Hippocampal sharp wave bursts coincide with neocortical “up-state” transitions
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The sleeping neocortex shows nested oscillatory activity in different frequency ranges, characterized by fluctuations between “up-states” and “down-states.” High-density neuronal ensemble recordings in rats now reveal the interaction between synchronized activity in the hippocampus and neocortex: Electroencephalographic sharp waves in the hippocampus were more probable during down-states than during up-states, and tended to coincide with transitions from down-states to up-states. The form of cortical activity fluctuations and their interactions with sharp waves depend on sleep depth: In deeper sleep stages, characterized by strong neocortical oscillation in the delta range or slower (∼0.8–4 Hz), sharp-wave-triggered peri-event time histograms (PETH) are consistent with a longer duration for down-states than for up-states. In lighter sleep, the sharp-wave-triggered PETH suggested longer up-states than down-states. These results highlight the interplay in the hippocampal/neocortical loop: Decreased neocortical input during down-states may be a factor in generation of sharp waves. In turn, sharp waves may facilitate down-to-up transitions. This interplay may reflect joint memory trace reactivation in the hippocampus and in the neocortex, possibly contributing to consolidation of long-term memory: Off-line reactivation of recent neural activity patterns in the hippocampus occurs during 50–100-msec electroencephalographic sharp waves, corresponding to pyramidal-cell population bursts. The neocortical up-states starting in correspondence with sharp waves may be influenced by the reactivated information carried by the hippocampal sharp wave.

Brain activity during sleep or idle periods may be crucial for memory processes (Plihal and Born 1999; Stickgold et al. 2000; Sutherland and McNaughton 2000; Maquet 2001). Hypotheses descending from David Marr’s theory of memory (Marr 1970) assume that information acquired during a waking period is reactivated in the hippocampus during sleep episodes, leading to a reactivation and consequent consolidation (i.e., reorganization and strengthening) of the neocortical memory trace (Pavlovs and Winson 1989; Wilson and MaNaughton 1994; Skaggs and McNaughton 1996; Kudrimoti et al. 1999; Louie and Wilson 2001; Hofman and McNaughton 2002; Ribeiro et al. 2004). The intracortical connections created as the outcome of this reactivation process would provide the link between memory items stored in different cortical areas (Marr 1970) and support long-term memory, in a hippocampus-independent manner.

During slow wave sleep, the neocortex engages in largely synchronized activity patterns, with alternation of periods of generalized elevated activity (“up-states”) and depressed activity (“down-states”) (e.g., Steriade and Buzsaki 1990; Cowan and Wilson 1994; Timofeev et al. 2001; Petersen et al. 2003). This process appears to be a network phenomenon that originates in the neocortex. Up-states are supported by excitatory intracortical connections (Steriade et al. 1993; Sanchez-Vives and McCormick 2000) balanced by an increased activity of inhibitory interneurons (Timofeev et al. 2001). The positive feedback induced by these recurrent connections makes the cortical network capable of sustaining coherent activity fluctuations at several time scales, from the slow (<1 Hz), regular oscillations observed in ketamine-anesthetized animals (Steriade et al. 1993; Destexhe et al. 1999), to the more irregular, slow (∼1 Hz) fluctuations seen in the unanesthetized animal (Timofeev et al. 2001; Petersen et al. 2003) and also in human surface electroencephalogram (Achermann and Borbely 1997), to the activity in the faster delta frequency range (2–4 Hz, e.g., Ball et al. 1977). The slower end of this frequency range is often referred to as “up/down-state fluctuations.” Such activities in different frequency domains can actually coexist in a coordinate fashion: for example, delta oscillations are especially prominent during up-states, with each up-state encompassing several delta cycles, and the amplitude of delta declining from the beginning to the end of the up-states.

