Activation of group II metabotropic glutamate receptors induces depotentiation in amygdala slices and reduces fear-potentiated startle in rats
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There is a close correlation between long-term potentiation (LTP) in the synapses of lateral amygdala (LA) and fear conditioning in animals. We predict that reversal of LTP (depotentiation) in this area of the brain may ameliorate conditioned fear. Activation of group II metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluR II) with DCG-IV induces depotentiation in the LA. The induction of depotentiation is independent of NMDA receptors, L-type Ca++ channels, and calcineurin activity, but requires presynaptic activity and extracellular Ca++. The effect of DCG-IV is not mediated by an action downstream of Ca++ entry. Intra-amygdala infusion of mGluR II agonist blocks the consolidation of fear memory measured with fear-potentiated startle. Taken together, the present results characterize the properties of DCG-IV depotentiation and reveal a close parallel between depotentiation in the amygdala slice and the reduction of conditioned fear in animals.

The metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) are G-protein-coupled receptors whose activation modulates neural activity via their linkage to multiple second-messenger systems (Pin and Duvoisin 1995; Anwyl 1999). Eight mGluRs have been cloned and classified into three groups based on their sequence homologies, signal transduction mechanisms, and agonist pharmacology (Nakanishi 1992; Pin and Duvoisin 1995). Group I mGluR1 and mGluR5 receptors stimulate phosphatidylinositol hydrolysis, whereas group II mGluR2 and mGluR3 and group III mGluR4, mGluR6, mGluR7, and mGluR8 receptors are negatively coupled to cAMP production. The group II mGluRs are implicated as negative feedback autoreceptors to modulate neurotransmission by presynaptic mechanisms (Pin and Duvoisin 1995). In situ hybridization and immunohistochemical studies of rat brain have revealed that the intense mGluR II-like immunoreactivity was seen predominantly in the cortical and limbic regions including the amygdala (Neki et al. 1996; Schaffhauser et al. 1998), suggesting that these receptors may modulate higher CNS functions such as emotion and cognition. Indeed, mGluR II agonists have been shown to inhibit the release of glutamate in vivo (Battaglia et al. 1997) and exhibit potent anxiolytic effects in rats (Helton et al. 1998). These compounds also ameliorated the symptoms of nicotine withdrawal (Helton et al. 1997) and lactate-induced panic responses (Shekhar and Keim 2000). Moreover, mice lacking mGluR II display impaired hippocampal mossy fiber long-term depression (LTD) (Yokoi et al. 1992). In external capsule (EC)-basolateral amygdala synapses, it has been shown that low-frequency stimulation (LFS) induced long-term potentiation (LTP), whereas brief high-frequency stimulation (HFS) evoked short-term potentiation that generally decayed within 10 min.

When LFS was applied after recovery from the short-term potentiating effect of HFS, there was an initial transient enhancement followed by LTD. The switch in the direction of the enduring change in synaptic strength required activation of mGluR II (Li et al. 1998). LTP of synaptic responses could be reversed by LFS of afferent fibers, a phenomenon termed “depotentiation” (Barrionuevo et al. 1980; Staubli and Chun 1996). Previous studies indicated that LTP of synapses from auditory thalamus and cortex to the lateral amygdala (LA) underlay the encoding of fear memory (McKernan and Shinnick-Gallagher 1997; Rogan et al. 1997). Yet, it is not clear whether depotentiation contributes to behavioral extinction of fear memory. In the present study, we obtained evidence that activation of mGluR II induces depotentiation in rat amygdala slices. This chemical depotentiation is independent of NMDA receptor, L-type Ca++ channels, and calcineurin activity, but requires presynaptic activity and extracellular Ca++. By behavioral tests, we further demonstrate that administration of mGluR II agonists to the LA or basolateral amygdala (BLA) blocks the consolidation of fear memory measured with fear-potentiated startle.

