Low-frequency Stimulation Erases LTP through an NMDA Receptor-mediated Activation of Protein Phosphatases
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Abstract

In the CA1 region of adult guinea pig hippocampal slices, long trains of 0 frequency (5 Hz) stimulation produced a small enhancement of basal synaptic transmission but depressed the strength of synaptic transmission at synapses that had recently undergone long-term potentiation (LTP). Five hertz stimulation delivered immediately prior to high-frequency stimulation also inhibited the subsequent induction of LTP. The depression of potentiated synapses by 5 Hz stimulation (depotentiation) was blocked by 2-amino-5-phosphonovalerate and was observed only during the early phases of LTP. Furthermore, the protein phosphatase inhibitors okadaic acid and calyculin A blocked both depotentiation and the ability of 5 Hz stimulation to inhibit subsequent LTP, suggesting that protein phosphatases are involved in the ability of 5 Hz stimulation to modulate synaptic plasticity in the CA1 region of the hippocampus.

Introduction

The strength of excitatory synaptic transmission in the CA1 region of the hippocampus can be both increased and decreased by patterns of synaptic activity that activate postsynaptic N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)-type glutamate receptors (for review, see Malenka and Nicoll 1993). Following strong activation of NMDA receptors by high-frequency presynaptic fiber stimulation, synaptic transmission undergoes a persistent enhancement known as long-term potentiation (LTP) (Bliss and Collingridge 1993). In addition to being a prominent feature of synaptic transmission in regions of the brain important for memory storage, several features, especially its persistence, have made LTP an attractive candidate for a cellular mechanism involved in the storage of long-term memory. However, the very persistence of LTP is itself problematical, as it could lead to a saturation of all modifiable synapses in a potentiated state making it impossible to store further memories. It has thus been suggested that in addition to a process like LTP, there must also be mechanisms capable of decreasing the strength of synaptic transmission (Sejnowski 1977; Bienenstock et al. 1982; Wilshaw and Dayan 1990; Tsumoto 1993). Consistent with the idea that LTP must coexist with processes that decrease synaptic strength, excitatory synaptic inputs onto CA1 pyramidal cells have now been shown to undergo depression following long trains of low-frequency (1–3 Hz) presynaptic stimulation (Dudek and Bear 1992; Mulkey and Malenka 1992). This form of long-term depression (LTD) is homosynaptic and, like LTP, dependent on both NMDA receptor activation and increases in intracellular Ca²⁺ for its induction (Dudek and Bear 1992; Mulkey and Malenka 1992). Furthermore, inhibitors of protein phosphatases block homosynaptic LTD of basal synaptic transmission, suggesting that protein phosphatase activation may be an important component of the signaling pathways responsible for LTD (Mulkey et al. 1993).

While homosynaptic LTD is robust in young animals (Mulkey and Malenka 1992; Dudek and Bear 1993), it is not in adult animals. Long trains of
low-frequency stimulation produce little or no depression of basal excitatory synaptic transmission in the CA1 region of the hippocampus in adult animals (Barrionuevo et al. 1980; Staubli and Lynch 1990; Larson et al. 1993). Thus homosynaptic LTD may be primarily important during early stages of postnatal neuronal development, and other processes that decrease the strength of excitatory synaptic transmission may be operative in the mature hippocampus. Low-frequency stimulation protocols that have little effect on the strength of basal synaptic transmission in the adult hippocampus can depress the strength of synaptic transmission at synapses that have recently undergone LTP, a phenomenon known as depotentiation (Barrionuevo et al. 1980; Staubli and Lynch 1990; Larson et al. 1993; Fujii et al. 1991). Depotentiation shares some features with LTD studied in young animals, perhaps indicating that the biochemical processes responsible for LTD and depotentiation are similar. For instance, both LTD and depotentiation are produced by long periods of low-frequency stimulation, generally several hundred pulses delivered at 1–5 Hz. Moreover, like LTD, depotentiation is blocked by the the NMDA receptor antagonist 2-amino-5-phosphonovaleric acid (APV), suggesting that NMDA receptor activation, and perhaps increases in intracellular Ca²⁺, are important for decreasing the strength of synaptic transmission at synapses that have recently undergone LTP (Fujii et al. 1991). However, the observation that depotentiation is present even in the adult hippocampus where LTD is apparently absent suggests that LTD and depotentiation may be distinct processes, or important variants of a common process, that are differentially expressed during development. To better understand the cellular mechanisms of depotentiation and to determine whether these mechanisms are similar to those responsible for LTD of basal synaptic transmission in younger animals, we have investigated the ability of low-frequency stimulation protocols to modify the strength of synaptic transmission in slices of adult guinea pig hippocampus where homosynaptic LTD of basal synaptic transmission is absent (Fujii et al. 1991).