The concomitant hippocampal EEG pattern, termed large irregular activity (LIA), is characterized by short (50–150 msec) episodes of coherent burst firing, accompanied by dendritic sharp wave (SPW) LFPs and high-frequency LFP oscillations in the CA1 pyramidal layer (100–200 Hz, ripple oscillations) (O’Keefe and Nadel 1978; Buzsaki et al. 1992; Csicsvari et al. 2000), amidst relatively suppressed activity. SPWs occur at random intervals with a mean frequency of ~1 Hz during LIA, and appear to be generated in CA3, the portion of the hippocampus richest in recurrent connections (Ishizuka et al. 1990).

SPWs influence the firing of neurons in the entorhinal cortex (the major cortical efferent of the hippocampus) (Chrobak and Buzsaki 1994, 1996), and are weakly correlated with the occurrence of thalamocortical spindle oscillations (Siapas and Wilson 1998), which are also related to the occurrence of up-states (Steriade 2000). Sirotta et al. (2003) showed that cortical oscillations in somatosensory cortex and hippocampal activity are related on the short time scale: Ripple events are associated with cortical discharges occurring 50–100 msec earlier. Moreover, they showed that both spindle and delta oscillations affect hippocampal activity, with hippocampal synaptic inputs phase-locked to the cortical oscillations, possibly influencing the generation of SPWs.

2Corresponding author. 
E-mail bruce@nsma.arizona.edu; fax (520) 626-2618.

11:697–704 ©2004 by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press ISSN 1072-0502/04; www.learnmem.org
Hippocampal memory trace reactivation is strongest during SPWs (Kudrimoti et al. 1999), indicating that trace reactivation might, indeed, reflect the information stored in the CA3 synaptic matrix (McNaughton 1983; Buzsaki 1989; Shen and McNaughton 1996). Hippocampal SPWs, therefore, are a possible mechanism for the transfer of reactivated memories to the neocortex, and for the organization of coordinated cortical retrieval and consolidation (Hoffman and McNaughton 2002). These considerations motivated the investigation of the relationship between global cortical activity at slow time scales and hippocampal sharp waves, which is the subject of the present work.

Some of these results have been presented in an abstract format (Battaglia et al. 2001).

Results

To establish the relationship between SPWs and neocortical activity, multiple single unit activity was recorded from an array of 144 independently positionable electrodes chronically implanted on three rats. The electrode array spanned about two-thirds of the dorsal surface of the neocortex, including anterior cingulate, primary and secondary motor, somatosensory, dorsal parietal, retrosplenial, and visual areas (Fig. 1A). At the same time, the left CA1 pyramidal layer EEG (Fig. 2) was recorded from one electrode.

During recording sessions, rats 1 and 2 slept or rested for two periods (Rest 1 and Rest 2) of 25–40 min. Between these periods, they performed a behavioral task. Rat 3 was only recorded during one rest period of 25–40 min in each session and did not perform a behavioral task. Only the rest period data were analyzed for this report. Up to 96 cells were recorded simultaneously (Fig. 1B; total 2812 cells, in 61 sessions; 2298 from rat 1, 288 from rat 2, 226 from rat 3). Some of the cells may have been recorded from more than once across sessions, given that not all electrodes were moved every day (firing rate: mean: 1.94 Hz; max: 31.06 Hz).

Neocortical neuronal activity exhibited coherent fluctuations spanning all of the recorded areas. Spectral analysis of the total spike activity revealed discrete bouts of oscillations in the spindle range and in the delta/slow range during most of the recorded rest sessions (see, e.g., Fig. 3A). These episodes of oscillations accounted for 35% of the total recording time.