Results

Depotentiation induced by DCG-IV

Delivery of three sets of tetanic stimulation (TS, 100 Hz for 1 sec) at an interstimulus interval of 1.5 min to the rat EC produced a robust enhancement of synaptic responses in the LA neurons that persisted for more than 2 h. The slopes of field excitatory postsynaptic potential (fEPSP) were 213.4 ± 18.2% and 200.1 ± 15.2% (n = 6) of pre-tetanus level at 1 and 2 h after the stimulation (Fig. 1A). We investigated whether DCG-IV was able to elicit depotentiation by applying DCG-IV (2 µM) for 15 min at 10 min after TS. In six experiments, the slopes of fEPSP declined...
to 115.6 ± 14.7% of pre-tetanus level at 1 h after cessation of application of DCG-IV, which was not significantly different from baseline but was significantly less than that without DCG-IV treatment (t10 = 7.31, P < 0.001). Depotentiation could also be induced by another mGlur II agonist (2S,3S,4S)-2-carboxycyclopropylglycine (L-CCG). In four experiments, the slopes of fEPSP declined to 100.8 ± 14.1% (n = 6) of pre-tetanus level at 1 h after cessation of DCG-IV, which was not different from control without DCG-IV treatment (t10 = 1.32, P = 0.22) but was significantly greater than that treated with DCG-IV alone (t10 = 5.98, P < 0.001).

Another mGlur II antagonist (2S)-α-ethylglutamic acid (EGLU) (Jane et al. 1996) was examined. Consistent with a previous report (Li et al. 1998), EGLU (10 µM) did not affect synaptic responses in the amygdala (101 ± 1% of control, n = 6) but blocked the depotentiation effect of DCG-IV (Fig. 3A). In the presence of EGLU, the slope of fEPSP was 205.5 ± 19.4% of pre-tetanus level at 1 h after cessation of DCG-IV, which was significantly different from that without EGLU treatment (t10 = 5.49, P < 0.001). In the same vein, in the presence of a highly selective mGlurII antagonist, LY341495 (20 µM), the slope of fEPSP was 174.2 ± 6.6% of pre-tetanus level at 1 h after cessation of DCG-IV, which was significantly different from that without LY341495 treatment (t10 = 11.11, P < 0.001).

**DCG-IV-induced depotentiation is independent of NMDA receptor and VDCC activation**

To examine whether NMDA receptor plays a role in DCG-IV-induced depotentiation, NMDA receptor antagonist D-APV was applied. Since in our experimental conditions D-APV also blocked the induction of LTP, D-APV was applied at 5 min after TS. DCG-IV was then given at 5 min after D-APV perfusion, and both drugs were removed following a 15-min application of DCG-IV. We found that D-APV (50 µM) did not affect depotentiation. The fEPSP measured at 1 h after cessation of DCG-IV was 99.7 ± 10.2% (n = 6) of pre-tetanus value, which was not different from that without D-APV application (t9 = 0.19, P = 0.88).

Some NMDA-independent forms of synaptic plasticity in the amygdala depend on L-type voltage-dependent Ca ++ channels (VDCCs) (Weisskopf et al. 1999; Bauer et al. 2002). We tested whether inhibition of L-type VDCCs affected amygdala depotentiation. Blocking L-type VDCCs with nimodipine (2 µM) did not affect DCG-IV depotentiation (Fig. 3A). The fEPSP slope was 100.6 ± 6.9% (n = 6) of baseline at 1 h after cessation of DCG-IV, which was not significantly different from that without nimodipine treatment (t10 = 0.11, P = 0.92).

**Block of DCG-IV-induced depotentiation by mGlur II antagonists**

2S,1S-2-methyl-2-(2′-carboxycyclopropyl)glycine (MCCG, 100 µM), a selective antagonist for mGlur II, by itself did not affect fEPSP (97.8 ± 3.5% of control, n = 6, P > 0.1). However, as shown in Figure 3A, it blocked depotentiation induced by DCG-IV. In the presence of MCCG, the slope of fEPSP was 196.0 ± 14.1% (n = 6) of pre-tetanus level at 1 h after cessation of DCG-IV, which was not different from control without DCG-IV treatment (t10 = 1.24, P = 0.22) but was significantly greater than that treated with DCG-IV alone (t10 = 5.98, P < 0.001).

We showed previously that activation of mGlur II with L-CCG induced LTD at the LA-BLA synapses (Lin et al. 2000). In the present study, DCG-IV caused depotentiation without inducing LTD in cortico-LA synapses, as synaptic strength was not decreased below the pre-LTP level. We wondered whether LTD could be induced at this synapse. We therefore performed experiments to determine the relation between mGlur II-induced depotentiation and mGlur II-induced LTD. Figure 2 shows that application of DCG-IV (2 µM) at 10 min after TS induced depotentiation. When synaptic strength returned to the pre-LTP level, a second agonist application then induced LTD, suggesting that these two forms of synaptic plasticity were not mutually occluded.

