Facilitation of Memory for Extinction of Drug-Induced Conditioned Reward: Role of Amygdala and Acetylcholine
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These experiments examined the effects of posttrial peripheral and intra-amygdala injections of the cholinergic muscarinic receptor agonist oxotremorine on memory consolidation underlying extinction of amphetamine conditioned place preference (CPP) behavior. Male Long-Evans rats were initially trained and tested for an amphetamine (2 mg/kg) CPP. Rats were subsequently given limited extinction training, followed by immediate posttrial peripheral or intrabasolateral amygdala injections of oxotremorine. A second CPP test was then administered, and the amount of time spent in the previously amphetamine-paired and saline-paired apparatus compartments was recorded. Peripheral (0.07 or 0.01 mg/kg) or intra-amygdala (10 µg/0.5µL) postextinction trial injections of oxotremorine facilitated CPP extinction. Oxotremorine injections that were delayed 2 h posttrial training did not enhance CPP extinction, indicating a time-dependent effect of the drug on memory consolidation processes. The findings indicate that memory consolidation for extinction of approach behavior to environmental stimuli previously paired with drug reward can be facilitated by posttrial peripheral or intrabasolateral amygdala administration of a cholinergic agonist.

In the conditioned place preference (CPP) paradigm, the rewarding properties of a treatment are assessed by measuring approach behavior to environmental cues paired previously with the affective consequences of treatment administration. As numerous drugs with abuse potential in humans reliably induce a CPP in experimental animals, this task has been used extensively to investigate the neurobiological bases of drug reward (for reviews, see Carr et al. 1989; Tzschentke 1998). Following pairings of a drug treatment with a specific environmental context, the expression of a CPP is assessed on a treatment-free test day. Therefore, CPP behavior ultimately involves acquisition, consolidation, and retrieval of stimulus-reward memory for an association between environmental stimuli and the affective state produced by a treatment (White and Carr 1985; Hsu et al. 2002).

Extinction of memories mediating the control of approach behavior to environmental cues that have been associated with rewarding drug treatments represents a potentially significant therapeutic approach to human drug addiction. Importantly, several behavioral studies indicate that memory for CPPs is subject to extinction, as nonrewarded exposure to environmental contexts previously paired with rewarding drug treatments reduces subsequent CPP behavior (Bardo et al. 1986; Calcagnotto and Schecter 1993; Mueller and Stewart 2000; Parker and McDonald 2000; Itzhak and Martin 2001; Lu et al. 2002). Although extinction of CPP behavior has been demonstrated in several studies, the neurobiological basis of extinction in this task has not been extensively investigated. Several lines of evidence indicate that extinction involves new learning, rather than simple forgetting or erasure of original learning (see Bouton 1993; Rescorla 2001). Therefore, we have recently adapted the CPP task in order to examine whether administration of memory-enhancing agents would facilitate extinction of a drug-induced CPP. Specifically, our approach involves facilitating memory consolidation underlying extinction of CPP behavior by administering memory-enhancing drugs during the postextinction training time period. This methodology is based on extensive evidence indicating that posttrial administration of various drug treatments can facilitate memory consolidation for original learning in numerous behavioral tasks (for reviews, see McGaugh 1989, 2000). Moreover, administering treatments during the postextinction training period excludes influences on nonmnemonic factors that can potentially influence behavior when treatments are given prior to extinction training (i.e., sensory, motor, or motivational factors).

In our recent study, peripheral postextinction trial peripheral injections of glucose facilitated the extinction of an amphetamine CPP (Schroeder and Packard 2003). Peripheral administration of glucose increases acetylcholine release in various brain areas (Ragozzino et al. 1996), and evidence suggests that glucose and acetylcholine interact to modulate memory consolidation (Stone et al. 1988; Kopf and Baratti 1994; Blanchard and Duncan 1997; Kopf et al. 2001). In addition, peripheral posttraining administration of acetylcholine agonists can enhance memory consolidation in numerous behavioral tasks (see Baratti et al. 1979; Haroutunian et al. 1985; Gasbarri et al. 1993, 1997; Baratti and Kopf 1996). Taken together, these findings raise the possibility that acetylcholine agonists may also facilitate memory consolidation underlying the extinction of CPP behavior.