During troughs of slow/delta oscillations, generalized neuronal silence was often observed for a duration of ~200–400 msec (Figs. 2 and 3B,C). The same degree of synchrony was observed across the sampled recorded areas during spindle oscillations (data not shown). Fluctuations on a longer time scale were also present, and were reflected in the autocorrelogram of the global population activity, which decayed with a time constant of 2.4 ± 0.5 sec (Fig. 3D). “Up-states” were defined arbitrarily as those 1-s periods in which the number of “active” cells (one or more spikes) exceeded the 95% confidence threshold, computed from the randomly and independently shuffled interval series of all cells (see Materials and Methods). In all, 15.2% ± 4.3% of the intervals in Rest 1 and 13.0% ± 3.0% in Rest 2 exceeded this threshold, with activity in at least 58.3% ± 5.0% and 57.5% ± 5.1% of neurons, respectively. The recording session with the smallest effect yielded 7.6% of above-threshold intervals (p < 0.0001).
The sharp-wave-triggered Peri-event time histograms (PETH) of the global cortical activity showed that SPW events were accompanied by a brief burst of neocortical firing (Fig. 4). PETHs computed during periods of identified delta/slow oscillations in the spindle range (indicated by the s) and in the delta/slow range (indicated by the d) are visible. (B) Enlargement of the 100-sec period between the white dashed lines in A, showing delta oscillations. (C) Average instantaneous cortical firing rate during the same interval. The interval between the two red lines is the same as depicted in Figure 2. Note that the absolute values of the firing rates are different from Figure 2 because a larger smoothing parameter was used. (D) Autocorrelogram of the total activity of the recorded neocortical population. In each recording session, the spikes from all the recorded cells were pooled into a single binned time series that was used to compute the autocorrelation function. The normalization was chosen such that the asymptotic value of the autocorrelation coincides with the population average firing rate per cell. The data shown are an across-session average. The decline of the autocorrelogram was fit with an exponential function with a time constant of $2.4 \pm 0.5$ sec. Time bin, 200 msec. (E) Autocorrelogram of the time of occurrence of SPWs. There is a decay from $t = 0$ to the baseline, that can be approximated with an exponential function with a time constant of $5.5 \pm 0.06$ sec. This indicates that SPWs do not occur as a Poisson process with a constant rate throughout the recording sessions.

The sharp-wave-triggered Peri-event time histograms (PETH) of the global cortical activity showed that SPW events were accompanied by a brief burst of neocortical firing (Fig. 4). PETHs computed during periods of identified delta/slow oscillations were different from those computed during periods in which no delta/slow oscillations were present. During delta/slow oscillations (Fig. 4A,B), sharp wave events were preceded by a dip in average cortical firing lasting for ~500 msec. The average cortical firing was at the baseline level immediately before the dip. After the sharp wave event, the average cortical firing was increased, and slowly decayed to baseline with a time constant of the order of 3 sec. In epochs that did not include delta/slow oscillations,
the sharp wave-triggered PETH of cortical firing exhibited different features (Fig. 4C,D): Although there was still a transient increase at the time of the sharp wave event (albeit not as strong as during delta/slow oscillations), no “fast” dip was observed preceding sharp waves. Instead, an exponential decline in average activity was observed preceding the sharp wave, with a time constant of several seconds. Baseline firing resumed shortly after sharp waves. Conversely, the generation of SPW events was affected by the neocortical state (Fig. 4E): the frequency of sharp wave occurrence was higher during “down-states” (1.12 ± 0.22 Hz; \( p < 10^{-6} \)) than during “up-states” (0.77 ± 0.20 Hz). The “down/up” transition-centered PETH of SPW events also revealed an increase in SPW frequency at the transition, which started 1–2 sec ahead of the transition.

To estimate the proportion of individual cells exhibiting statistically significant modulation around SPWs, the firing rates before, during, and after each SPW event were compared with an estimate of the SPW-independent firing rates (Fig. 5; Table 1), for both delta/slow epochs and non-delta/slow epochs. For all intervals, there was an elevated number of significantly (two-tailed \( t \)-test, \( p < 0.05 \)) up- or down-modulated (or both) cells. The proportion of up- and down-modulated cells was in most cases different from chance; the significance of such differences was tested by a binomial sign test, whose results are reported in Table 1. The delta/slow epochs showed similar numbers of up- and down-modulated cells before the sharp waves (consistent lack of deviation from baseline observed in the PETH prior to sharp waves), and larger numbers of up-modulated cells at the sharp wave times and in the subsequent interval (consistent with the long-lasting increase from baseline registered in the PETH). At the time of the sharp waves, there were significantly fewer down-modulated cells than chance. In non-delta/slow epochs, there was an elevated number of down-modulated cells in the pre-SPW intervals, a prevalence of up-modulated cells at sharp wave times, and similar numbers of up- and down-modulated cells in the interval following the sharp waves. The pattern was similar in each of the experimental animals (Table 1). To assess whether these effects were localized in only some of the recorded cortical areas, the 12 × 12 electrode array was divided into nine square regions (3 × 3). The number of SPW-modulated cells was above chance in all regions studied both in delta/slow epochs and non-delta/slow epochs, although there were regional differences (\( p < 0.02 \), \( \chi^2 \)-test). The effects seemed to be strongest in the cortical regions spanning the midline, but further study would be required to rule out variables other than region in the generation of this effect. The \( z \)-scores for sharp wave modulation of individual cells in the two rest periods were only moderately correlated (\( r = 0.48 \) in the interval corresponding to SPWs, and lower in the other intervals), indicating that different ensembles were activated during different SPW events.