Materials and Methods

Standard techniques were used to prepare 400-μm-thick hippocampal slices from 3- to 8-week-old male guinea pigs or 13- to 19-day-old rats. Slices were kept in an interface recording chamber containing an artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) consisting of (in mM) 124 NaCl, 4.4 KCl, 25 NaHCO₃, 1.0 Na₂H₂PO₄, 2.0 CaCl₂, 2.0 MgSO₄, and 10 glucose. The chamber was perfused at 1–3 ml/min with oxygenated (95% O₂/5% CO₂) ACSF, and the temperature was maintained at 30°C. Synaptic transmission in the CA1 region of the hippocampus was monitored by stimulating presynaptic fibers in stratum radiatum (at 0.02 Hz) with bipolar nichrome or tungsten wire electrodes (0.01- to 0.02-msec duration pulses) and recording postsynaptic potentials using an extracellular glass microelectrode filled with ACSF (5–10 MΩ resistance). Prior to each experiment the maximal amplitude of the field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) was determined, and the intensity of presynaptic stimulation was adjusted to evoke a postsynaptic response equal to 20–30% of the maximum except in experiments examining LTD in slices from young rat hippocampus, where the intensity of presynaptic fiber stimulation was adjusted to evoke a postsynaptic response equal to ~50% of the maximum. LTP was induced using two 1-sec-long trains of 100 Hz stimulation delivered 20 sec apart at a stimulation intensity sufficient to elicit an EPSP that was 75% of the maximal amplitude. Field EPSPs mediated by the NMDA type glutamate receptor were examined by blocking non-NMDA receptors with 20 μM 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione or 25 μM 6,7-dinitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione, and blocking inhibitory synaptic transmission with 50–100 μM picrotoxin. In these experiments the CA3 region of the slice was removed and the ACSF contained 3.0 mM CaCl₂ and 1.0 mM MgSO₄. Intracellular recordings of IPSPs were done in normal ACSF using high resistance (40–100 MΩ resistance, 2 M potassium methylsulfate) glass microelectrodes to impale single CA1 pyramidal cells. Only cells with stable membrane potentials more negative than −60 mV and input resistance’s >30 MΩ were used. All values in the text and in the figures are reported as mean ± S.E.M., and in all figures showing average data only every other time point is shown for clarity. Student’s t-tests were used to access statistical significance.