**Figure 1.** Activation of mGlur II-induced depotentiation in the lateral amygdala. (A) The mean ± S.E. slope of fEPSPs plotted against time. TS is marked by a gray up-arrow, and the horizontal bar represents the period of drug application. Application of DCG-IV (2 µM) or L-CCG (10 µM) resulted in depotentiation. (B) Sample traces taken at the times indicated in A. Calibration: 0.5 mV, 10 msec.

**Figure 2.** DCG-IV-induced depotentiation and LTD are not mutually occluded. Application of DCG-IV (2 µM) at 10 min after TS induced depotentiation. When synaptic strength returned to the pre-LTP level, a second agonist application induced LTD.
Presynaptic mechanism for DCG-IV depotentiation

To characterize the site of action, we used whole-cell voltage clamp (V_h = −70 mV) to record miniature excitatory post-synaptic currents (mePSCs) in the presence of the Na+ channel blocker tetrodotoxin (TTX, 1 µM) and the GABAA receptor antagonist bicuculline (1 µM). A decrease in the frequency of mePSCs is interpreted to be a result of presynaptic action (e.g., a reduction in the probability of neurotransmitter release or in the number of vesicles available for release), whereas a decrease in the amplitude normally indicates a reduction in the post-synaptic sensitivity to the transmitter. The result is summarized in Figure 4D, for the experiment in which DCG-IV (2 µM) was applied for 15 min; the frequency and amplitude of mePSCs measured in the presence of DCG-IV were 49.0 ± 5.5% (n = 6, P < 0.01) and 98.0 ± 2.6% (n = 6, P > 0.1) of control, respectively. These results suggest that an activity-mediated change in pre- but not post-synaptic Ca++ level is required for DCG-IV depotentiation.

We directly monitored glutamate release from purified amygdala synaptosomes using an assay employing exogenous glutamate dehydrogenase and NADP+ to couple the oxidative deamination of released glutamate to the generation of NADPH. Figure 5A shows that, under control conditions, 4-aminopyridine (4-AP, 3 mM) evoked glutamate release of 39.9 ± 1.9 nmol/mg/6 min (n = 6). Pre-incubation of synaptosomes with DCG-IV significantly reduced the release of glutamate (F(2,15) = 27.5, P < 0.001). The effect of DCG-IV was concentration-dependent, with 2 and 6 µM decreasing glutamate release to 32.2 ± 0.6 (n = 5, P < 0.01) and 26.3 ± 1.0 (n = 7, P < 0.001) nmol/mg/6 min, respectively. The reduction of glutamate release could occur by blocking Ca++ entry through VDCCs or by inhibiting release machinery downstream of Ca++ entry. To further differentiate these mechanisms, we triggered transmitter release using the Ca++ ionophore ionomycin, which causes a direct increase in intrasynaptosomal Ca++ without previous depolarization and Ca++ channel activation (Capogna et al. 1996). Figure 5B shows that ionomycin (5 µM) caused glutamate release of 32.6 ± 0.4 nmol/mg/6 min (n = 6). In the presence of DCG-IV (2 µM), ionomycin-induced release of glutamate was not significantly affected (32.3 ± 1.2 nmol/mg/6 min, n = 6, P = 0.80), suggesting that the effect is not mediated via an action downstream of Ca++ entry.

Requirements of synaptic activity and Ca++ entry for DCG-IV depotentiation

To determine whether synaptic activity was required for DCG-IV depotentiation, experiments were carried out in which synaptic stimulation was ceased both during the application of DCG-IV and 10 min after the washout, thereby avoiding Ca++ entry into presynaptic boutons during application of DCG-IV. As shown in Figure 6A, DCG-IV did not induce depotentiation in the absence of synaptic stimulation. At 1 h after cessation of application of DCG-IV, fEPSP slopes were 221.7 ± 21.6% (n = 6) of pre-tetanus level, which was significantly different from that for simultaneous application of DCG-IV with synaptic stimulation (t_10, n = 5.55, P < 0.001).

To determine whether a rise in presynaptic Ca++ is required for the action of DCG-IV, we applied DCG-IV (2 µM) in the absence of extracellular Ca++ by incubation of slices in Ca++-free solution. As shown in Figure 6B, DCG-IV in Ca++-free solution no longer induced depotentiation. At 1 h after cessation of application of DCG-IV, fEPSP slopes were 197.4 ± 29.2% (n = 6) of pre-tetanus value, which was significantly different from that for simultaneous application of DCG-IV with synaptic stimulation (t_10, n = 5.55, P < 0.001).