The probability of SPW occurrence also fluctuated weakly on time scales comparable to those of neocortical slow oscillations, as shown by the autocorrelogram of SPW times, which decays with a time constant of 5.31 ± 0.06 sec (Fig. 3E).

**Discussion**

The three main results of this study are the observation of deeply synchronized fluctuations in neuronal firing in the delta/slow frequency range across almost the entire neocortex, an observa-
Schematic representation of the intervals used for the comparisons in A up-modulated and down-modulated cells. (B) Same comparison for the 2.5%. In the intervals before the SPW there were similar proportions of modulated cells was actually significantly lower than the chance value of 2.5%. A large percentage of cells was up-modulated at the time of SPWs and 500 msec thereafter. At the time of the SPW, the number of down-modulated cells was actually significantly lower than the chance value of 2.5%. In the intervals before the SPW there were similar proportions of up-modulated and down-modulated cells. (C) Same comparison for the periods of time without global delta/slow oscillations. A large percentage of cells was down-modulated before the SPWs. A large proportion of cells was up-modulated at the time of the SPW, whereas similar proportions of cells were up- and down-modulated in the +500-msec interval. The dashed line here and in A represents the 2.5% chance level. A fraction of modulated cells close to that chance level would be attained if there were no statistical relationship between sharp waves and cortical firing, as in that case the intervals considered and the controls 10 sec later could be considered random time intervals with respect to the cortical firing (*: fraction of modulated cells different from chance level; p < 0.00001). (C) Schematic representation of the intervals used for the comparisons in A. The intervals used for the –1000 msec (500 msec), –200 msec (500 msec), SPW (beginning and ends detected by thresholding), and +500 msec (500 sec), and the corresponding control intervals are displayed.

Figure 5 Single unit activity modulation by SPWs. (A) Percentage of cells with firing rates that were significantly (p < 0.05) up-modulated (white bars) or down-modulated (black bars) in intervals centered on, or surrounding, the SPWs, as they were detected by the thresholding algorithm during the periods of identified global delta/slow cortical oscillations. The firing rates in 500-msec intervals spanning from 1500 to 1000 msec before the SPW (first pair of bars), from 700 to 200 msec before the SPW (second pair), the 500 msec after the SPW (fourth pair) and in the intervals between the beginnings and ends of SPWs (third pair), were compared with intervals of the same length starting 10 sec after each SPW. A large percentage of cells was up-modulated at the time of SPWs and 500 msec thereafter. At the time of the SPW, the number of down-modulated cells was actually significantly lower than the chance value of 2.5%. In the intervals before the SPW there were similar proportions of up-modulated and down-modulated cells. (B) Same comparison for the periods of time without global delta/slow oscillations. A large percentage of cells was down-modulated before the SPWs. A large proportion of cells was up-modulated at the time of the SPW, whereas similar proportions of cells were up- and down-modulated in the +500-msec interval. The dashed line here and in A represents the 2.5% chance level. A fraction of modulated cells close to that chance level would be attained if there were no statistical relationship between sharp waves and cortical firing, as in that case the intervals considered and the controls 10 sec later could be considered random time intervals with respect to the cortical firing (*: fraction of modulated cells different from chance level; p < 0.00001). (C) Schematic representation of the intervals used for the comparisons in A. The intervals used for the –1000 msec (500 msec), –200 msec (500 msec), SPW (beginning and ends detected by thresholding), and +500 msec (500 sec), and the corresponding control intervals are displayed.