Results

Low-frequency synaptic stimulation that produces homosynaptic LTD of excitatory synaptic
transmission in the CA1 region of the immature rodent hippocampus (Dudek and Bear 1992; Mulkey and Malenka 1992; Bolshakov and Siegelbaum 1994) has no effect on synaptic efficacy in hippocampal slices obtained from adult guinea pigs (Fujii et al. 1991). Consistent with the findings reported by Fujii et al. (1991), we observed that excitatory synaptic transmission in the CA1 region of the adult guinea pig hippocampus is not depressed following 900 pulses of 1 Hz stimulation (Fig. 1). EPSPs 45 min after 15 min of 1 Hz stimulation were 109±6.3% (n=7) of pre-1 Hz control levels. Nine hundred presynaptic stimulation pulses delivered to the Schaffer collateral/commissural fibers at 5 Hz, which induces a homosynaptic, NMDA receptor-independent LTD of synaptic transmission in 3- to 7-day-old animals (Bolshakov and Siegelbaum 1994), also failed to elicit LTD in adult slices but instead produced a small and persistent enhancement of synaptic transmission (Fig. 3B, below), whereby the field EPSP at 30 min was increased to 118.33±5.8% of baseline [n=6, t(5)=2.28; P<0.05 compared with pre-5 Hz baseline]. In contrast, 900 stimulation pulses at 5 Hz produced a pronounced depression of synaptic transmission (depotentiation) when given following the induction of LTP by tetanic stimulation (100 Hz) (Fig. 1B, see also Fujii et al. 1991; Larson et al. 1993). Nine hundred stimulation pulses at 5 Hz given at either 7 or 17 min post-tetanus reduced LTP, measured at 60 min post-tetanus, by 50% or more. Compared with control LTP levels (190.8±10.9%, n=9), 5 Hz stimulation at 7 min reduced LTP to 143.85±10.9% of baseline [n=10, t(17)=3.05; P<0.01 compared with control LTP] and at 17 min (Fig. 2C) it reduced LTP to 140±16.7% of baseline [n=11, t(18)=2.42; P<0.05 compared with control LTP]. In contrast to the clear depotentiation obtainable within the first 20 min following the induction of LTP, LTP was resistant to depotentiation produced by 5 Hz stimulation at 60 min after induction (Fig. 3; Fujii et al. 1991). The duration of this time “window” for inducing depotentiation may depend on the stimulation frequency used to induce depotentiation, since Fujii et al. (1991) find that with 1 Hz stimulation depotentiation is reduced compared with earlier time points but still evident 100 min after inducing LTP (Fujii et al. 1991).

The ability of 5 Hz stimulation to depress selectively only potentiated and not basal synaptic transmission does not simply result from the increase in the size of the postsynaptic response following the induction of LTP. Five hertz stimulation caused no depression of basal synaptic transmission in experiments where a comparable doubling of the basal response amplitude was achieved by increasing the stimulation strength (EPSPs were 99.8±7.8% of baseline, n=5, 30 min post-5 Hz stimulation).

Although a train of 900 pulses at 5 Hz stimulation has no inhibitory effect on basal synaptic transmission, it is similar in frequency and number of pulses to those that do produce LTD in young animals. Because LTD in these young animals can be prevented by antagonists of the NMDA recep-
Figure 2: Effects of 5 Hz stimulation on potentiated synaptic transmission. (A) Results from an individual experiment showing depotentiation induced by 5 Hz stimulation delivered beginning 7 min (bracket) post-tetanic stimulation. A second set of 100 Hz stimulation trains not followed by 5 Hz stimulation produced clear LTP in the same slice. (Inset) Traces of individual EPSPs recorded just before and 30 min after tetanic stimulation followed by 5 Hz stimulation (top) and just before and 40 min after the second tetanus (larger response, bottom). Scale bars, 1.0 mV and 3 msec. (B) Results from another individual experiment showing the effects of 5 Hz stimulation on basal and potentiated (beginning 17 min after high-frequency stimulation) synaptic transmission. (Inset) Two EPSPs recorded just prior to (larger response) and 30 min after 5 Hz stimulation of potentiated synapses. Scale bars, 1.0 mV and 3 msec. (C) Summary of experiments where 900 pulses of 5 Hz stimulation were delivered between 17 and 20 min post-tetanus (Δ, n=5). Control LTP experiments showing normal LTP (no 5 Hz stimulation) are shown for comparison (Δ, n=9).