Depotentiation induced by endogenously released glutamate

We investigated whether endogenously released glutamate is capable of diffusing to the presynaptic terminals and induced depotentiation by acting at mGluR II. Since synaptically released glutamate is removed from extracellular space by a re-uptake mechanism, to investigate a role for endogenously released glutamate, experiments were performed under the condition of blocking re-uptake of glutamate with L-trans-pyrrolidine-2,4-dicarboxylic acid (trans-PDC). Because activation of postsynaptic NMDA receptors with low-frequency stimulation could induce depotentiation, kynurenic acid was applied to block post-synaptic glutamate receptors. Thus, trans-PDC (100 µM) and kynurenic acid (1 mM) were co-applied at 5 min after TS, and the release of glutamate was evoked by repetitive afferent stimulation (10 Hz for 90 sec) at 10 min after TS. Figure 7A shows that glutamate released by repetitive stimulation caused a significant depotentiation. The fEPSP measured at 2 h after TS was 116.9 ± 11.4% (n = 6) of the pre-tetanus value, which was significantly different from those without stimulation (159.6 ± 7.0, n = 8, P < 0.005). Furthermore, repetitive stimulation-induced depotentiation was reduced by EGLU (167.5 ± 10.0%, n = 5, P < 0.01), suggesting the involvement of mGluR II.
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**Figure 4.** DCG-IV decreases the frequency but not the amplitude of mEPSCs. (A) Sample traces of mEPSCs recorded in the presence of TTX (1 µM) and bicuculline (1 µM). Traces were taken before and in the presence of DCG-IV (2 µM). Calibration: 50 pA, 6 sec. (B) Cumulative plot of inter-event intervals of mEPSCs. (C) Cumulative plot of amplitude of mEPSCs. (D) The frequency of mEPSCs after treatment with DCG-IV was significantly lower than that of control, whereas the amplitude of mEPSCs was unaffected. **P < 0.01 vs. control.

**DCG-IV reduces fear-potentiated startle**

Rats were trained with 10 pairs of light (conditioned stimulus, CS) and footshock (unconditioned stimulus, US), and retention of memory was tested 24 h later (pre-test). After initial training, rats exhibited fear (of the light) that was manifested as an increase in acoustic startle. They were subsequently divided into two groups, DCG-IV and vehicle control groups. The DCG-IV group received bilateral infusion of DCG-IV (1 nmol in 2 µL DMSO, 1 µL per side) to the amygdala 1 h after pre-test, and retested 23 h later (Fig. 8A). Figure 8B shows that the mean startle potentiation after infusion with DCG-IV was significantly reduced compared to the pre-test value (t(4) = 3.44, P < 0.01). To demonstrate that the effect of DCG-IV was attributable to genuine interaction with mGluR II receptors, DCG-IV was co-administered with LY341495 (2 µg dissolved in 2 µL DMSO, 1 µL per side). As shown in Figure 8B, LY341495 by itself did not affect the startle potentiation (t(4) = 0.45, P = 0.64) but blocked DCG-IV-induced reduction of fear-potentiated startle. There was no difference between pre- and post-tests (t(4) = 0.54, P = 0.31) in rats treated simultaneously with DCG-IV and LY341495. Furthermore, infusion of DCG-IV (1 nmol in 2 µL DMSO, 1 µL per side) bilaterally to the amygdala at 6 h instead of 1 h after conditioning had no significant effect on the startle amplitude (pre-test, 218.7 ± 55.7%; post-test, 159.7 ± 82.1%, n = 6, P = 0.56), suggesting that the amnesic effect of DCG-IV was caused primarily by the impairment of memory consolidation processes. Electrode tip locations in these experiments are shown in the Figure 8C. Only rats with cannula tips at or within the boundaries of the LA and BLA were included in the data analysis. Figure 8D illustrates the dose-dependent effect of DCG-IV when applied at 1 h after pre-test. The ANOVA for startle scores revealed a significant effect for dose (F(4,22) = 9.66, P < 0.001). Newman-Keuls t-tests revealed that significant differences existed between vehicle control and the high doses (0.5, 1.0, and 2.5 nmol) of DCG-IV (P < 0.01), whereas no difference was detected between control and low doses (0.1 nmol; P > 0.05).