One possible scenario that would produce this pattern is depicted in Figure 6. In this oversimplified scheme, transitions between up-states and down-states are described by a Markov process, so that the state durations follow an exponential distribution, and at least a fraction of the sharp waves coincide with the down-to-up transitions, the others being distributed randomly in time. If the up-state has a much shorter mean duration than the down-state, the sharp wave-triggered PETH of cortical activity has a shape similar to that observed during delta oscillations. If down-states are shorter than up-states, the PETH resembles that observed in no-delta/slow periods. Thus, whereas the abrupt increase in firing at the time of the sharp wave event is observed in both cases (in the model, because of the assumption of coincidence of sharp waves and down-to-up transitions), the difference in the shape of the PETH in these two dynamical regimes appears to reflect a different relative duration of up- and down-states, as might be expected in deeper or more superficial sleep stages, possibly because of cholinergic modulation of leaky K+ conductances (Compagne et al. 2003).
At present, there is no clear evidence for a causal relationship between these phenomena, even though a modulation in the neocortical EEG has been shown to precede sharp wave events by 50–100 msec (Sirota et al. 2003). Subtle changes in neocortical input, related to the slow fluctuations, may affect the probability of triggering an SPW in the hippocampus. More specifically, a decrease in cortical input might lead to hyperpolarization and low spike rates of CA3 cells, and to a de-inactivation of Ca++ channels (Buzsaki et al. 1992), leading to higher excitability of the hippocampal neurons. A sharp wave event may then be triggered by some fluctuation in the hippocampal input (e.g., by a neocortical transition to an up-state). On the other hand, it has been observed (Lewis and O’Donnell 2000) that stimulation of hippocampus or the ventral tegmental area can trigger up-states. Thus, the appropriate framework to describe the hippocampal/neocortical interactions might well be that of a closed loop, with causal relationships actually going both ways. During down-states, the cortex may have a role in mediating the increase in excitability in the hippocampus, which may lead to sharp waves, which could explain the increased sharp wave frequency during down-states. The burst discharge associated to a sharp wave in the CA3 network may converge on a stored memory state, making that memorized information available to the neocortex (Shen and McNaughton 1996). Both the neocortex, especially at the end of a down-state (Compte et al. 2003), and the hippocampus are in an extremely excitable state, prone to explode in coherent, self-sustained activations. Therefore, they can readily follow the input coming from the other structure: The hippocampal drive during a sharp wave could increase the probability of a transition from a down-state to an up-state. Conversely, as mentioned above, the neocortical down/up transition may provide the triggering input causing the sharp wave. Common inputs from other brain structures may influence the generation of these related events in the hippocampus and neocortex.

The set of network relationships highlighted in the present study might be relevant to the memory consolidation process: Sharp waves, reflecting the stored patterns of hippocampal activity (Kudrimoti et al. 1999), could elicit coordinated retrieval of corresponding activity configurations stored in the neocortex that are indexed by the hippocampal cue (for review, see Mc-