One brain area in which cholinergic drugs may potentially act to influence the extinction of CPP behavior is the amygdala. Excitotoxic lesions of the amygdala impair initial acquisition of various CPPs (Everitt et al. 1991; Hiroi and White 1991; Brown and Fibiger 1993; McDonald and White 1993), and posttraining intrabasolateral amygdala infusion of local anesthetics impairs memory consolidation for food (Schroeder and Packard 2000), and amphetamine (Hsu et al. 2002) CPPs. We have also recently observed that posttraining intrabasolateral amygdala infusion of...
the cholinergic muscarinic receptor antagonist scopolamine impairs memory consolidation underlying initial acquisition of CPPs for both food and amphetamine (Schroeder and Packard 2002). Other recent findings indicate a role for the basolateral amygdala in extinction of CPP behavior. Excitotoxic lesions of the basolateral amygdala made after initial training, but prior to extinction training, attenuate extinction of a cocaine CPP (Fuchs et al. 2002). In addition, similar to peripheral injections, postextinction trial infusion of glucose directly into the basolateral amygdala facilitates extinction of an amphetamine CPP (Schroeder and Packard 2003).

Evidence indicates that posttraining intra-amygdala infusions of the cholinergic muscarinic receptor agonist oxotremorine enhance memory consolidation during initial learning of several tasks (see Intrioni-Collison et al. 1996; Salinas et. al. 1997; Vazdarjanova and McGaugh 1999), and pretraining intra-amygdala infusion of scopolamine disrupts cocaine-seeking behavior (See et al. 2003). Therefore, the present experiments examined the effects of postextinction training administration of oxotremorine on extinction of an amphetamine CPP. Experiment 1 investigated the effects of peripheral injections of oxotremorine, and Experiment 2 used intrabasolateral amygdala infusions of this cholinergic agonist.

RESULTS

Experiment 1: Effects of Postextinction Trial Peripheral Infusions of Oxotremorine on Extinction of an Amphetamine CPP

Figure 1 (top) shows the mean time spent in the amphetamine-paired and saline-paired compartments on the initial test session (i.e., prior to extinction training). An ANOVA with postextinction trial treatment as a between-groups variable, and paired versus unpaired side as a repeated measure, revealed no significant interaction ($F_{(4,37)} = 1.42, P = NS$) and a significant effect of side (paired versus unpaired side; $F_{(1,37)} = 280.37, P < 0.05$), demonstrating that all groups displayed an equivalent amphetamine CPP prior to extinction.

Figure 1 (bottom) shows the mean time spent in the amphetamine-paired and saline-paired compartments after extinction training for each of the groups. An ANOVA with postextinction trial treatment as a between-groups variable, and paired versus unpaired side as a repeated measure, revealed a significant interaction ($F_{(4,37)} = 6.51, P < 0.05$). Planned comparisons revealed that subjects receiving postextinction training saline continued to demonstrate a significant CPP during the second test session and had therefore not extinguished ($t(7) = 5.78, P < 0.05$). This finding in the control rats is consistent with our previous report that using the present training parameters, administration of two extinction trials is not sufficient to produce extinction of CPP behavior (Schroeder and Packard 2003). Similarly, rats receiving the lowest dose of oxotremorine (0.035 mg/kg) also continued to display a significant CPP during the second test session ($t(7) = 3.194, P < 0.05$). In contrast, rats that received postextinction training injections of 0.07 mg/kg oxotremorine ($t(7) = -0.974, P = NS$) and 0.10 mg/kg oxotremorine ($t(7) = 2.21, P = NS$) did not show a significant CPP during the second test session, indicating that administration of these doses of oxotremorine facilitate the extinction of an amphetamine CPP.

Rats administered oxotremorine (0.07 mg/kg) that was delayed 2 h postextinction continued to display an amphetamine CPP ($t(9) = 4.162, P < 0.05$), indicating a time-dependent effect of oxotremorine on memory consolidation processes underlying CPP extinction. Importantly, this finding also indicates that the immediate postextinction trial injections of oxotremorine did not facilitate CPP extinction via a proactive effect on nonmonomeric factors (i.e., sensory, motor, or motivational factors).