Since DCG-IV reduced startle reflex at 1 h but not 6 h after conditioning, we investigated the time-dependent reversal of LTP by DCG-IV. LTP was elicited by three sets of TS and, 10 min or 60 min after the TS, DCG-IV (2 µM) was applied for 15 min. Figure 8E shows that, when DCG-IV was applied at 60 min after TS, the slope of fEPSP at 140 min after TS was 153.5 ± 22.9 (n = 5) of baseline, which was significantly different from that when DCG-IV was applied at 10 min after TS (103.4 ± 11.4, n = 5, P < 0.005).

**Discussion**

The observation that Gulf War veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder exhibited an exaggerated acoustic startle reflex (Morgan et al. 1996) proves the relevance of fear conditioning to human fear and anxiety states. Since fear conditioning is expressed by LTP of synaptic transmission in the amygdala (McKernan and Shinnick-Gallagher 1997; Rogan et al. 1997), depotentiation in this area of the brain may represent an important mechanism for amelioration of anxiety. However, synaptic stimulation is not amenable for routine treatment. A better pharmacological strategy for treating anxiety disorders is needed. In the present study, we characterized the cellular mechanism of chemical depotentiation at the EC-LA synapse that is important for mediation of fear conditioning. We found that activation of mGluR II with DCG-IV induced depotentiation. The blockade of DCG-IV depotentiation by the selective mGluR II antagonists MCCG, EGLU, and LY341495 confirms the mediation by mGluR II. In behavioral tests, bilateral infusion of DCG-IV into the amygdala impaired consolidation of fear conditioning in an mGluR II-dependent manner. In view of the fact that systemic or intra-amygdala administration of a partial NMDA receptor agonist, D-cycloserine, facilitates extinction of fear memory (Walker et al. 2002), DCG-IV could act as an NMDA receptor agonist to induce depotentiation and reduce fear-potentiated startle. However, the concentration of DCG-IV used in the present study (2 µM) is lower than the concentrations at which the drug was shown to act as an NMDA receptor agonist (>10 µM) (Ishida et al. 1993; Wilsch et al. 1994). Taken together, the parallel effects of DCG-IV on LTP in the in vitro slices and consolidation of fear memory in vivo provide direct evidence that synaptic plasticity and memory storage are subjected to regulation by mGluR II in the amygdala.

We recently reported that depotentiation could be induced in the same synapse by LFS (Lin et al. 2003). Although DCG-IV depotentiation described here is phenomenologically similar to that of LFS depotentiation, the underlying cellular mechanisms appear to be quite different. LFS depotentiation requires activation of NMDA receptors, depends on post-synaptic calcineurin...
activity, and is independent of presynaptic phenomenon of paired-pulse facilitation. In contrast, DCG-IV depotentiation is independent of NMDA receptors, L-type Ca++ channels, and calcineurin activity, but is dependent on presynaptic activity and extracellular Ca++ influx. One way to differentiate pre- vs. post-synaptic Ca++ influx in DCG-IV depotentiation is to determine the effect of injecting Ca ++ chelator (BAPTA) into post-synaptic neurons on the action of DCG-IV. However, a requirement of post-synaptic Ca++ increase for LTP induction has precluded us from doing this experiment. Nonetheless, two lines of evidence favor the presynaptic mechanism: (1) DCG-IV depotentiation was associated with a decrease in the frequency but not the amplitude of mEPSCs, and (2) DCG-IV inhibited the release of glutamate evoked by 4-AP in a concentration-dependent manner, whereas ionomycin-evoked glutamate release was not affected.

The involvement of mGluR II in long-term synaptic plasticity (e.g., long-term depression, LTD) has been documented in several brain areas including the amygdala (Heinbockel and Pape 2000; Lin et al. 2000). The present results are consistent with the view that mGluR II is presynaptically located and that its activation results in a long-term decrease in glutamate release (Tzounopoulos et al. 1998; Kobayashi et al. 1999; Lin et al. 2000; Robbe et al. 2002). However, immunohistological studies indicate that mGluRs II exist not only at a presynaptic site but also locate post-synaptically (Neki et al. 1996). Indeed, activation of post-synaptic mGluR II in the hippocampal dentate gyrus, perirhinal and prefrontal cortices has been shown to induce LTD. The underlying mechanisms involved post-synaptic PKC activation and an IP3 receptor-mediated post-synaptic increase of Ca++ concentration (Huang et al. 1999; Cho et al. 2000; Otani et al. 2002). In the lateral amygdala, Heinbockel and Pape (2000) showed that LTD induced by LFS of thalamic input fibers was occluded by mGluR II agonist and was blocked in neurons loaded with BAPTA, suggesting a post-synaptic site of action. The reason(s) for the difference between their results and ours is not clear. It could be attributable to the sites of synaptic stimulation; they stimulated thalamic input fibers whereas we stimulated putative cortical input.

