Ontogenetic change in the auditory conditioned stimulus pathway for eyeblink conditioning
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Two experiments examined the neural mechanisms underlying the ontogenetic emergence of auditory eyeblink conditioning. Previous studies found that the medial auditory thalamus is necessary for eyeblink conditioning with an auditory conditioned stimulus (CS) in adult rats. In experiment 1, stimulation of the medial auditory thalamus was used as a CS in rat pups trained on postnatal days (P) 17–18, 24–25, or 31–32. All three age groups showed significant acquisition relative to unpaired controls. However, there was an age-related increase in the rate of conditioning. Experiment 2 examined the effect of inactivating the medial auditory thalamus with muscimol on auditory eyeblink conditioning in rats trained on P17–18, 24–25, or 31–32. Rat pups trained on P24–25 and P31–32, but not P17–18, showed a significant reduction in conditioned responses following muscimol infusions. The findings suggest that the thalamic contribution to auditory eyeblink conditioning continues to develop through the first postnatal month.

Developmental studies of associative learning in rats have demonstrated that conditioning emerges ontogenetically at different ages depending on the sensory modality of the conditioned stimulus (CS) and the particular conditioned response (CR) that is measured (Rudy 1992; Hunt and Campbell 1997). Auditory and visual conditioning typically develops later than conditioning with gustatory or olfactory stimuli (Rudy 1992). Within each CS sensory modality, conditioned freezing develops before conditioned changes in heart rate, which develops before potentiated startle and eyeblink conditioning (Sananes et al. 1988; Stanton et al. 1992; Hunt and Campbell 1997). Eyeblink conditioning using an auditory CS emerges as an approximately linear function of age between postnatal days (P) 17 and 24 (Stanton et al. 1992, 1998).

Recent findings indicate that the relatively late development of eyeblink conditioning may be related to maturation of sensory inputs to the cerebellum (Freeman Jr. et al. 2005; Campolattaro and Freeman 2008). The cerebellum is the site of learning and long-term memory underlying eyeblink conditioning (Thompson 2005; Ohyama et al. 2006). It receives sensory input from the unconditioned stimulus (US) through the inferior olivary climbing fiber projection (Mauk et al. 1986; Steinmetz et al. 1989; Thompson 2005). The cerebellum receives sensory input from the CS through the pontine mossy fiber projection (Steinmetz et al. 1986, 1987, 1989; Knowlton and Thompson 1988; Tracy et al. 1998; HESSLOW et al. 1999; Freeman Jr. and Rabinak 2004). It is also a sufficient CS for eyeblink conditioning in adult animals (Steinmetz et al. 1986, 1989; Tracy and Steinmetz 1998; HESSLOW et al. 1999; Freeman Jr. and Rabinak 2004). It is also a sufficient CS for eyeblink conditioning in rat pups trained on P17, and as early as P12 (Freeman Jr. et al. 2005; Campolattaro and Freeman 2008). Rat pups given the mossy fiber stimulus CS on P17 condition at the same rate as rats conditioned on P24, suggesting that the mossy fiber pathway is mature enough to support cerebellar learning before conditioning can be established with an external stimulus (e.g., a tone). The sufficiency of mossy fiber stimulation as a CS for eyeblink conditioning in young rats indicates that the cerebellum is capable of learning before the pontine nuclei receive adequate sensory input. Further support for this hypothesis comes from an examination of pontine neuronal activity in developing rats (Freeman Jr. and Muckler 2003). The proportion of single units showing short-latency responses to a tone CS increased as a function of age. Moreover, there was a developmental increase in the magnitude of CS-elicited activity among units that showed tone responses. The findings of the stimulation studies and the neuronal recording study suggest that there is a developmental change in sensory input to the pontine nuclei, which limits CS-related input to the cerebellum during eyeblink conditioning.