Figure 3: (A) 5 Hz stimulation delivered beginning 55 min post-tetanus has little effect on potentiated synaptic transmission. Sixty minutes post-tetanus, responses were 186±9.9% of pretetanus control levels, mean ±S.E.M., n=7, and not significantly different from experiments where no 5 Hz stimulation was delivered [t(14)=0.32; not significant] (B) Five Hertz stimulation has opposite effects on synaptic efficacy depending on whether synapses are in a basal or potentiated state. Comparison of the effects of 5 Hz stimulation on basal (Δ, n=6) and potentiated synaptic transmission when delivered beginning 17 min post-tetanus (Δ, n=11) or 55 min post-tetanus (Δ, n=7). For the results of experiments where 5 Hz stimulation was delivered to potentiated synapses the responses were normalized to the average of the responses evoked over the last 10 min preceding the 5 Hz stimulation. Note that the effects of 5 Hz stimulation not only depend on whether synaptic transmission is potentiated or not but also on the time interval following the induction of LTP.
ion channel, how do low frequencies of stimulation activate the NMDA receptor? One possibility is that because the Mg$^{2+}$ block of the NMDA receptor ion channel is not complete at negative membrane potentials, small amounts of Ca$^{2+}$ influx through synaptic NMDA receptor ion channels may be sufficient to elicit depotentiation (e.g., see Sah et al. 1989). Because Schaffer collateral/commisural fiber stimulation also evokes fast GABA receptor-mediated inhibitory postsynaptic potentials (IPSPs) that normally coincide with and oppose the NMDA receptor-mediated component of the EPSPs, another process that may aid NMDA receptor activation during low-frequency stimulation is the decrease in inhibitory synaptic transmission that occurs during low-frequency stimulation in the hippocampus (McCarren and Alger 1985; Davies et al. 1991; Mott and Lewis 1991; Pacelli et al. 1991; Wilcox and Dichter 1994). To address this point, we examined inhibitory synaptic transmission using intracellular recordings and found it to be extremely labile and to fade rapidly during 5 Hz stimulation. Following 3 min of 5 Hz stimulation pulses, fast IPSPs were reduced by >80% of control (control = 6.7 ± 1.2 mV; post-5 Hz = 0.98 ± 1.01 mV, n = 8). This fade in inhibitory synaptic transmission during the 5 Hz stimulation seems sufficient to account for the ability of 5 Hz stimulation to activate the NMDA receptor sufficiently to elicit depotentiation.

In addition to its low frequency of induction and dependence on NMDA receptor activation, depotentiation has several other interesting features. First, depotentiation is reversible. Within 30 min of successful depotentiation, a second train of tetanic stimulation could elicit clear LTP (Fig. 2A). Because the high-frequency stimulation protocol used in these experiments elicits near maximal LTP (T.J. O’Dell, unpubl.), this suggests that depotentiation represents a true erasure rather than a masking of LTP. Second, the ability of low-frequency stimulation to produce depotentiation is sensitive to stimulation frequency (Fujii et al. 1991; Larson et al. 1993). The same number of pulses, delivered at 10 Hz, 15 min after the induction of LTP, causes no depotentiation (EPSPs were 191.1 ± 16.0% of baseline, n = 5, 60 min after tetanic stimulation followed 15 min later by 900 pulses of stimulation at 10 Hz).

What molecular mechanisms contribute to de-
potentiation? Depotentiation can only be elicited during the early stages of LTP, a time during which the activity of a number of both serine/threonine and tyrosine kinases seems to be required to establish LTP (Bliss and Collingridge 1993). This overlapping time course suggests that 5 Hz stimulation may elicit depotentiation by interfering with the protein kinases required for LTP, perhaps by activating protein phosphatases. To test this idea, we examined the effects of two phosphatase inhibitors. Both okadaic acid (1.0 µM; Fig. 4B) and calyculin A (1.0 µM; n = 4; data not shown) blocked depotentiation completely while the inactive okadaic acid analog 1-nor-okadaone (1.0 µM) had no effect on depotentiation (Fig. 4B). A lower concentration of okadaic acid (500 nM) had no effect on depotentiation; responses were 131 ± 7.8% of baseline 60 min post-tetanus (n = 4) in experiments where 900 pulses of 5 Hz stimulation were given between 17 and 20 min post-tetanus.