In summary, fear conditioning or TS resulted in a large amount of glutamate release and an influx of Ca++ into cells through NMDA receptors or L-type Ca++ channels (Miserendino et al. 1990; Maren 1999; Weisskopf et al. 1999), leading to the activation of protein kinases (Lin et al. 2001; Schafe et al. 2001). Once stimulated, protein kinases caused phosphorylation of GluR 1 to increase synaptic function of AMPA receptors by altering channel properties and/or promoting the exocytosis (Soderling and Derkach 2000). Activated kinases can also translocate to the nucleus and subsequently stimulate transcription factors to promote gene transcription and new protein synthesis (Impay et al. 1998; Schafe et al. 2000; Josselyn et al. 2001). DCG-IV acted by...
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Figure 7. Depotentiation induced by endogenously released glutamate. (A) In the presence of kynurenic acid (1 mM) and the glutamate uptake inhibitor trans-PDC (100 µM), repetitive afferent stimulation (10 Hz for 90 sec) at 10 min after TS caused a significant depotentiation that could be blocked by EGLU (10 µM). (B) Sample traces taken at the times indicated in A. Calibration: 0.5 mV, 10 msec.

decreasing glutamate release, which in turn caused depotentiation. The findings that (1) activation of mGluR II caused time-dependent depotentiation, and (2) infusion of DCG-IV to the amygdala at 1 h but not at 6 h after training inhibited conditioned fear suggest interference with consolidation processes. Altogether, our results could provide important clues to develop more effective pharmacological strategies for treating neuronal disorders resulting from amygdala overexcitation such as epilepsy (Neugebauer et al. 1997; Keele et al. 1999), fear, and anxiety.

Materials and Methods

Slice preparation and electrophysiological recordings
Male Sprague-Dawley rats (~5–7-wk-old) were decapitated and their brains rapidly removed and placed in cold oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) solution. Subsequently, the brain was hemisected and cut transversely posterior to the first branch and anterior to the last branch of the superior cerebral vein. The resulting section was glued to the chuck of a Vibroslicer (Campden Instruments). Transverse slices of 450 µm in height were cut from the auditory cortex to the lateral amygdala, with a concentric bipolar stimulating electrode (SNE-100, Kopf Instruments). Electrical stimuli (150 µsec in duration) were delivered at a frequency of 0.05 Hz. Baseline field potentials were adjusted to ~30%–40% of the maximal responses. LTP was elicited by three trains of tetanus (100 Hz, 1 sec at 1.5-min intervals) at the same stimulation intensity used for baseline. Bicuculline (1 µM) was present in the perfusion solution to facilitate the induction of LTP.

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were made from the LA thin slices (290 µm). Patch electrodes were pulled from a thick-walled glass capillary (0.75 mm I.D., 1.5 mm O.I.D.) to a tip resistance of 2–5 MΩ. The composition of the internal solution was (in mM): GTPris 0.33, K-gluconate 125, KCl 5, BAPTA 5, CaCl2 0.5, MgATP 5, HEPES 10. The final pH of the internal solution was adjusted to 7.2 by adding 1 M KOH; the final osmolality was adjusted to 280 mOsm by adding sucrose. Records were low-pass-filtered at 2.5–20 kHz and digitized at 5–50 kHz. The signal was monitored and recorded with an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments). Online analysis and control of experimental acquisition were accomplished via a Pentium 3-based PC clone and a Digidata 1320 computer interface. All data are expressed as mean ± standard error. The data were analyzed with ANOVA and Student’s t-test, and P < 0.05 was considered significant. Drugs were applied directly to the ACSF using a continuous gravity-fed bath application, and the concentration of applied drug reached equilibrium within 2–3 min. L-CCG and D-APV were obtained from Research Biochemicals International. DCG-IV, MCCG, EGLU, and LY 341495 were obtained from Toecis Cookson; nimodipine was obtained from Sigma.