The pontine nuclei receive sensory input from many cortical and subcortical sources (Glickstein et al. 1972; Graybiel 1974; Kawamura 1975; Legg et al. 1989; Wells et al. 1989; Campolattaro et al. 2007). Within the auditory system, the pontine nuclei receive monosynaptic input from the auditory cortex, cochlear nuclei, inferior colliculus, and the medial nuclei of the auditory thalamus (Kawamura 1975; Steinmetz et al. 1987; Campolattaro et al. 2007). Auditory cortical input to the pontine nuclei is not necessary for acquisition or retention of eyeblink conditioning (OAKLEY and Russell 1972, 1977; MAUK and Thompson 1987). However, the cochlear nucleus, inferior colliculus, and medial auditory thalamic nuclei (MATN) have been shown to provide necessary and sufficient sensory input to the pontine nuclei for eyeblink conditioning in adult rabbits and rats (Steinmetz et al. 1987; Halverson and Freeman 2006; Campolattaro et al. 2007; Freeman et al. 2007; Halverson et al. 2008). The findings from studies using adult rats support a model of the auditory CS pathway that includes the medial auditory thalamus as the most proximal sensory input to the pontine nuclei (Fig. 1).

The current study was designed to determine whether developmental changes in the medial auditory thalamic input to the pontine nuclei could play a role in the ontogeny of eyeblink conditioning.

Results

Experiment 1: Stimulation of the medial auditory thalamus as a CS in developing rats

The first experiment examined whether stimulation of the medial auditory thalamus could serve as a sufficient CS for eyeblink
conditioning in rats trained on P17–18, P24–25, or P31–32. A previous study found rapid acquisition of eyeblink conditioning in adult rats given medial auditory thalamic stimulation as a CS (Campolattaro et al. 2007). An age-related increase in conditioning using medial auditory thalamic stimulation as a CS would suggest that the thalamo-pontine projection is undergoing maturation that plays a role in the ontogeny of auditory eyeblink conditioning. The absence of an age-related change in conditioning using medial auditory thalamic stimulation as the CS would, on the other hand, suggest that the thalamo-pontine projection is mature early and that the development of this pathway could not account for the ontogeny of auditory eyeblink conditioning.

**Stimulation electrode placement**

The tips of the stimulating electrodes were positioned within 0.5 mm of the medial division of the medial geniculate in all of the rats in this study (Fig. 2). Moreover, the electrode placement was consistent between the three age groups. The electrode placement was also consistent with the most effective site for medial auditory thalamic stimulation in adult rats (Campolattaro et al. 2007).

**Eyeblink conditioning**

All age groups acquired associative eyeblink conditioning with stimulation of the MATN as the CS. Each age group (P17–18, P24–25, P31–32) showed a higher percentage of eyeblink CRs during training in the rats given paired training relative to the unpaired controls (Fig. 3). However, there was an age-related increase in the percentage of CRs among the rats given paired training (Fig. 3).

An ANOVA of the CR percentage data revealed an interaction of the age and training condition factors ($F_{2,37} = 1.47, P < 0.01$). Post-hoc tests confirmed that all of the age groups (P17–18, P24–25, P31–32) given paired conditioning showed significantly more CRs than their unpaired controls ($P < 0.05$). Among the groups given paired training, the P17–18 group produced fewer CRs than the P24–25 and P31–32 groups ($P < 0.05$), and the P24–25 group produced fewer CRs than the P31–32 group ($P < 0.05$).

Conditioned response amplitude, onset latency, and peak latency were taken from CS-alone test trials in which a CR occurred to detect longer latency responses in the absence of the unconditioned response (UR). These measures of CR performance were assessed during the last three training sessions in the groups given paired training to provide a sufficient number of responses in each group for ANOVA. Conditioned response amplitude increased as a function of age, as seen in a main effect of the age factor ($F_{2,20} = 7.36, P < 0.005$) (Fig. 4). There was also an effect of the training session factor ($F_{6,120} = 4.67, P < 0.02$), which was due to an increase in CR amplitude between sessions 4 and 6. No significant group effects were found for the analyses of CR onset or peak latencies, although trends toward age-related decreases were observed for both latency measures (Fig. 4).