---

**Table 1.** Detail of the numbers of up- and down-modulated cells during delta/slow and non-delta/slow epochs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>−1000 msec</th>
<th>−200 msec</th>
<th>SPW</th>
<th>+500 msec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rat 1, N = 2298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta/slow up-modulated</td>
<td>135 (5.9%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>152 (6.6%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>333 (14.5%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>376 (16.36%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta/slow down-modulated</td>
<td>118 (5.1%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>168 (7.3%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>32 (1.4%, p &lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>97 (4.2%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-delta/slow up-modulated</td>
<td>48 (2.0%, n.s.)</td>
<td>90 (3.9%, p &lt; 0.00005)</td>
<td>139 (6.0%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>100 (4.45, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-delta/slow down-modulated</td>
<td>188 (8.1%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>203 (8.8%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>59 (2.6%, n.s.)</td>
<td>140 (6.0%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat 2, N = 288</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta/slow up-modulated</td>
<td>14 (4.9%, p &lt; 0.02)</td>
<td>8 (2.8%, n.s.)</td>
<td>13 (4.5%, p &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>28 (9.7%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta/slow down-modulated</td>
<td>17 (5.9%, p &lt; 0.00005)</td>
<td>26 (9.0%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>5 (1.7%, n.s.)</td>
<td>18 (6.2%, p &lt; 0.00005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-delta/slow up-modulated</td>
<td>18 (6.2%, p &lt; 0.00005)</td>
<td>12 (4.1%, n.s.)</td>
<td>19 (6.6%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>16 (5.5%, p &lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-delta/slow down-modulated</td>
<td>8 (2.8%, n.s.)</td>
<td>27 (9.4%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>7 (2.4%, n.s.)</td>
<td>11 (3.8%, n.s.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat 3, N = 226</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta/slow up-modulated</td>
<td>5 (2.2%, n.s.)</td>
<td>1 (0.04%, p &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>30 (13.2%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>34 (15.0%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta/slow down-modulated</td>
<td>8 (3.5%)</td>
<td>12 (4.3%, p &lt; 0.01)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%, p &lt; 0.02)</td>
<td>1 (0.04%, p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-delta/slow up-modulated</td>
<td>5 (2.2%, n.s.)</td>
<td>15 (6.6%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>21 (9.3%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>25 (11.0%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-delta/slow down-modulated</td>
<td>10 (4.4% n.s., p = 0.06)</td>
<td>8 (3.5%, n.s.)</td>
<td>2 (0.09%, n.s.)</td>
<td>5 (2.2%, n.s.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, N = 2812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta/slow up-modulated</td>
<td>154 (5.5%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>161 (5.7%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>376 (13.4%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>438 (15.6%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta/slow down-modulated</td>
<td>143 (5.1%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>206 (7.3%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>37 (1.3%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>116 (4.1%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-delta/slow up-modulated</td>
<td>71 (2.6%, p &lt; 0.01)</td>
<td>117 (4.1%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>179 (6.3%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>141 (5.0%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-delta/slow down-modulated</td>
<td>206 (7.3%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>238 (8.4%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
<td>68 (2.4%, n.s.)</td>
<td>156 (5.5%, p &lt; 0.00001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The numbers of cells whose rates were modulated up and down (p < 0.05, two-tailed t-test) and the fractions of the total are shown for each of the three experimental animals and for the totals. The p-values are relative to the significance of the difference between the measured fraction of modulated cells and the chance level of 2.5% (binomial, z-test).

**Figure 6** Qualitative picture of the interaction between up-state and down-state transitions in cortical firing and hippocampal sharp waves and how the apparently gradual trends in the average PETH functions shown in Figure 4 can be accounted for by averaging over fluctuations in discrete states with variable durations. In these numerical simulations, the cortical state transitions occur randomly, according to a two-state model whose parameters represent the mean life of each state, and cortical firing rates are assumed to be constant within a given state. (A) In this situation, the mean life of the up-states is much shorter (3 sec) than the mean duration of the down-states (20 sec). If at least some proportion of the SPW occurs in correspondence with the down-to-up transition, the SPW-triggered PETH of cortical firing would look like the one in B, which resembles what is observed during the periods dominated by delta/slow oscillations, at least in terms of the long time scale behavior (long decline after the SPW, mostly flat before; see Fig. 4). (C) In the scenario in which the down-state is much shorter (3 sec) than the up-state (20 sec), the SPW-triggered, cortical PETH would look like the one in D, which is reminiscent of what is observed in the periods without delta/slow oscillations (long-lasting exponential trough leading to the SPWs, small modulation after the SPWs). The calibration bar in A and C represents 20 sec.
Naughton et al. 2003). The neocortical input may, in turn, influence the memory content retrieved by the hippocampus at that time.