Surgery
Rats (~6 wks old) were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg, i.p.) and then mounted on a stereotaxic apparatus. Two cannula made of 22-gauge stainless steel tubing (C313G, Plastic Products) were implanted bilaterally into the LA or BLA (anteroposterior (AP), ~2.8 mm; mediolateral (ML), ~2.45 mm; dorsoventral (DV), ~7.0 mm) ( Paxinos and Watson 1986). A 28-gauge dummy cannula was inserted into each cannula to prevent clogging. Three jewelry screws were implanted over the skull serving as anchors, and the whole assembly was affixed on the skull with dental cement. The rats were monitored and handled daily and were given 7 d to recover.

Fear conditioning
The startle response was measured in a startle apparatus (San Diego Instruments) as described by Lu et al. (2001). Briefly, rats were constrained in a Plexiglas cylindrical tube (length 20 cm, diameter 10 cm) with an accelerometer sensor attached on the base. The whole set-up was enclosed in a ventilated, sound-attenuating cabinet (length 38 cm, width 38 cm, and height 55 cm). The acoustic startle stimulus was 50 msec white-noise at the intensity of 95 dB delivered by a speaker 30 cm above the animal. The visual CS was a 3.7-sec light produced by an 8 W fluorescent bulb. The US was a 0.6 mA footshock of 0.5 sec duration. All data were reserved for off-line analyses.

Acclimation
On three consecutive days, rats were placed in the startle test boxes for 10 min and then returned to their home cages.

Matching
On two consecutive days, rats were placed in the startle box and 3 min later presented with 10 startle stimuli at 2-min intertrial intervals (ITI). On the basis of their mean startle amplitudes in the second of these two sessions, rats were matched into groups with similar response levels.

which it was held submerged between two nylon nets and maintained at 32 ± 1°C. The chamber consisted of a circular well of a low volume (~2 mL) and was perfused constantly at a rate of 2–3 mL/min. Extracellular field potentials were made by electrical stimulation of the external capsule (EC), which contained fibers from the auditory cortex to the lateral amygdala, with a concentric bipolar stimulating electrode (SNE-100, Kopf Instruments). Electrical stimuli (150 µsec in duration) were delivered at a frequency of 0.05 Hz. Baseline field potentials were adjusted to ~30%–40% of the maximal responses. LTP was elicited by three trains of tetanus (100 Hz, 1 sec at 1.5-min intervals) at the same stimulation intensity used for baseline. Bicuculline (1 µM) was present in the perfusion solution to facilitate the induction of LTP.

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were made from the LA thin slices (290 µm). Patch electrodes were pulled from a thick-walled glass capillary (0.75 mm I.D., 1.5 mm O.I.D.) to a tip resistance of 2–5 MΩ. The composition of the internal solution was (in mM): GTPris 0.33, K-gluconate 125, KCl 5, BAPTA 5, CaCl2 0.5, MgATP 5, HEPES 10. The final pH of the internal solution was adjusted to 7.2 by adding 1 M KOH; the final osmolality was adjusted to 280 mOsm by adding sucrose. Records were low-pass-filtered at 2.5–20 kHz and digitized at 5–50 kHz. The signal was monitored and recorded with an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments). Online analysis and control of experimental acquisition were accomplished via a Pentium 3-based PC clone and a Digidata 1320 computer interface. All data are expressed as mean ± standard error. The data were analyzed with ANOVA and Student’s t-test, and P < 0.05 was considered significant. Drugs were applied directly to the ACSF using a continuous gravity-fed bath application, and the concentration of applied drug reached equilibrium within 2–3 min. L-CCG and D-APV were obtained from Research Biochemicals International. DCG-IV, MCCG, EGLU, and LY 341495 were obtained from Toecis Cookson; nimodipine was obtained from Sigma.
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The startle response was measured in a startle apparatus (San Diego Instruments) as described by Lu et al. (2001). Briefly, rats were constrained in a Plexiglas cylindrical tube (length 20 cm, diameter 10 cm) with an accelerometer sensor attached on the base. The whole set-up was enclosed in a ventilated, sound-attenuating cabinet (length 38 cm, width 38 cm, and height 55 cm). The acoustic startle stimulus was 50 msec white-noise at the intensity of 95 dB delivered by a speaker 30 cm above the animal. The visual CS was a 3.7-sec light produced by an 8 W fluorescent bulb. The US was a 0.6 mA footshock of 0.5 sec duration. All data were reserved for off-line analyses.