**Experiment 2: Muscimol inactivation of the medial auditory thalamus during auditory eyeblink conditioning in developing rats**

The results of experiment 1 suggest that the efficacy of the thalamo-pontine pathway for auditory CSs continues developing between P24 and P31. It was, therefore, important to determine whether eyeblink conditioning that is established in rat pups with a tone CS requires the medial auditory thalamus. It was possible that the robust conditioning observed on P24–25, or
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**Figure 1.** Diagram of the hypothesized auditory CS pathway for delay eyeblink conditioning in adult rats. Auditory CS information initially projects to the cochlear nucleus (CN). The CN, superior olive (SO), nucleus of the lateral leminiscus (LL), and inferior colliculus (IC) then send converging inputs to the medial auditory thalamic nuclei (MATN). Auditory information is then conveyed through a direct projection to the basal pontine nuclei (PN). The PN sends mossy fiber projections into the cerebellar cortex (CTX) and interpositus nucleus (IPN). Finally, the IPN sends output for conditioned response (CR) expression.
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**Figure 2.** Digital image showing a representative electrode placement. The tip of the stimulation electrode (arrow) is within the dorsal portion of the medial division of the medial geniculate (MGm). HF indicates hippocampal formation; MGd, dorsal division of the medial geniculate; MGv, ventral division of the medial geniculate; PAG, periaqueductal gray; PIL, posterior intralaminar nucleus; and SC, intermediate superior colliculus. Magnification = 2.5 ×.
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**Figure 3.** Medial auditory thalamic stimulation as a conditioned stimulus supports associative eyeblink conditioning in rat pups. Mean conditioned response (CR) percentage for rats given paired (black symbols) or unpaired (white symbols) training on postnatal days 17–18 (circles), 24–25 (diamonds), and 31–32 (triangles) across six 100-trial sessions. Note the age-related increase in CR percentage between the groups given paired training.
even the modest conditioning seen on P17–18 was driven by monosynaptic projections from the cochlear nucleus and inferior colliculus to the pontine nuclei. A previous study in adult rats found that inactivation of the medial auditory thalamus with muscimol blocked acquisition and retention of auditory eyeblink conditioning (Halverson et al. 2008). The same method was used in experiment 2 to examine the effect of inactivating the medial auditory thalamus on eyeblink conditioning with a tone CS in rats conditioned on P17–18, P24–25, or P32–32.

Cannula placement
The cannula placement was very similar to the electrode placement in experiment 1. The tips of the injection cannulae were within 0.5 mm of the medial division of the medial geniculate (Fig. 5). Cannula placement was consistent across the age groups and was consistent with effective cannula placements in adult rats (Halverson et al. 2008). Infusion of fluorescent muscimol in the same volume and concentration as the unlabeled muscimol resulted in a relatively discrete injection within the medial auditory thalamus (Fig. 6).

Eyeblink conditioning
Rats given eyeblink conditioning with a tone CS showed equivalent acquisition on P24–25 and P31–32, but weaker acquisition on P17–18 (Fig. 7). Muscimol infusion into the medial auditory thalamus resulted in a large decrement in CR percentage in the rats trained on P24–25 and P31–32, but not in the rats trained on P17–18, primarily because of a low CR percentage during the preinfusion session. During the recovery session with saline infusions, the rats trained on P24–25 and P31–32 showed complete restoration of CR percentage.

The above description of the behavioral results was supported by a within-subjects ANOVA that revealed an interaction of the age and sessions factors (F12,32) = 17.48, P < 0.001. Post-hoc tests indicated that the CR percentage of the groups trained on P24–25 or P31–32 did not differ across any of the training sessions. In contrast, the CR percentage of both of these groups was greater than the group trained on P17–18 during sessions 3–5 and 7 (P < 0.05). The groups trained on P24–25 or P31–32 showed a significant increase in CR percentage from session 1 to sessions 3–5 (P < 0.05), whereas the increase in CR percentage across sessions in the group trained on P17–18 was not significant. During the muscimol test session (session 6), CR percentage was significantly reduced in the groups trained on P24–25 and P31–32 (P < 0.05), but was not reduced in the group trained on P17–18. The percentage of CRs then returned to the premuscimol levels in the groups trained on P24–25 and P31–32 during the recovery session with a saline infusion (session 7).

