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Glucocorticoid administration into the dorsal striatum facilitates memory consolidation of inhibitory avoidance training but not of the context or footshock components
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It is well established that glucocorticoid administration into a variety of brain regions facilitates memory consolidation of fear-conditioning tasks, including inhibitory avoidance. The present findings indicate that the natural glucocorticoid corticosterone administered into the dorsal striatum (i.e., caudate nucleus) of male Wistar rats produced dose- and time-dependent enhancement of inhibitory avoidance memory consolidation. However, as assessed with a modified inhibitory avoidance procedure that took place on two sequential days to separate context training from footshock training, corticosterone administration into the dorsal striatum did not enhance memory of either the contextual or aversively motivational aspects of the task.

Considerable evidence indicates that adrenocortical hormones facilitate the consolidation of long-term memories of emotionally arousing experiences by acting in a variety of brain regions (for reviews, see Sandi 1998; de Kloet et al. 1999; Roozendaal 2000; McGaugh and Roozendaal 2002). For example, glucocorticoids administered post-training into either the hippocampus or the basolateral amygdala enhance memory of fear-conditioning tasks, including inhibitory avoidance (Cottrell and Nakajima 1977; Roozendaal and McGaugh 1997a,b). However, recent findings indicate that glucocorticoid infusions into these two brain regions enhance memory consolidation of different aspects of information learned during inhibitory avoidance training. To assess the relative involvement of a brain region in memory consolidation of the contextual information independently from that of the footshock, we have used a modified inhibitory avoidance procedure in which context training alone and footshock training alone occur on two sequential days (Malin and McGaugh 2006). Consistent with extensive evidence that the hippocampus is involved in the learning of contextual information (Maren and Fanselow 1997; Sacchetti et al. 1999), a specific glucocorticoid receptor (GR) agonist administered into the hippocampus after context exposure enhanced the subsequent conditioning whereas infusions administered after the footshock training were ineffective (Roozendaal et al. 2008). In contrast, a GR agonist infused into the basolateral amygdala enhanced retention when administered after either the context or footshock training, consistent with extensive evidence that basolateral amygdala activity modulates memory for many different kinds of experiences (Roozendaal 2000; McGaugh 2004). Similar differential effects have been reported previously with infusions of a muscarinic agonist into these two brain regions (Malin and McGaugh 2006).

The dorsal striatum (i.e., caudate nucleus) is also involved in consolidation of inhibitory avoidance training. Since the 1960s, it has been recognized that lesions of the dorsal striatum or pharmacological manipulations of striatal function modulate inhibitory avoidance memory (Kirkby and Kimble 1968; Haycock et al. 1973; Prado-Alcalá et al. 1975, 1980, 2003; Pérez-Ruiz and Prado-Alcalá 1989; Chavez et al. 1995). Although GRs are known to be moderately expressed in the dorsal striatum (Defiore and Turner 1973; Prado-Alcalá et al. 1975, 1980, 2003; Pérez-Ruiz and Prado-Alcalá 1989; Chavez et al. 1995), studies have not, as yet, investigated whether glucocorticoids administered into the dorsal striatum modulate memory consolidation. To investigate this issue, a first experiment examined whether post-training intra-striatal administration of corticosterone (i.e., the rat’s natural glucocorticoid) enhanced memory consolidation of inhibitory avoidance training. A second experiment used the modified, two-phase inhibitory avoidance procedure to examine whether corticosterone administration into the dorsal striatum might specifically strengthen memory of either the context or footshock experience. All experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Instituto de Neurobiología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, and were in compliance with the NIH Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Adult male Wistar rats (250–350 g at the time of surgery), obtained from the breeding colony at the Instituto de Neurobiología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, were kept individually in a temperature-controlled (24°C) colony room and maintained on a standard 12-h light/12-h dark cycle (07:00–19:00 h lights on) with ad libitum access to food and water. They were implanted under sodium pentobarbital anesthesia (50 mg/kg, i.p.) with bilateral guide cannulae (11 mm long; 23 gauge) aimed at the anterior division of the dorsal striatum [coordinates: anteroposterior, 0.0 mm from bregma; mediolateral, ±3.2 mm from midline; dorsoventral, −4.3 mm from skull surface] according to the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (1998). To control for...
Upon completion of behavioral testing, the rats were anesthetized with an overdose of sodium pentobarbital and perfused intracardially with isotonic saline followed by 4% formaldehyde. After decapitation, the brains were removed and immersed in a 4% formaldehyde solution. Coronal sections of 50 µm were cut on a cryostat, stained with cresyl violet, and examined under a light microscope by an observer blind to drug-treatment conditions. Figure 1 shows the location of injection needle tips in the dorsal striatum of rats trained on the one-trial inhibitory avoidance task. Histological examination of the second experiment revealed similar results (data not shown). Sixteen rats with improper injection needle placements were excluded from statistical analysis.