If protein phosphatases can oppose the protein kinases that have become activated following the high-frequency tetanus that induces LTP, then perhaps 5 Hz stimulation just prior to the tetanus that induces LTP might prevent the initiation of LTP altogether. Indeed, 900 pulses at 5 Hz immediately before 100 Hz tetanic stimulation greatly suppressed LTP [Fig. 5; EPSPs were 124.0 ± 10.4% of baseline, n = 6, 60 min following tetanic stimulation that was preceded by 900 stimulation pulses at 5 Hz, t(11) = 3.42; P<0.01 compared with LTP in control experiments (187 ± 14.7% of baseline, n = 6)]. The effects of the 5 Hz stimulation were reversible. A second application of high-frequency stimulation 1 hr later induced clear LTP. The ability of 5 Hz stimulation to suppress the initiation of LTP also appears to involve protein phosphatases, as it was completely blocked when calyculin A (1.0 µM) was applied to the bath for 15 min prior to 5 Hz stimulation (Fig. 5B). In control experiments without a low-frequency train, LTP produced by 100 Hz stimulation was not affected by calyculin A [EPSPs 60 min post-tetanus were 168 ± 23.3% of baseline, n = 5, S(10) = 0.74; not significant compared with 100 Hz stimulation in the absence of calyculin A]. The ability of 5 Hz stimulation delivered immediately prior to high-frequency stimulation to inhibit LTP is reminiscent of the ability of other manipulations that activate NMDA receptors prior to high frequency synaptic stimulation to inhibit LTP (Coan et al. 1989; Huang et al. 1992; Izumi et al. 1992a,b).

The ability of inhibitors of protein phosphatases to prevent both the blockade of LTP before it is initiated and the depotentiation of LTP once it is induced suggests that 5 Hz stimulation
modulates LTP by opposing the action of protein kinases necessary for the induction of stable LTP. However, it is also possible that inhibiting protein phosphatases prevented depotentiation indirectly by altering synaptic transmission and changing the conditions necessary for the induction of depotentiation. We examined this possibility and found that 1.0 μM okadaic acid had little effect on basal synaptic transmission (Fig. 4C), which is primarily mediated by non-NMDA-type glutamate receptors. We also observed no effect of 1.0 μM okadaic acid on the pharmacologically isolated NMDA receptor-mediated component of the EPSPs (responses following a 20-min application of 1.0 μM okadaic acid were 100.7±3.3% of baseline, n=6). Alternatively, okadaic acid might simply enhance potentiated synaptic transmission preferentially and thus mask depotentiation. However, a 20-min bath application of 1.0 μM okadaic acid beginning 5 min post-tetanus had no effect on potentiated synaptic transmission (n=6). Finally, if as our data suggests, the fade in inhibitory synaptic transmission during 5 Hz stimulation allows sufficient NMDA receptor activation to induce depotentiation, okadaic acid or APV might prevent depotentiation indirectly by modifying a property of inhibitory synaptic transmission that may be important for the induction of depotentiation. However, we found that the fade in inhibitory synaptic transmission was unchanged following a 15- to 20-min bath application of either 1.0 μM okadaic acid or 50 μM APV. For okadaic acid (n=5), control IPSP amplitude = 6.41±1.98 mV; post-5 Hz amplitude = 0.13±1.33 mV; for APV (n=5), control IPSP amplitude = 6.56±1.41 mV; post-5 Hz amplitude = 0.52±0.5 mV.

How does depotentiation compare with LTD? Because our results show that long trains of low-frequency (1–5 Hz) stimulation in slices of adult guinea pig hippocampus do not induce LTD of basal synaptic transmission (see also Fujii et al. 1991), to compare LTD to potentiation we used slices obtained from young rats induced LTD with long trains of 1 Hz stimulation, conditions reported to be optimal for observing LTD (Dudek and Bear 1992, 1993; Mulkey and Malenka 1992). In the CA1 region of slices from young rats, 600 pulses of 1 Hz synaptic stimulation produced a pronounced and long-lasting depression of basal synaptic transmission (Fig. 6A). Fifty min following 1 Hz stimulation, EPSPs were reduced to 68.2±4.8% of baseline [n=7, t(6) = 6.58, P<0.01 compared with pre-1 Hz baseline]. In agreement