Discussion
Stimulation of the medial auditory thalamus was an effective CS for eyeblink conditioning in developing rats, as seen in a previous experiment that used adult rats (Campolattaro et al. 2007). The groups given paired training showed an increase in CRs across training sessions, whereas the groups given unpaired conditioning did not show a change in responding across training sessions. The increase in eyeblink CRs in the groups given paired training can therefore be attributed to associative learning. An age-related increase in associative learning was observed between groups trained on P17–18, P24–25, and P31–32. Eyeblink conditioning with a tone CS was impaired by muscimol infusion into the medial auditory thalamus in pups trained on P24–25 and P31–32.

The age-related increase in associative conditioning with medial auditory thalamus stimulation as the CS suggests that the thalamo-pontine projection (Campolattaro et al. 2007) is undergoing substantial developmental change between P17 and P31. A very surprising aspect of these data is the difference in conditioning between pups trained on P24–25 and P31–32. As seen in experiment 2, and previous studies (Freeman Jr. et al. 1995a,b), delay eyeblink conditioning with external stimuli is very similar between these age groups. The late developmental change in conditioning with thalamic stimulation suggests that the thalamo-pontine pathway continues to develop beyond P24–25. In fact, this pathway may continue to develop past P31–32, a hypothesis supported by the finding that adult rats trained with medial auditory thalamic stimulation as the CS reach asymptotic levels of conditioning within the first training session (Campolattaro et al. 2007), whereas the group conditioned on P31–32 did not reach asymptote until the third or fourth training session.

Inactivation of the medial auditory thalamus after initial acquisition produces a 70% reduction of eyeblink CRs in adult rats (Halverson et al. 2008). A similar deficit in performance was seen in the rat pups trained on P24–25 and P31–32 in the current study. This finding indicates that the medial auditory thalamus is a necessary component of the auditory CS pathway in develop-
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Figure 6. A digital image of fluorescent muscimol (0.2 µL, 2 nmol) within the medial auditory thalamus showing labeling within the medial division of the medial geniculate (MGm) but very little labeling within the dorsal or ventral medial geniculate (MGd/v). HF indicates hippocampal formation; SC, superior colliculus. Magnification = 2.5 ×.

Figure 7. Mean conditioned response (CR) percentage for rats given paired training on postnatal days 17–18 (circles), 24–25 (diamonds), and 31–32 (triangles) across seven 100-trial sessions. Muscimol was infused into the medial auditory thalamus before session 6. Note the age-related increase in CR percentage during sessions 1–5 and the reduction in CR percentage in the groups trained on P24–25 and P31–32.

The specific nature of the developmental change in the thalamo-pontine auditory pathway is not evident from the current findings. Developmental changes in the axonal projection may occur and are under investigation. It is also possible that the axonal projection precedes maturation of excitatory synaptic transmission in this pathway. Developmental changes in neuronal excitability within the medial auditory thalamus or pontine nuclei are also possible mechanisms underlying the developmental changes in the thalamo-pontine pathway. Whatever the specific ontogenetic mechanism is underlying the development of the thalamo-pontine pathway, it plays a substantial role in the ontogeny of eyeblink conditioning. Developmental changes in auditory thalamic input to the pontine nuclei would also influence sensory input into the cerebellum. Accordingly, weaker mossy fiber input to the cerebellar cortex and deep nuclei from the CS pathway in younger animals would result in less synaptic plasticity and weaker conditioning. Current experiments are examining the aforementioned ontogenetic mechanisms that could underlie the development of the thalamo-pontine pathway.

The findings of this study suggest that the ontogeny of eyeblink conditioning is driven by the development of the CS pathway to the cerebellum between P17 and P31. Previous studies using pontine stimulation as a CS indicate that the mossy fiber projection is sufficiently mature to support eyeblink conditioning as early as P12 (Freeman Jr. et al. 2005; Campolattaro and Freeman 2008). This finding combined with the current results suggests that the site of developmental change in the auditory CS pathway is the thalamo-pontine projection. It is important to note, however, that rat pups are capable of associative learning with an auditory stimulus as early as P14 with appetitive conditioning (Hyson and Rudy 1984) and P16 with aversive (fear) conditioning (Hunt and Campbell 1997). Thus, the thalamo-pontine pathway appears to be late developing relative to the auditory pathways that are necessary for appetitive and fear conditioning. An important developmental issue for future study is to determine when the fear conditioning circuitry begins to interact with the eyeblink conditioning circuitry to facilitate acquisition, as seen in adult animals (Lee and Kim 2004; Blankenship et al. 2005).