Materials and Methods

Electrode array and surgical procedures

The animals were treated according to NIH guidelines and approved IACUC protocols. Rats were anesthetized with sodium pentobartanal (40 mg/kg), and a square craniotomy was opened to accommodate the 8-mm square, 12 × 12 guide cannulae array (Hoffman and McNaughton 2002). The dura was left intact, and the array was isolated from the dura surface by a layer of biocompatible silastic (Dow Corning). The coordinates were bregma AP +5.4 mm (anterior side), AP −5.2 mm (right), DL −2.4 mm (left), DL +5.4 mm (right) (Fig. 1A). The cannulae contained 75-µm stainless steel electrodes, tapered to a fine tip (FHC). The uninsulated rear part of the electrodes made electrical contact with the cannulae, which, in turn, were connected to a circuit board that provided the connections between the cannulae and the buffer preamplifiers (Neuralynx). The implant was anchored to the skull with jeweler’s screws and dental cement. After surgery, the electrodes were pushed through the insulation layer and the dura to the brain surface by means of a 0.006-inch wire push-rod, connected to a digital micromanipulator, which was inserted in the top end of the cannulae. Once every few recording sessions, a subset of the electrodes was advanced to new locations, searching for new units in the neocortex, while a custom-written program kept track of the depth of the electrodes. A few electrodes were advanced to the CA1 pyramidal layer for LFP recording.

Electrophysiological recording procedures

For single unit recordings, the signal coming from the buffer preamplifiers was differentially amplified, referred to an electrode in a quiet location in the white matter, and band-pass filtered between 600 Hz and 6 kHz. Whenever the signal exceeded a threshold, a spike waveform was acquired at 25 kHz (duration 1.3 msec) and recorded on the hard disk (Cheetah recording system; Neuralynx, Inc.). LFPs were filtered between 1 Hz and 475 Hz and continuously recorded at 1.6 kHz. The acquired waveforms were sorted off-line using a semiautomated clustering algorithm (BBClust, P. Lipa, unpubl.) based on the waveform amplitude, and the wave-shape principal components, and successively refined manually with custom-written software (MClust, A.D. Redish, unpubl.).

Recording session protocol

For rats 1 and 2, during each recording session, rats were allowed to rest and/or sleep for two sessions of 25/40 min, while electrophysiological data were recorded. In between these sessions, the rats ran for food back and forth on a circular track. The two reward sites were separated by a barrier so that the rat had to alternate directions on the track. Along the track, many modality sensory cues were placed: odors, floor coverings with different textures, rods that hit the whiskers while the rat was passing by, and an earphone playing music. Only the data from the rest sessions were used for this work. Rat 3 was allowed to rest and/or sleep for 25/40 min during electrophysiological recordings, and did not perform any behavioral task.

Up-state detection

For this analysis, only 28 sessions with 40 or more recorded cells were considered. Spike-trains were binned in 1-sec intervals. For each interval, the number of active cells (i.e., cells that fired at least one spike in the interval) was computed. If that number exceeded a threshold, an up-state was detected. The threshold was computed as the 95th percentile of the distribution, computed from randomly and independently shuffled spike trains. The threshold was therefore a value representative of the expected amount of fluctuation in the total activity in spike trains with similar firing rates, but in which the coherence across cells was disrupted.

Sharp wave detection

The CA1 pyramidal layer LFP was filtered in the ripple oscillation frequency domain (100–300 Hz). SPW events were detected by means of a thresholding algorithm. Suprathreshold events closer to each other than 100 msec were merged, and events shorter than 50 msec were discarded. For neocortical activity PETH calculations, SPW timestamps were computed as the times of the highest peaks in the ripple oscillation within the SPW events.

Spectral analysis of cortical activity

The combined spike train from all the recorded cortical cells in each session was transformed into a time series by a 5-msec time window binning. The power spectrum of the resulting time series was estimated by means of multitaper analysis (Mitra and Pesaran 1999; Percival and Walden 2002), in a sliding time window with a width of 10 sec. The aggregate power in the 0.5–4 Hz as a function of time was used as an index of oscillatory activity in the delta/slow range. Epochs in which this index exceeded a manually defined threshold area are considered as delta/slow oscillation periods.
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