Figure 6: Effects of protein phosphatase inhibitors on homosynaptic LTD in young rat hippocampal slices. (A) Control experiments (n=7) showing the depression of basal synaptic transmission produced by 600 pulses of 1 Hz stimulation (indicated by the bracket). Individual traces from one of the experiments showing EPSPs recorded just before (larger response) and 50 min after 1 Hz stimulation. Scale bars, 1.0 mV and 5 msec. (B) Short applications of calyculin A (1.0 μM) do not block LTD. Calyculin A was present in the bath for the duration indicated by the bar and 600 stimulation pulses at 1 Hz were delivered starting at time zero. EPSPs 50 min after 1 Hz stimulation in the presence of calyculin A were 73.1±9.3% of pre-1 Hz levels (n=5), and the amount of depression was not significantly different from the control experiments shown in A [t(10)=0.49, not significant]. Traces are EPSPs from one experiment recorded during control (larger) and 50 min after 1 Hz stimulation in calyculin A. (C) Long preincubations in phosphatase inhibitors block LTD. Slices were bathed in ACSF containing either 0.1% DMSO (○, n=7), 1.0 μM calyculin A in 0.1% DMSO (□, n=4), or 1.0 μM okadaic acid in 0.1% DMSO (Δ, n=5) for 1–3 hr before beginning an experiment. After recording 10 min of baseline synaptic transmission, 600 stimulation pulses were delivered at 1 Hz (indicated by the bracket). In control experiments (○) this produced a large and persistent LTD, whereas a small variable potentiation was induced by 1 Hz stimulation in slices treated with phosphatase inhibitors. Traces are EPSPs recorded during baseline and 50 min after 1 Hz stimulation (larger response) in a slice treated with 1.0 μM calyculin A.
with previous reports (Dudek and Bear 1992; Mulkey and Malenka 1992) we observed that LTD was inhibited by the NMDA receptor antagonist APV (EPSPs 50 min post-1 Hz stimulation in the presence of 50 μM D,L-APV were not significantly depressed from baseline [92.6±6.5% of baseline, n = 5, t(5) = 1.14, not significant compared with pre-1 Hz baseline]. However, a 30-min bath application of 1.0 μM calyculin A, which is sufficient to block depotentiation, had no effect on LTD (Fig. 6B). In contrast, in agreement with Mulkey et al. (1993) when slices are exposed to long periods of preincubation (1–3 hr) with 1.0 μM okadaic acid or calyculin A, the phosphatase inhibitors blocked LTD in hippocampal slices from young rats (Fig. 6C).

Discussion

Our data suggest that two different patterns of activity in the Schaffer collaterals, both acting through the NMDA receptor, seem capable of initiating two different and opposing signaling cascades presumably by allowing different levels of Ca^{2+} influx into the postsynaptic cell. High-frequency stimulation at 100 Hz leads to a large increase of Ca^{2+} influx (estimated to be in the range of 1 μM or higher), which triggers the cascade of serine–threonine and tyrosine protein kinases that lead to the induction of LTP (Bliss and Collingridge 1993). Low frequencies of stimulation, at 5 Hz, presumably lead to a smaller Ca^{2+} influx, which seems to be integrated over time to trigger an opposing set of protein phosphatases capable of overriding and shutting off the kinase cascade. The phosphatase activity seems highly effective in its ability to override the kinase cascade. It not only inhibits the cascade after it has been triggered by the onset of LTP, but the phosphatase activity seems capable of preventing LTP from being generated when low-frequency stimulation is given prior to the induction of LTP.