Materials and Methods

Experiment I

Subjects
Forty three Long-Evans rat pups at different three ages, P17–18 (paired n = 13), P24–25 (n = 17), and P31–32 (n = 13), from 22 litters were used as subjects. No more than one same-sex littermate was assigned to a group.
Surgery
Surgery occurred 1 d before the beginning of training. Rat pups were anesthetized with i.p. injections of ketamine (100 mg/kg), xylazine (5 mg/kg), and atropine (0.8 mg/kg). Differential (EMG) electrodes were implanted in the left upper eyelid, and a ground electrode was connected to one of two skull hooks. The second skull hook was secured to the skull slightly anterior to lambda. A bipolar stimulating electrode was then implanted into right medial auditory thalamus, targeting the medial division of the medial geniculate (MGm). The stereotaxic coordinates for the MGm, with the skull level, were taken from lambda (P16/P23/P30: +2.5/-2.4 anterior, -2.5/-2.6/-2.7 medial-lateral, -5.3/-5.6/-5.8 dorsal-ventral). Once the electrode was in place, it was secured with dental acrylic covering the entire length of the electrode above the skull surface, including the plastic connector.

Conditioning apparatus
The conditioning apparatus consisted of a small-animal sound attenuation chamber with a small-animal opener chamber inside (BRS/LVE). The rats were kept in the operant chamber during conditioning. Cables with connectors for the EMG, US, and CS electrodes were attached to a commutator. The electrode leads from the rat’s head stage were connected to peripheral equipment and a desktop computer. Computer software controlled the delivery of stimuli and recording of eyelid EMG activity (JSA Designs). The US was delivered through a stimulus isolator (World Precision Instruments). EMG activity was recorded differentially, filtered (500–2000 Hz), amplified (2000×), and integrated (time constant, 20 msec). MGN stimulation was triggered through a programmable stimulator (Master 8, A.M.P.I.), which controlled signal input to a stimulus isolator (World Precision Instruments) that delivered the electrical stimulation.

MATN stimulation
Electrical stimulation of the medial auditory thalamus functioned as the CS, which was administered in a 200-Hz train of 0.1-msec biphasic pulses for 300 msec. The stimulation threshold for the CS was found before training by setting the stimulating current to elicit an observable behavioral response and then decreasing the current in 5-µA increments until no movement was detected. Observable movements included but were not limited to eyeblinks, orienting responses, ear movements, and head movements. The maximum stimulation level was 100 µA (range, 30–100 µA). The most typical behavioral response elicited by stimulation was a discrete movement of the left ear. The mean stimulation intensities were 92.5 µA on P17–18, 87.5 µA on P24–25, and 58.1 µA on P31–32.

Conditioning
Rats were given six paired or unpaired training sessions. The paired training sessions consisted of 100 trials; each with 90 trials of the stimulation CS paired with the shock US (10 msec, 1–2.0 mA) and 10 stimulation CS-alone trials, occurring on every 10th trial. The CS-alone trials were included to assess behavioral responses (integrated EMG activity) uncontaminated by URs. The interstimulus interval for paired trials was 290 msec. Trials were separated by an intertrial interval that averaged 30 sec. Unpaired training included explicitly unpaired presentations of the stimulation CS and US, with an intertrial interval that averaged 15 sec. Behavioral data were examined from computer records of EMG activity. CRs were defined as responses that crossed a threshold of 0.4 arbitrary units above the baseline activity during the CS period, but at least 80 msec after CS onset, to avoid contamination of the CR measures by the startle (alpha) response. The amplitude, onset latency, and peak latency of the CR were measured on CS-alone trials in which a CR occurred.

Histology
After training was completed, the rats were euthanized with a lethal injection of sodium pentobarbital (90 mg/kg) and transcardially perfused with 0.1 M buffered saline, followed by a 10% buffered formalin solution. The brains were post-fixed in forma-
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