000). On the other hand, in a food-motivated maze task, the memory retrieval can be enhanced by the activation of β-adrenergic receptors (Sara and Devagues 1988; Devagues and Sara 1991). In addition, in a hippocampal-dependent inhibitory avoidance task, memory retrieval can be enhanced by AMPA and metabotropic glutamate receptor activation (Szapiro et al. 2002). However, actin rearrangement is not involved in memory retrieval process, at least not in CTA memory.

In summary, we used a transmission electron microscope to demonstrate distinct synaptic alterations during short-term and long-term CTA memory. We found the brain region-specific involvement of actin dynamics in CTA memory processes. Actin rearrangement in the PrL is necessary for memory consolidation, while in the IC it is required for both the acquisition and consolidation of CTA memory. In addition, our results also imply that actin dynamics plays different roles in different phases of CTA memory. Both CTA memory acquisition and consolidation depend on actin rearrangements, whereas actin dynamics is not involved in the retrieval process. The detailed mechanisms of actin dynamics during CTA memory need to be investigated in the future.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

The subjects were male Wistar rats (60-d-old, 250–280 g), caged individually at 22°C ± 2°C under 12-h light/12-h dark cycles. Water and food were available ad libitum except when experimental requirements dictated otherwise. All procedures were in accordance with the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Shandong University.

Reagent

Latrunculin A and cytochalasin D were purchased from Sigma and dissolved in ACSF (124 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 1.25 mM KH2PO4, 1 mM MgSO4· 7H2O, 26 mM NaHCO3, 10 mM D-glucose, 2 mM CaCl2· 2H2O at pH 7.4) containing 1% DMSO to a final concentration of 500 ng/μL.

Behavioral procedures

In the CTA procedure, saccharin (0.1% w/v, sodium salt, Sigma Chemical Co.) was used as an unfamiliar taste, and intraperitoneal LiCl (0.15 M, 2% body weight, Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co.) was used as a malaise-inducing agent. The CTA protocol was essentially as described by a previous report (Rosenblum et al. 1993). In brief, rats were trained over 4 d to get their daily water ration within 10 min from two pipettes, each containing 10 mL of tap water. On day 5 (conditioning day), water was replaced with tastant solution (5 mL of 0.1% saccharin). This was followed 40 min later by an intraperitoneal injection of LiCl. For the naive group, rats were only trained to get their daily water ration within 10 min. In the CS group, rats were given saccharin only, without the following intraperitoneal injection of LiCl. Rats in the US group were treated the same as the CTA training group, but instead of getting the CS will get water on the training day. In the CS–US-L control group, rats received a LiCl injection 24 h after drinking saccharin. Four or 72 h after the injection of LiCl rats were presented with an array of six pipettes, three containing 5 mL of saccharin and three containing 5 mL of water, for 10 min, and their liquid consumption was recorded to test the acquisition of short-term and long-term CTA memory, respectively. The aversion index (AI) was defined as (milliliters of water/[milliliters of water + milliliters of saccharin])100% consumed in the test. In
cortex layer V is adjacent to the white matter and is easy for local-memory processes (Degenetais et al. 2003), and in addition the prefrontal cortex play important roles in the learning and memory processes. Surgery and microinjection Microinjection was performed via chtonically implanted cannulas. Rats were anesthetized with 5% chloral hydrate (0.6 mL/100 g), restrained in a stereotaxic apparatus (Reward 8001), and implanted bilaterally with guide cannulas (stainless steel, 23 gauge) aimed at the PrL, BLA, or IC (coordinates in reference to bregma, PrL: anteroposterior [AP], +3.15 mm; lateral [L], ±0.5 mm; and ventral [V], −2.7 mm. BLA: AP, −2.8 mm; L, ±4.8 mm; V , −6.7 mm. IC: AP, +1.3 mm; L, ±0.3 mm; V, −4.5 mm) (Paxinos and Watson 1996). A stylus was placed in the guide cannula to prevent clogging. The injection cannula was extended 1.5 mm from the tip of the guide cannula. Animals were allowed 1 wk to recuperate before being subjected to experimental manipulations. Microinjection of cytochalasin D or latrunculin A (500 ng in 1 μL/hemisphere) was performed at the coordinates suggested in Behavioral Procedures. The stylus was removed from the guide cannula, and a 28-gauge injection cannula was carefully inserted. The injection cannula was connected via PE20 tubing to a Hamilton microsyringe driven by a microinjection pump (Carnegie Medicine CMA 100) at a rate of 1.0 μL/min. After microinjection, the injection cannula was left for an additional 2 min before withdrawal to reduce efflux of injection liquid along the injection tract.

Histology Following completion of the experimental protocol rats were deeply anesthetized with 1 μL of methylene blue was microinfused. Frozen brain slices (40 μm) were analyzed to verify the microinfusion sites and the solution diffused scope in the BLA, IC, and PrL (Supplemental Fig. S1).

Tissue preparation for electron microscopy To accurately locate the tiny electron microscope (EM) sample for analysis, we applied a three-step localization method (Supplemental Fig. S2). Rats were deeply anesthetized with 5% chloral hydrate (0.6 mL/100 g) and perfused with 100–200 mL of 0.9% sodium chloride (pH 7.4), followed by 100–200 mL phosphate-buffered fixative (pH 7.4) containing 2% paraformaldehyde and 2.5% glutaraldehyde. Brains were rapidly removed, followed by 100–200 mL of 0.9% sodium chloride (pH 7.4), followed by 100–200 mL phosphate-buffered fixative (pH 7.4) containing 2% paraformaldehyde and 2.5% glutaraldehyde. Brains were rapidly removed, followed by 100–200 mL of 0.9% sodium chloride (pH 7.4), followed by 100–200 mL phosphate-buffered fixative (pH 7.4) containing 2% paraformaldehyde and 2.5% glutaraldehyde. Brains were rapidly removed, followed by 100–200 mL of 0.9% sodium chloride (pH 7.4), followed by 100–200 mL phosphate-buffered fixative (pH 7.4) containing 2% paraformaldehyde and 2.5% glutaraldehyde.

Statistical analyses Data were analyzed with a Student’s t-test and one-way ANOVA, followed by Fisher’s LSD post hoc comparisons, where appropriate. The significance level was set to 0.05 for all statistical analyses, and all values in the text and figures represent means ± SEM. Data analyses were performed using SPSS statistical program version 10.0.
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