Acclimation
On three consecutive days, rats were placed in the startle test boxes for 10 min and then returned to their home cages.

Matching
On two consecutive days, rats were placed in the startle box and 3 min later presented with 10 startle stimuli at 2-min intertrial intervals (ITI). On the basis of their mean startle amplitudes in the second of these two sessions, rats were matched into groups with similar response levels.
Figure 8. DCG-IV reduces fear-potentiated startle. (A) Behavioral procedure used. (B) Comparison of startle potentiation between pre- and post-DCG-IV tests. Intra-amygdala infusion of DCG-IV (1 nmol in 2 µL DMSO, 1 µL per side) at 1 h but not 6 h after conditioning significantly reduced fear-potentiated startle. This effect could be reversed by co-administration of mGluR II antagonist LY341495 (2 µg dissolved in 2 µL DMSO, 1 µL per side). *P < 0.01 vs. pre-DCG-IV tests. (C) Electrode tip placements in vehicle control (○), LY341495 (●), DCG-IV applied at 1 h after conditioning (△), DCG-IV plus LY341495 (▲), and DCG-IV applied at 6 h after conditioning (●). (D) Dose-dependent inhibition of fear-potentiated startle by DCG-IV. *P < 0.01 vs. control. (E) DCG-IV (2 µM) applied at 60 min after TS caused less potentiation than when applied at 10 min after TS.

Training
Rats were placed in the startle boxes and following a 5 min-acclimation period received 10 light-footsck pairings with a 2-min ITI. Unpaired controls received the same number of light and footshock presentation, but in a pseudorandom fashion in which the US could occur at anytime except at the 3.2 sec following the CS.

Pretest
Twenty-four hours after training, rats were tested for fear-potentiated startle. This involved 30 startle-eliciting noise bursts presented alone (noise-alone trial) and 30 noise bursts presented 3.2 sec after onset of the 3.7 sec light (light-noise trials). The two trial types were presented in a balanced mixed order (ITI, 30 sec). The percentage of fear-potentiated startle was computed as follows: [(startle amplitude on CS-noise minus noise-alone trials)/ (noise-alone trials)] × 100.

Drug application
The drugs were administered bilaterally in a volume of 1.0 µL at a rate of 0.5 µL/min using 28-gauge infusion cannulas that extended 1.0 mm from the base of the guide. The infusion cannulas were attached to a 10-µL Hamilton syringe and, after the infusion, the cannulas were left in place for 1–3 min to allow diffusion of the drugs from the tip.

Post-test
Twenty-three hours after drug application, rats were tested for fear-potentiated startle in a procedure identical to the pretest.

Preparation of synaptosomes and glutamate release assay
Synaptosomes were prepared from the lateral and basolateral nucleus of the amygdala according to the method described (Wang et al. 2001). The final synaptosomal pellet was resuspended in incubation buffer (HBM) consisting of 140 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 5 mM NaHCO3, 1 mM MgCl2·6H2O, 1.2 mM Na2HPO4, 10 mM glucose, 10 mM HEPES, and 1 mg/mL BSA (pH 7.4). The protein concentration was determined using the Bradford assay. Synaptosomes were centrifuged in the final wash to obtain synaptosomal pellets with 0.3 mg protein. Synaptosomal pellets were stored on ice and used within 2–3 h.

Synaptosomal pellets were resuspended in incubation buffer in a stirred and thermostated cuvette maintained at 37°C in a Perkin-Elmer LS-50B spectrophotometer. NADP+ (1 mM), glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH; 50 units/mL), and CaCl2 (1 mM) were added after 5 min. After a further 10 min incubation, 3 mM 4-AP was added to depolarize synaptosomes. Glutamate release was monitored by measuring the increase of fluorescence (excitation and emission wavelengths of 340 nm and 460 nm, respectively) due to NADPH being produced by the oxidative deamination of released glutamate by GDH. Data were accumulated at 2-sec intervals. A standard of exogenous glutamate (5 nmol) was added at the end of each experiment, and the fluorescence change produced by the standard addition was used to calculate the released glutamate as nmol glutamate/mg synaptosomal protein.
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