Figure 2A shows the findings of the first experiment investigating whether immediate post-training infusions of corticosterone into the dorsal striatum enhance memory of one-trial inhibitory avoidance training. Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric tests for step-through latencies during training, i.e., before footshock or drug treatment, revealed no significant differences between groups (H(6) = 3.39, P = 0.76; data not shown). Forty-eight-hour retention latencies of rats infused with vehicle were significantly longer than their latencies during the training trial (Wilcoxon: U = 0, P = 0.005), indicating that the rats retained memory of the shock experience. Post-training infusions of corticosterone induced dose-dependent retention enhancement (Kruskal–Wallis: H(6) = 14.59, P = 0.02). Rats infused with the 10-ng dose of corticosterone showed significantly longer retention latencies compared to those treated with vehicle (Mann–Whitney U test: U = 27, P < 0.05). Lower (5 ng) or higher (20 and 30 ng) doses did not induce retention enhancement, whereas the highest dose (60 ng) of corticosterone produced a significant retention impairment (U = 22, P < 0.05). Infusion of the 10-ng dose of corticosterone into the parietal cortex, located immediately dorsal to the dorsal striatum, did not enhance retention, indicating that the memory enhancement produced by the dorsal striatum infusions was not caused by any upward diffusion of the drug along the cannula track.

As corticosterone was administered after the training trial, the retention performance effects cannot be attributed to non-specific influences of the drug on acquisition such as changes in gross movement, a behavior controlled by the dorsal striatum (Hauber 1998). To examine further whether corticosterone administration into the dorsal striatum enhances inhibitory avoidance retention by strengthening the consolidation phase of memory processing, other groups of rats received corticosterone (10 ng) administered into the dorsal striatum immediately or 30 or 60 min after training. As shown in Figure 2B, rats microinjected with corticosterone immediately or 30 min after training exhibited significantly longer latencies than those treated with vehicle or 60 min after training (Kruskal–Wallis: H(6) = 28.22, P < 0.05). An increase in retention latencies of 5 ng or 20 ng dose did not reach statistical significance, whereas the highest dose (60 ng) did not induce retention enhancement (Kruskal–Wallis: H(6) = 28.22, P < 0.05).

Figure 2A shows the findings of the first experiment investigating whether immediate post-training infusions of corticosterone into the dorsal striatum enhance memory of one-trial inhibitory avoidance training. Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric tests for step-through latencies during training, i.e., before footshock or drug treatment, revealed no significant differences between groups (H(6) = 3.39, P = 0.76; data not shown). Forty-eight-hour retention latencies of rats infused with vehicle were significantly longer than their latencies during the training trial (Wilcoxon: U = 0, P = 0.005), indicating that the rats retained memory of the shock experience. Post-training infusions of corticosterone induced dose-dependent retention enhancement (Kruskal–Wallis: H(6) = 14.59, P = 0.02). Rats infused with the 10-ng dose of corticosterone showed significantly longer retention latencies compared to those treated with vehicle (Mann–Whitney U test: U = 27, P < 0.05). Lower (5 ng) or higher (20 and 30 ng) doses did not induce retention enhancement, whereas the highest dose (60 ng) of corticosterone produced a significant retention impairment (U = 22, P < 0.05). Infusion of the 10-ng dose of corticosterone into the parietal cortex, located immediately dorsal to the dorsal striatum, did not enhance retention, indicating that the memory enhancement produced by the dorsal striatum infusions was not caused by any upward diffusion of the drug along the cannula track.

As corticosterone was administered after the training trial, the retention performance effects cannot be attributed to non-specific influences of the drug on acquisition such as changes in gross movement, a behavior controlled by the dorsal striatum (Hauber 1998). To examine further whether corticosterone administration into the dorsal striatum enhances inhibitory avoidance retention by strengthening the consolidation phase of memory processing, other groups of rats received corticosterone (10 ng) administered into the dorsal striatum immediately or 30 or 60 min after training. As shown in Figure 2B, rats microinjected with corticosterone immediately or 30 min after training...
had significantly longer retention scores than those given vehicle immediately after training (Mann–Whitney U tests: immediate, $U = 27, P < 0.05$; 30 min, $U = 19, P < 0.05$). However, corticosterone infused 60 min after training did not induce significant retention enhancement. These findings are consistent with those of previous experiments examining the effect of peripherally administered glucocorticoids (Flood et al. 1978; Sandi and Rose 1997) and indicate that the intra-striatal corticosterone administration enhanced retention by affecting time-dependent processes underlying long-term memory consolidation.