Long trains of low-frequency synaptic stimulation can also depress basal synaptic transmission in the CA1 region of the hippocampus in young animals (Dudek and Bear 1992; Mulkey and Malenka 1992), but it does not reliably do so in adult animals (Figs. 1–3; Barrionuevo et al. 1980; Staubli and Lynch 1990; Fujii et al. 1991; Larson et al. 1993). However, as we and others (Barrionuevo et al. 1980; Staubli and Lynch 1990; Fujii et al. 1991; Larson et al. 1993) have observed, low-frequency stimulation can depress synaptic transmission in the adult hippocampus if synaptic transmission has recently undergone LTP. How, then, does depotentiation, the depression of potentiated synaptic transmission, relate to LTD, the depression of basal synaptic transmission? There are three major differences. First, depotentiation is robust in both young and adult animals, whereas LTD is most effective in young animals (Mulkey and Malenka 1992; Dudek and Bear 1993). In our experiments using adult guinea pig hippocampus we have not observed LTD of basal synaptic transmission following low-frequency stimulation protocols that elicit LTD in young animals (cf. Figs. 1 and 6; see also Fujii et al. 1991). In contrast, depotentiation is present even in slices from mature animals. Second, although protein phosphatase inhibitors block both depotentiation and LTD, longer applications of calyculin A were required before LTD was inhibited, suggesting that depotentiation and LTD may involve phosphatases that differ in their sensitivity to these inhibitors. However, our results do not rule out the possibility that the same phosphatases are involved in LTD and depotentiation and that 5 Hz stimulation produces a lower level of phosphatase activation than 1 Hz stimulation and this lower level of activity is thus more sensitive to protein phosphatase inhibitors. Third, unlike LTD, depotentiation is dependent on both the current state of synaptic efficacy (LTP must have been induced) and the time interval following the induction of LTP (Fig. 1; see also Larson et al. 1993).

Despite these differences, LTD and depotentiation also share certain formal similarities. Both types of plasticity are induced by long trains of low-frequency synaptic stimulation and require NMDA receptor activation for their induction. Also, both are blocked by inhibitors of protein phosphatases. Thus, although depotentiation and LTD may be distinct processes, a more likely alternative is that the two types of plasticity are related or at least share common components. One possibility is that depotentiation and LTD are mechanistically the same and that developmental changes in the expression of other molecules render the basal state of adult synapses insensitive to the effects of low-frequency stimulation. In hippocampal slices from young animals the levels of Ca^{2+}-independent, autophosphorylated Ca^{2+}/calmodulin kinase II (CAMKII) are higher than they are in slices from older animals (Molloy and Kennedy 1991) and levels of autophosphorylated
CAMKII increase after the induction of LTP (Fukunaga et al. 1993). Perhaps the inability of protein phosphatase activation during low-frequency stimulation to depress basal synaptic transmission in adult hippocampus is the result of the reduction in levels of Ca\(^{2+}\)-independent CAMKII activity that occurs during development.

The existence of presumably postsynaptic processes in the adult hippocampus that allow certain patterns of synaptic activity that have little effect on basal synaptic transmission to depress synaptic transmission following LTP is interesting from several points of view: behavioral, cell biological, molecular, and computational. From a behavioral perspective, depotentiation provides a potential molecular mechanism for the well-known susceptibility of short-term memory processes to disruption or interference by distracting stimuli when these stimuli are given within minutes after learning (see, e.g., Muller and Pilzecker 1900). Although this interference with memory consolidation presumably occurs by means of a number of different molecular mechanisms, with different time courses, the ability of protein phosphatases to shut off the initiating cascade for LTP makes depotentiation a suitably rapid mechanism for disrupting memory processes at an early stage.

From a cell biological perspective, our data indicate that activating phosphatases in the postsynaptic cell can disrupt LTP during the first 20 min. Because quantal analysis indicates that the presynaptic enhancement of transmitter release is recruited almost immediately after the onset of LTP (Bekkers and Stevens 1990; Malinow and Tsien 1990; Kullmann and Nicoll 1992; Larkman et al. 1992), there presumably must be continued communication, over these 20 min, between the postsynaptic cell and the presynaptic terminal, perhaps by means of continued release of retrograde signals from the postsynaptic cell. Thus, the inductive process underlying the release of the retrograde signal and the establishment of stable LTP is more extended in time than is conventionally envisaged (for a similar view, see Stevens and Wang 1993). Although in some cases we observed a near complete depotentiation of LTP (Fig. 2A), on average a residual potentiation, of \(~50\%\), still remained (Fig. 2C). This residual potentiation may in turn reflect a presynaptic component of LTP that is not susceptible to interruption by activation of postsynaptic processes. A quantal analysis of depotentiation may be revealing in this respect.