Experiment 2: Effects of Postextinction Trial Intrabasolateral Amygdala Infusions of Oxotremorine on Extinction of an Amphetamine CPP

Figure 2 (top) shows the mean time spent in the amphetamine- and saline-paired compartments on the initial test session prior to group assignment. An ANOVA with postextinction trial treatment as a between-groups variable, and paired versus unpaired side as a repeated measure, revealed no significant interaction ($F_{(3,26)} = 0.235, P = NS$) and a significant effect of side (paired versus unpaired side; $F_{(1,26)} = 213.62, P < 0.05$), demonstrating that all groups displayed an equivalent amphetamine CPP prior to extinction.

Figure 2 (bottom) shows the mean time spent in the amphetamine- and saline-paired compartments after extinction training for each of the groups. An ANOVA with postextinction trial treatment as a between-groups variable, and paired versus unpaired side as a repeated measure, revealed a significant interaction ($F_{(3,26)} = 3.86, P < 0.05$). Planned comparisons revealed that subjects receiving postextinction training artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) continued to demonstrate a significant CPP during the second test session and had therefore not extinguished ($t(7) = 3.55, P < 0.05$). Again, this finding in the control rats is consistent with our previous report that using the present training parameters, administration of two extinction trials is not sufficient to produce extinction of CPP behavior (Schroeder and Packard 2003). Similarly, rats receiving the high dose of oxotremorine (100 ng) also continued to display a significant CPP during the second test session ($t(7) = 3.24, P < 0.05$). In contrast,
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In addition to acetylcholine, the neurotransmitter glutamate has also been implicated in the acquisition of CPP behavior and in extinction processes underlying other forms of emotional memory (Bespalov et al. 1994; Cervo and Samainin 1995; Tzschentke and Schmidt 1995; Kim et al. 1996; Stevens et al. 1997; Toth and Parker 1999). For example, peripheral (Baker and Azorlosa 1996) and intra-amygadal (Fallis et al. 1992; Lee and Kim 1998) administration of glutamatergic NMDA antagonists block the extinction of various forms of fear conditioning. Moreover, recent evidence indicates that pre-extinction administration of the NMDA receptor agonist D-cycloserine either through the system or into the amygdala facilitates the extinction of fear conditioning (Walker et al. 2002). Therefore, future research examining the role of intra-amygadal NMDA receptors in the extinction of stimulus-reward associations mediating CPP behavior is warranted.

The present experiments do not reveal the cellular mechanism(s) by which posttraining peripheral and intra-amygadal infusions of the acetylcholine agonist oxotremorine facilitate memory for CPP extinction. Muscarnic receptor activation increases the activity of basolateral amygdala neurons (Washburn and Moises 1992; Womble and Moises 1992, 1993), which could conceivably enhance consolidation of memory traces directly within the amygdala. Consistent with this possibility, acetylcholine can modulate the induction of long-term potentiation (LTP), a putative cellular model of learning and memory that has been demonstrated in the amygdala (Chapman et al. 1990; Huang and Kandel 1998). Although to our knowledge the role of acetylcholine in amygdala LTP has not been investigated, this transmitter has been shown to play a role in LTP in other brain regions. For example, application of oxotremorine (Iga et al. 1996), or the ACHE inhibitor (−)-huperzine A (Ye et al. 2001), enhances, whereas scopolamine reduces hippocampal CA1 area LTP induction (Kobayashi et al. 1997; Ye et al. 2001). Acetylcholine also influences neocortical LTP in freely moving rats, as the cholinergic agonist pilocarpine enhances, whereas scopolamine attenuates LTP induction (Boyd et al. 2000).