Corticosterone can bind to two types of adrenal steroid receptors in the brain: the low-affinity GR and the high-affinity mineralocorticoid receptor (Reul and de Kloet 1985; de Kloet et al. 1993). Glucocorticoid effects on memory consolidation appear to selectively involve an activation of GRs (Oitzl and de Kloet 1992; Roozendaal et al. 1996; Conrad et al. 1999). In situ hybridization and histochemical studies have reported that the dorsal striatum expresses GRs (Ahima and Harlan 1990, 1991; Morimoto et al. 1996) but is devoid of mineralocorticoid receptors (Agarwal et al. 1993). To determine whether the enhancing effect of corticosterone administration into the dorsal striatum on memory consolidation is mediated by GR activation, we examined whether intra-striatal administration of the GR antagonist RU 38486 blocked the facilitating effect of corticosterone on inhibitory avoidance memory. Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA for retention latencies revealed significant differences between groups (H(3) = 10.80, $P = 0.01$). As is shown in Figure 2C, rats treated with corticosterone (10 ng) had significantly longer retention latencies than the vehicle group (Mann–Whitney U test: $U = 9, P < 0.01$), but coadministration of RU 38486 (1.0 ng) blocked this retention enhancement ($U = 22, P < 0.05$). These findings indicate that corticosterone administration into the dorsal striatum enhances memory consolidation via an activation of GRs.

The last experiment used the modified inhibitory avoidance procedure that took place on two sequential days to investigate whether corticosterone administration into the dorsal striatum may enhance the consolidation of memory of either the context or footshock components of inhibitory avoidance training. Kruskal–Wallis ANOVAs for retention latencies did not reveal significant differences between groups administered corticosterone after either context (H(2) = 2.68, $P = 0.3$) or footshock (H(2) = 3.69, $P = 0.2$). As is shown in Figure 3, retention latencies of rats given intra-striatal infusions of corticosterone (10 or 20 ng) immediately after context or footshock training did not differ from those of their corresponding vehicle groups. These findings indicate that corticosterone administered into the dorsal striatum does not enhance the consolidation of memory of inhibitory avoidance training by strengthening the memory of either the context or footshock components of the task when they occurred alone.

Thus, the present findings indicating that corticosterone administered into the dorsal striatum induced dose- and time-dependent enhancement of inhibitory avoidance memory via an activation of GRs are consistent with extensive evidence indicating that post-training administration of corticosterone or specific GR agonists infused into the hippocampus or basolateral amygdala induces comparable enhancement of consolidation of inhibitory avoidance memory (Roozendaal 2000). However, prior research using the modified inhibitory avoidance procedure revealed that these brain regions are involved in mediating glucocorticoid effects on memory of specific aspects of information acquired during the training (Roozendaal et al. 2008). As indicated, we previously found that the hippocampus is selectively involved in mediating glucocorticoid effects on memory of the contextual component of inhibitory avoidance training whereas the basolateral amygdala, via its widespread projections to other brain regions, including the hippocampus and dorsal striatum (Packard et al. 1994), is more liberally involved in modulating the consolidation of memory for different kinds of experiences. An important finding of the present study is that striatal GR activation does not appear to be involved in processing memory of either context or footshock when presented separately.

Why do intra-striatal infusions of glucocorticoids enhance
memory of one-trial inhibitory avoidance training but not of either the contextual or shock component of the task when they are not presented together? As corticosterone infused into the dorsal striatum does not enhance memory of the contextual components of training, in contrast with the effects of hippocampus infusions, it seems unlikely that the effects are mediated by GR activation in the dorsomedial part of the dorsal striatum, a brain region reported to support hippocampus-based memories (Devan et al. 1999; Featherstone and McDonald 2004). Extensive evidence indicates that the dorsolateral division of the dorsal striatum is involved in nondeclarative/implicit (i.e., stimulus–response) forms of learning (Packard and White 1991; Packard et al. 1994; Packard and Knowlton 2002), but such a strengthening of stimulus–response associations also does not provide a satisfactory explanation of the present findings. Although the inhibitory avoidance task is often considered to be a spatial/contextual task in which rats learn to associate a place in the apparatus with footshock, rats may also learn that their behavioral response of stepping into the shock compartment is followed by punishment. In contrast, in the modified inhibitory avoidance task no movement is required, as the rats are placed directly into the shock compartment. As the dorsal striatum plays a dominant role in controlling movement (Hauber 1998), the post-training administration of corticosterone into the dorsal striatum may have enhanced inhibitory avoidance memory by specifically strengthening the memory of movement or its consequences. Accordingly, early findings indicated that lesions of the dorsal striatum impair memory of step-through inhibitory avoidance (Prado-Alcalá et al. 1975) but not of Pavlovian fear conditioning, a task that differs from inhibitory avoidance in that the rats, as with the modified inhibitory avoidance procedure, are placed directly into the training context prior to shock administration (Reyes-Vazquez et al. 1979). Thus, the present findings suggest that glucocorticoids may strengthen not only the consolidation of memory of hippocampus-dependent declarative/explicit information, but also act in the dorsal striatum to enhance nondeclarative/implicit forms of memory. Preliminary findings investigating corticosterone effects in the dorsal striatum on memory consolidation of water-maze training are consistent with this view, as such infusions selectively enhanced memory of training on a cued, and not spatial, version of the task (G.L. Quirarte, unpubl.).
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Erratum

Glucocorticoid administration into the dorsal stratum facilitates memory consolidation of inhibitory avoidance training but not of the context or footshock components


Due to a typographical error, the word “stratum” in the title of this paper should be “striatum” instead. We apologize for any confusion this may have caused.
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