From a molecular perspective, the fact that depotentiation is dependent on the NMDA receptor suggests that increases in intracellular calcium via influx through the NMDA receptor ion channel are required. One candidate for an NMDA receptor-activated Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent protein phosphatase capable of eliciting depotentiation is the calcium/calmodulin-sensitive protein phosphatase calcineurin (Halpain and Greengard 1990). However, the concentrations of okadaic acid and calyculin A that effectively block depotentiation are too low to produce substantial inhibition of calcineurin (Hescheler et al. 1988). In contrast, these concentrations can fully inhibit other protein phosphatases, including protein phosphatase 1 (Hescheler et al. 1988; Ishihara et al. 1989; Cohen et al. 1990), the major protein phosphatase of the postsynaptic density (Shields et al. 1985). Perhaps low-frequency stimulation activates calcineurin, which in turn dephosphorylates regulatory proteins such as inhibitor 1 that activate protein phosphatase 1 (Lisman 1989). In hippocampal slices, the concentrations of okadaic acid and calyculin A that block depotentiation alter the activity of CAMKII, a serine/threonine protein kinase critical for LTP (Molloy and Kennedy 1991; Fukunaga et al. 1993). Thus, as suggested by Lisman (1989), the protein kinase cascade may be held in check dynamically by a cascade of protein phosphatases so that synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus is determined by the balance between these two cascades.

From a computational point of view, the finding that 5 Hz stimulation has opposite effects on basal as compared with potentiated synaptic transmission (Fig. 2B) provides evidence in the hippocampus that the neurons in the CA1 region operate with a dynamic rather than a static modification threshold for producing changes in synaptic strength, a possibility first suggested on theoretical grounds by Bienenstock et al. (1982) and supported by recent experimental observations (Huang et al. 1992; Wexler and Stanton 1993). In the BCM (Bienenstock, Cooper, Munro) model, patterns of synaptic activity that depolarize the postsynaptic cell above a certain threshold result in potentiation, whereas patterns that produce depolarization below threshold produce depression. According to this view, the modification threshold is not fixed (Bear et al. 1987). It changes in response to the immediate history (and plastic state) of the synapse and will shift to a higher level when synapses are potentiated. Thus, patterns of synaptic activity that lie near the threshold can have
very different effects on synaptic strength depending on previous activity. In addition to our observations, support for this idea also comes from the work of Yang and Faber in the Mauthner cell, where the modification threshold also shifts with changes in synaptic efficacy (Yang and Faber 1991).

Finally, our data illustrate that like LTP and LTD, depotentiation is induced following activation of the NMDA receptor, a receptor that detects coincident pre- and postsynaptic activity (Bourne and Nicoll 1993). That coincidence alone is necessary but not sufficient to determine the sign of associative plasticity suggests that neither LTP nor depotentiation are truly Hebbian in nature. The critical feature for LTP is not simply a linear increase in synaptic strength following coincident activity in the pre- and postsynaptic cell, as coincidence can give rise to LTP, LTD, or depotentiation (Bienenstock et al. 1982; Lisman 1989; Tsunomoto 1993). The critical factor that distinguishes LTP from depotentiation is that each requires a different level of a common postsynaptic signal, presumably Ca\(^{2+}\) influx, driven by different degrees of presynaptic activity. Our data suggest that low levels of this signal (Ca\(^{2+}\) influx) may preferentially activate phosphatases (Kasai 1993). To activate the kinases, a rapid rise of Ca\(^{2+}\) to high levels seems to be required (Malenka 1991).

The signal transduction pathways that underlie LTP appear complex, involving multiple protein kinases and perhaps one or more retrograde messengers (Bliss and Collingridge 1993). This complex and highly regulated molecular mechanism for controlling synaptic strength may be required to allow multiple sites for modulation and error correction. We would argue that depotentiation represents one mechanism whereby the convergence of different signal transduction pathways can modulate LTP and presumably enhance the information storage capabilities of hippocampal synapses.
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