Alternatively, the activity of amygdala output neurons may ultimately modulate memory consolidation processes underlying extinction of CPP behavior occurring in other brain regions. Extensive evidence indicates that the basolateral amygdala modulates memory consolidation occurring in other brain regions during initial acquisition of some types of learning tasks (e.g., hippocampus, caudate nucleus, and neocortex; Packard et al. 1995; Packard and Teather 1998; McGaugh et al. 2002). One brain area that receives a prominent glutamatergic input from the basolateral amygdala is the nucleus accumbens (see Wright et al. 1996; French and Totterdell 2003), and this structure has been extensively implicated in acquisition and expression of CPP behavior (for reviews, see Carr et al. 1989; Tzshentke 1998). Extinction exposure to a cocaine self-administration environment results in decreases in c-fos expression in the nucleus accumbens, and this reduction correlates with the strength of subsequent drug-seeking behavior (Neisewander et al. 2000). Other findings indicate that interactions between the basolateral amygdala and nucleus accumbens in mediating the memory-enhancing effects of posttraining glucocorticoid administration (Rozenzdaal et al. 2001). The medial prefrontal cortex is an additional brain region that shares functional connectivity with the basolateral amygdala (see McDonald et al. 1996), and this structure has also been implicated in initial acquisition of cocaine CPP behavior (Tzschentke and Schmidt 1988; Isaac et al. 1989). Further research is necessary to examine whether extinction of CPP behavior ultimately involves the formation of memory traces directly within the amygdala or modulation of memory processes occurring in other brain areas.

Finally, the present findings may have implications for the treatment of human drug addiction. Exposure of addicts to formerly drug-related cues induces drug craving (Childress et al. 1986) and activates brain areas, including the amygdala (Childress et al. 1999), that may underlie associations formed between previously neutral cues and the affective consequences of addictive drugs. The ability of drug-paired cues to induce craving is presumably one of the mechanisms by which addiction endures. Our findings (present study; Schroeder and Packard 2003) indicating that pretraining administration of memory-enhancing agents can facilitate extinction of approach behavior to environmental stimuli associated with drug reward may therefore aid in the development of pharmacological therapies aimed at reducing drug-seeking behaviors in humans.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

The subjects were 65 adult male Long-Evans rats (300 to 375 g). The subjects were housed individually in a temperature-controlled environment on a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle with the lights on from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and had ad libitum access to food and water prior to surgery.

**Surgery and Histology**

Prior to surgery, rats were anesthetized with a cocktail of 30 mg/kg ketamine HCl and 2.5 mg/kg xylazine. Bilateral guide cannulae (25 gauge, 15 mm in length) were implanted overlying the basolateral amygdala by 1 mm, using standard stereotaxic techniques. Jeweler’s screws were anchored to the skull and attached to the cannula with dental acrylic. Stereotaxic coordinates for the basolateral amygdala placements were AP = −2.2 mm, ML = ±4.7 from Bregma, and DV = −7.0 mm from the skull surface. These coordinates were selected based on our previous research indicating that infusion of local anesthetics into this area blocks memory consolidation for food and amphetamine CPPs (Schroeder and Packard 2000; Hsu et al. 2002), and postextinction infusion of glucose at this brain site enhances extinction of an amphetamine CPP (Schroeder and Packard 2003).

After behavioral testing, rats were deeply anesthetized with a 1-ml injection of sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/ml) and perfused with a 10% formal saline solution. Brains were removed and fixed in a 10% formal saline solution before slicing. Frozen sections were cut at 20 µm through the cannula tract area, and cresyl violet-stained sections were mounted and examined for verification of cannula placements by using the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (1997). The locations of injection sites in the basolateral amygdala are shown in Figure 3. Three rats were discarded due to misplacement of guide cannula. Histological analysis revealed that injection needle tip placements in the basolateral amygdala ranged from −2.30 to −3.30 mm AP from Bregma.

**Apparatus**

The apparatus was identical to that used in our previous studies investigating the role of the basolateral amygdala in food and amphetamine CPP behavior (Schroeder and Packard 2000, 2003; Hsu et al. 2002). It was constructed of wood, with a Plexiglas front wall, and consisted of three compartments, two of which are identical in size (45 × 45 × 30 cm high). One compartment was painted black with a black Plexiglas floor, and it had a piece of wire mesh on the ground, which was covered with 1 ml of a 1% acetic acid solution prior to training and test. The other compartment was painted white and had wood chips scattered over the floor. These two compartments were separated from each other by a wooden partition and were connected by a smaller back tunnel third compartment (36 × 18 × 20 cm high), which had a metal floor and could be opened to allow entrance into each of the two larger compartments.
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Drugs and Infusions

D-Amphetamine and oxotremorine were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Amphetamine (Sigma-Aldrich; 2 mg/kg) and oxotremorine (Sigma-Aldrich; 0.07, 0.01 mg/kg) were dissolved in physiological (0.9%) saline and injected into the intraperitoneal cavity. All peripheral drugs were injected at a volume of 1.0 mL/kg. Bilateral intrabasolateral amygdala infusions of oxotremorine (10 ng or 100 ng/0.5 µL) or aCSF vehicle were administered via an electronically controlled microsyringe pump by using 10-µL Hamilton syringes connected to polyethylene tubing. The intracerebral infusions were administered over a 53-sec period, and the injection needles (30 gauge, extending from the guide cannula by 1 mm) were left in place an additional 60 sec to allow for solution diffusion. The oxotremorine doses were selected based on their ability to enhance memory consolidation in various tasks (Baratti et al. 1979; Haroutunian et al. 1985; Gasbarri et al. 1993; Baratti and Kopf 1996; Intrione-Callison et al. 1996; Salinas et al. 1997; Vazdarjanova and McGaugh 1999).

Behavioral Procedures

The behavioral procedures were identical to those of our previous research examining the effects of posttrial glucose on extinction of amphetamine CPP behavior (Schroeder and Packard 2003), and consisted of four general phases: CPP training, initial CPP testing, extinction training, and an additional CPP test. Training of the initial amphetamine CPP took place over 6 days. The first day consisted of habituation, during which rats were allowed access to all three compartments of the CPP apparatus for 10 min. The next 4 days consisted of two treatments and two non-treatment pairings, during which rats were confined to one of the two pairing compartments for 30 min immediately following administration of D-amphetamine or saline. In the present CPP apparatus, we have found that rats spend equal amounts of time in both of the large compartments during the habituation session (Schroeder and Packard 2003). Therefore, the present study used an unbiased CPP design in which half of the rats were injected with amphetamine prior to confinement in the black compartment and saline prior to confinement in the white compartment. The remaining half of the rats received amphetamine injections prior to exposure to the white compartment and saline injection prior to exposure to the black compartment. Half of the rats received their amphetamine injections on odd-numbered days, and the other half received amphetamine injections on even-numbered days. On day 6, the rats were given a 20-min drug-free test session and were allowed access to all three compartments of the apparatus. No treatments were administered prior to the test session, and the amount of time spent in the previous amphetamine-paired and saline-paired apparatus compartments was recorded as a measure of CPP behavior.

Twenty-four hours after the initial CPP test, rats were assigned to extinction groups that were matched with regard to the magnitude of their initial amphetamine CPP. Rats then received two extinction trials that were similar to original training (i.e., daily sessions in which they were alternatively placed in the formerly amphetamine- and saline-paired compartments for 30 min). However, no treatments were administered prior to the extinction trials. In our previous research (Schroeder and Packard 2003) using the same training parameters as those of the present study, we observed that two extinction trials were not sufficient to produce extinction, whereas four or eight trials did produce extinction of CPP behavior. As the present study was designed to investigate whether a posttrial treatment could facilitate extinction, the two-extinction trial procedure was used.

Experiment 1 examined the effects of peripheral postextinction trial administration of oxotremorine on CPP extinction. Separate groups of rats received peripheral postextinction trial injections of saline (n = 8), or oxotremorine (0.035 mg/kg, n = 8; 0.07 mg/kg, n = 8; or 0.10 mg/kg, n = 8). Experiment 2 examined the effects of intrabasolateral amygdala postextinction trial administration of oxotremorine on CPP extinction. Separate groups of rats received intrabasolateral amygdala infusions of oxotremorine (10 ng or 100 ng/0.5 µL; n = 8), or an equivalent volume of aCSF vehicle (n = 8). The effects of peripheral or intrabasolateral amygdala oxotremorine injections that were delayed until 2 h after the extinction trials. These groups were tested in order to examine whether postextinction oxotremorine exerts a time-dependent effect on memory consolidation processes, and to control for potential proactive effects of oxotremorine on nonmnemonic factors (e.g., sensory, motoric, or motivational factors). The doses of oxotremorine used for the delayed injections (0.07 mg/kg peripheral, n = 6; 10 ng intrabasolateral amygdala, n = 6) were selected following assessment of the effects of the immediate posttrial injections.
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